Interaction between G proteins and accessory β subunits in the regulation of α 1B calcium channels in *Xenopus* oocytes

C. Cantí, Y. Bogdanov and A. C. Dolphin

Department of Pharmacology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

(Received 20 April 2000; accepted after revision 16 June 2000)

- 1. The accessory β subunits of voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channels (VDCCs) have been shown to regulate their biophysical properties and have also been suggested to antagonise the G protein inhibition of N-type (α 1B), P/Q-type (α 1A) and α 1E channels. Here we have examined the voltage-dependent involvement of the four neuronal isoforms (β 1b, β 2a, β 3 and β 4) in the process of G protein modulation of α 1B Ca²⁺ channels.
- 2. All β subunits hyperpolarised $\alpha 1B$ current activation, and all antagonised the G proteinmediated depolarisation of current activation. However, except in the case of $\beta 2a$, there was no generalised reduction by β subunits in the maximal extent of receptor-mediated inhibition of $\alpha 1B$ current.
- 3. In addition, all VDCC β subunits enhanced the rate of current facilitation at +100 mV, for both receptor-mediated and tonic modulation. The rank order for enhancement of facilitation rate was $\beta 3 > \beta 4 > \beta 1b > \beta 2a$. In contrast, the amount of voltage-dependent facilitation during tonic modulation was reduced by β subunit co-expression, despite the fact that the apparent $G\beta\gamma$ dissociation rate at +100 mV was enhanced by β subunits to a similar level as for agonist-induced modulation.
- 4. Our data provide evidence that G protein activation antagonises Ca^{2+} -channel β subunitinduced hyperpolarisation of current activation. Conversely, co-expression of all β subunits increases the apparent $G\beta\gamma$ dimer dissociation rate during a depolarising prepulse. This latter feature suggests the co-existence of bound Ca^{2+} -channel β subunits and $G\beta\gamma$ dimers on the α 1B subunits. Future work will determine how the interaction between $G\beta\gamma$ dimers and Ca^{2+} -channel β subunits with α 1B results in a functional antagonism at the molecular level.

Voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channels (VDCCs) are multi-subunit proteins composed of an $\alpha 1$ subunit and a regulatory cytoplasmic β subunit, as well as a largