HOUSEHOLD BEHAVIOR AND THE DYNAMICS OF INCOME AND EARNINGS INEQUALITY

LAUNCH OF THE BUSINESS IN SOCIETY INEQUALITY PLATFORM

Richard Blundell

UCL & IFS

CBS, October 2018
There are many dimensions to economic inequality:

Wages; earnings; family earnings; net income; consumption; wealth
There are many dimensions to economic inequality:

**Wages; earnings; family earnings; net income; consumption; wealth**

Typically analysed by *different* fields in economics:
There are many dimensions to economic inequality:

**Wages; earnings; family earnings; net income; consumption; wealth**

Typically analysed by different fields in economics:

- **Labor economics** - inequality in wages and earnings.
- **Family or household economics** - inequalities in family earnings, family labor supply and time allocations.
- **Public economics** - inequality in income and the impact of taxation and welfare benefits.
- **Microeconometrics** - nonlinear dynamics in individual panel data.
- Often left to **macroeconomics** - the distributional dynamics of consumption (and wealth).
There are many dimensions to economic inequality:

Wages; earnings; family earnings; net income; consumption; wealth

Typically analysed by different fields in economics:

- **Labor economics** - inequality in wages and earnings.
- **Family or household economics** - inequalities in family earnings, family labor supply and time allocations.
- **Public economics** - inequality in income and the impact of taxation and welfare benefits.
- **Microeconometrics** - nonlinear dynamics in individual panel data.
- Often left to **macroeconomics** - the distributional dynamics of consumption (and wealth).

These need to be brought together to get a clearer understanding of the dynamics of inequality. With insights from other disciplines too!
The link between these various measures is mediated by multiple ‘insurance’ mechanisms:

- Labor supply, etc. (wages → earnings)
- Family labour supply, assortative matching and family time allocations (earnings → family earnings)
- Taxes, welfare and social insurance (earnings → net income)
- Saving and borrowing (income → consumption → wealth)

Don’t forget nonseparabilities!

Networks, gifts and other mechanisms.

The aim of this research is to develop a framework, the partial insurance approach, for uncovering the role of these mechanisms, primarily during working life.
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- Two key motivating issues for my talk today:
  1. falling real earnings for low skilled, especially for men in the US and the UK
  2. growing earnings inequality.

- These, in turn, place increasing pressure on government revenues.

- Requiring the design of appropriate policy responses.

- Much of the material here is coauthored and draws from work on ‘partial insurance’.

- Summarised in my Nemmers Lecture, revising on my webpage.

- Some motivating background descriptives....
Male Median Real Wages by Education in the US

Notes: CPS, Ages 25-55; Source: Blundell, Norris-Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Source: Blundell, Norris-Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Growth in UK male weekly earnings: 1994/95 – 2015/16

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK FRS 1994-95 and 2015-16.
Growth in UK male hourly wages: 1994/95 – 2015/16

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK FRS 1994-95 and 2015-16.
Male hours of work in the UK by wage quintile: 1994/95 – 2015/16

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK FRS 1994-95 and 2015-16.
Growth in UK male and female earnings: 1994/95 – 2015/16

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK FRS 1994-95 and 2015-16.
Growth in UK household earnings: 1994/95 – 2015/16

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK FRS 1994-95 and 2015-16.
Growth in UK household post-tax income: 1994/95 – 2015/16

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK FRS 1994-95 and 2015-16.
Growth in UK tax and welfare expenditure: 1994/95 –

DWP calculations plus IFS.
UK tax and welfare policy responses

IFS calculations.
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- To explore the mechanisms individuals and households use to accommodate shocks, to see how successful are tax and welfare systems and to suggest how policies could be improved.

A bigger agenda at IFS:
- How far can/should tax and welfare policy go to address adverse effects of inequality?
- What should be the role of other policies:
  - minimum wages, training and skills, technology and ‘good’ firms?.... leave for discussion!
- Driven by a data revolution....
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- Linked registry databases with unique individual identifiers.
  - Containing records for every Norwegian from 1967 to 2014.
  - Detailed socioeconomic information (market income, cash transfers).
    Links to financial transactions data on real estate and assets; and to hours of work ⇒ new consumption measurements.
- Family identifiers allow to match spouses and children.
  - e.g. Blundell, Graber and Mogstad (2015).

II. Newly designed panel surveys: e.g. PSID 1999 - 2015.
- Collection of consumption and assets had a major revision in 1999
  - ~70% of consumption expenditures. Around 90% from 2005.
  - Food at home, food away from home, gasoline, health, transportation, utilities, clothing, etc with choice of purchase frequency.
- Earnings and hours for all earners; Assets measured in each wave.
  - e.g. Blundell, Pistaferri and Saporta-Eksten (2016).
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As a baseline model, the dynamics of income can usefully be described by two latent components:

- a permanent (or persistent) component,
- a transitory (or mean-reverting) component.

New panel data methods to isolate these two components, their variance-covariance structure and also their complete distributions.

There is also good economic reasoning behind this decomposition:

- persistent shocks to income are more difficult to insure,
- especially the young with low assets.

How families cope with persistent shocks and the implications for inequality is the main focus.

1. First, look at some baseline partial insurance results,
2. Second, examine the importance of nonlinearities and heterogeneity in persistence of income,
3. Third, unpack the role of family labour supply and time use.
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Simple but can be very revealing - detailed work on Norwegian population register panel data....
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where \( \phi_t \) and \( \psi_t \) can be individual specific and provide the link between the consumption and income distributions - \( v_{it} \) the persistent and \( \varepsilon_{it} \) the transitory shock to income.
Partial Insurance: Linking Income and Consumption Inequality

Introduce *transmission* or *partial insurance* parameters, writing consumption growth as:

\[ \Delta \ln C_{it} \equiv \gamma_{it} + \Delta Z_{it}' \varphi + \phi_t v_{it} + \psi_t \epsilon_{it} + \zeta_{it} \]

where \( \phi_t \) and \( \psi_t \) can be individual specific and provide the link between the consumption and income distributions - \( v_{it} \) the persistent and \( \epsilon_{it} \) the transitory shock to income.

- For example, in a simple benchmark intertemporal consumption model for consumer of age \( t \)

\[ \phi_{it} = (1 - \pi_{it}) \]

where

\[ \pi_{it} \approx \frac{\text{Assets}_{it}}{\text{Assets}_{it} + \text{Human Wealth}_{it}} \cdot \]

- We generalise this framework: taxes, welfare benefits, and other mechanisms that add to the degree of ‘partial insurance’.
Notes: Consumption data constructed from the register data, following earlier work in Denmark. Source: Blundell, Graber and Mogstad (2018).
Partial Insurance and the Transmission of Permanent Income Shocks


These have proven to be useful results, linking the distributional
dynamics of income and consumption inequality. With key results
on the value of self-insurance and welfare transfers.

Perhaps a little “too much” insurance, especially in the PSID.

I want to briefly highlight two important directions/issues to
explore:

1. The income process: usual shocks and nonlinear persistence.
2. Other mechanisms: family labor supply and time use with children,
   and separate out the role of assets and of taxation and welfare
   benefits.
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A flurry of recent descriptive research on large administrative data points to important heterogeneity and outlying shocks that can change the persistence of income, e.g. Browning and Ejrnaes (2016) and Guvenen, Ozkan and Song (2014):

- For example, an unusually bad shock, to those on higher permanent income, can wipe out their permanent income history.
- Need a model of income dynamics that accounts for the position in the (permanent) income distribution and the size/sign of shock.
- Develop a new framework that allows unusual shocks to wipe out the memory of past shocks, and future persistence of a current shock to depend on the future shocks.

Show this has important implications for income inequality and self-insurance.

- Evidence of such nonlinearity?
Nonlinear Persistence in the PSID

Notes: Family labor earnings, Age 30-60 1999-2009 (US).
Estimates of the average derivative of the conditional quantile function.
Source: Arellano, Blundell and Bonhomme (2017).
Nonlinear Persistence in the Norwegian Register Data
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- allow for nonlinear persistence in the permanent component $\eta_{it}$.

The persistence of shocks to $\eta_{it}$ depend on the sign and size of the shock; and also level of $\eta_{it-1}$,
- represented by a conditional quantile model

$$\eta_{it} = Q_t(\eta_{it-1}, u_{it})$$

- quite different from the standard model of income dynamics,
- quantile functions are specified as flexible Hermite polynomials.

And delivering a new measure of nonlinear persistence:

$$\rho_t(\eta_{it-1}, \tau) = \frac{\partial Q_t(\eta_{it-1}, \tau)}{\partial \eta}$$

Use this nonlinear framework to explore the complete distributional dynamics over the life-cycle.
Implied Model Simulation of Persistence in Income

Confidence Intervals for Estimated Nonlinear Persistence

Notes: PSID; 95% CI for estimated average derivative of the conditional quantile function see source paper; parametric bootstrap. 
Source: Arellano, Blundell and Bonhomme (2017).
Implied Model Simulation of Persistence in Norwegian Data

Notes: Norwegian Population Register, Family Labour Income.
Source: Arellano, Blundell and Bonhomme (2017).
Allow the permanent and transitory income components to interact with assets, age and individual heterogeneity:

\[ c_{it} = g_t(A_{it-1}, \eta_{it}, \varepsilon_{it}, \nu_{it}, \xi_i) \]
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\[ c_{it} = g_t(A_{it-1}, \eta_{it}, \epsilon_{it}, \nu_{it}, \zeta_i) \]

- assets \( A_{it-1} \), permanent income \( \eta_{it} \), transitory shocks \( \epsilon_{it} \), heterogeneity \( \nu_{it}, \zeta_i \).

A flexible model of the consumption policy function and more general definition of **partial insurance**.
Implications for the Consumption Distribution

- Allow the permanent and transitory income components to interact with assets, age and individual heterogeneity:

\[ c_{it} = g_t(A_{it-1}, \eta_{it}, \varepsilon_{it}, \nu_{it}, \xi_i) \]

- assets \( A_{it-1} \), permanent income \( \eta_{it} \), transitory shocks \( \varepsilon_{it} \), heterogeneity \( \nu_{it}, \xi_i \).

- A flexible model of the consumption policy function and more general definition of partial insurance.

- Track the impact of a permanent income shift on consumption for different levels of assets and for different ages......
Notes: Families with head aged 30-60, 1999-2009 (US).
Nonparametric estimates of the average partial insurance of persistent shocks.
Consumption responses for older worker (high income [90th], bad shock [10th])

Notes: Impulse response of persistent shock; 90th percentile of permanent income, 10th percentile shock; 25th percentile (blue) and 75th percentile (green) of assets. Families with head aged 50-60, 1999-2009 (US). Source: Arellano, Blundell and Bonhomme (2017).
Consumption responses for younger worker (high income [90th], bad shock [10th])

Notes: Impulse response of persistent shock; 90th percentile of permanent income, 10th percentile shock; 25th percentile (blue) and 75th percentile (green) of assets. Families with head aged 35-60, 1999-2009 (US). Source: Arellano, Blundell and Bonhomme (2017).
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- Extend baseline partial insurance model to allow wage shocks to impact on consumption and on family labour supply.

- We can then examine each step in the dynamics of inequality from wages to consumption.
Allow for correlated shocks to spouses individual wages

- assortative matching (and data) suggests positive correlation,
- no insurance through wages!

Responses to a persistent shock depend on share of earnings, importance of assets, and family labour supply elasticities.
Correlated shocks and complementary preferences

- Allow for correlated shocks to spouses individual wages
  - assortative matching (and data) suggests positive correlation,
  - no insurance through wages!

- Leisure preferences tend to display complementarity
  - like each others company - loving or caring preferences,
  - no insurance there either!
Correlated shocks and complementary preferences

- Allow for correlated shocks to spouses individual wages
  - assortative matching (and data) suggests positive correlation,
  - no insurance through wages!

- Leisure preferences tend to display complementarity
  - like each others company - loving or caring preferences,
  - no insurance there either!

- ‘Frisch’ complements but ‘Marshallian’ substitutes!
  - even with correlated wages and complementary preferences, find a persistent decline in one spouse earnings to induce an increase earnings of the other to maintain consumption.
Correlated shocks and complementary preferences

- Allow for correlated shocks to spouses individual wages
  - assortative matching (and data) suggests positive correlation,
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- Leisure preferences tend to display complementarity
  - like each others company - loving or caring preferences,
  - no insurance there either!

- ‘Frisch’ complements but ‘Marshallian’ substitutes!
  - even with correlated wages and complementary preferences, find a persistent decline in one spouse earnings to induce an increase earnings of the other to maintain consumption.

- Responses to a persistent shock depend on share of earnings, importance of assets, and family labour supply elasticities....
The share of his human wealth by age

\[ S_{i,t} \approx \frac{\text{Human Wealth}_{\text{male},i,t}}{\text{Human Wealth}_{i,t}} \]

Notes: PSID couples. Source: Blundell, Pistaferri and Saporta-Eksten (2016)
The share of assets to human wealth by age

\[ \pi_{i,t} \approx \frac{\text{Assets}_{i,t}}{\text{Assets}_{i,t} + \text{Human Wealth}_{i,t}} \]

Source: Blundell, Pistaferri and Saporta-Eksten (2016)
Household consumption responses to an adverse persistent shock to husband’s real wage.

Response of Consumption to a 10% Permanent Decrease in the Male’s Wage Rate

Notes: Average response. Source: Blundell, Pistaferri and Saporta-Eksten (2016)
Found that family labor supply is a key mechanism for ‘insuring’ persistent adverse shocks,

- especially for younger families and for those with limited access to assets,
- leisure time turns out to be a Frisch complement but a Marshallian substitute.
- recent work on time use data allows us to unpack the effect - Mother’s time with child takes the hit.

For lowest income quintile: consumption declines on average by only 2.6%, welfare benefits, SNAP (Food stamps) and EITC in the US, dominate with family labor supply responses making up the difference. Overall, once family labor supply, assets and taxes/welfare are accounted for, there is little evidence for additional insurance.

We have a neat story linking the distributional dynamics of inequality in earnings, incomes and consumption.
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- Focus on understanding the dynamic transmission of inequality over the working life:
  
  \[
  \text{wages} \rightarrow \text{earnings} \rightarrow \text{joint earnings} \rightarrow \text{income} \rightarrow \text{consumption}.
  \]

- Finding a key role for unusual shocks and nonlinear persistence.

- Documenting the importance of different aspects of household behavior and of tax/welfare policy:
  
  - Labor supply, etc. (wages → earnings)
  - Family labour supply and time-use allocations (earnings → family earnings)
  - Taxes and welfare benefits (earnings → net income)
  - Saving and borrowing (income → consumption → wealth).

- Linking the dimensions of inequality and showing the value, of high quality data on household earnings, hours, consumption and assets.
But more to be done - always!

Dig deeper into these behaviors and implications for inequality and for policy reform with new data linkages...
Dig deeper into these behaviors and implications for inequality and for policy reform with new data linkages...

- **Human capital and wage progression during working life** - little experience effects for lower skilled - new linkages to training data.
But more to be done - always!

Dig deeper into these behaviors and implications for inequality and for policy reform with new data linkages...

- Human capital and wage progression during working life - little experience effects for lower skilled - new linkages to training data.
- Housing and local house price shocks - locally linked data.
- Firms, technology and wage progression - firm linked data.
Dig deeper into these behaviors and implications for inequality and for policy reform with new data linkages...

- **Human capital and wage progression during working life** - little experience effects for lower skilled - new linkages to training data.
- **Housing and local house price shocks** - locally linked data.
- **Firms, technology and wage progression** - firm linked data.
- **Disability and persistent health shocks** - linked to health insurance and health outcomes.
- **Family formation and extended family** - relationship links.
But more to be done - always!

Dig deeper into these behaviors and implications for inequality and for policy reform with new data linkages...

- Human capital and wage progression during working life - little experience effects for lower skilled - new linkages to training data.
- Housing and local house price shocks - locally linked data.
- Firms, technology and wage progression - firm linked data.
- Disability and persistent health shocks - linked to health insurance and health outcomes.
- Family formation and extended family - relationship links.

Key research question: How should we balance tax/welfare reform with other policies to address the adverse effects of inequality? - minimum wages; family policies; housing policies; training and wage progression; skills and technology....
That’s it for now!

Congratulations on the launch of the CBS Business in Society Inequality Platform!

Richard Blundell

UCL & IFS

CBS, October 2018

Extra Slides follow
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Wage progression by education: women in the UK

Source: Blundell, Costa-Dias, Meghir and Shaw (2016)
Data used is UK BHPS.
Training profiles by education, gender and age in the UK

Source: Blundell, Costa-Dias, Goll and Meghir (2018)
Data used is UK BHPS.
Wage profiles and R&D intensive firms, by skill group

Source: Aghion, Bergeaud, Blundell and Griffith (2018)
Data used is UK ASHE 1998-2014.
Min wage and the real growth in UK hourly wages by percentile, April 2015-April 2017

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK FRS 1994-95 and 2015-16.
Impact of min wage reforms to 2020

Source: Blundell, Joyce, Norris Keiller and Ziliak (2018)
Data used is UK LFS.
Proportion of employees aged 25+ in the most “automatable” jobs (top 10% of routine task intensity”)

Minimum wage if 25+:

2015  Now  2020
Following earlier work in Denmark, we combine several sources for the period 1994-2014

- Tax records on income and wealth
- Real estate transactions from Norwegian Land Register
- Transactions in listed and unlisted stocks from Norwegian Registry of Securities.

The initial sample covers all households where the household’s oldest is at least 18 years old, everyone above 17 years has filed a tax return

- The number of household-year observations in the initial panel is 44,302,000.
- In each year, we keep only households with a male head, age 30 - 60, cohort 1945 - 1975, with non-missing information on schooling and location.

Detailed description of the dataset and consumption measurement in Eika, Mogstad and Vestad (2018).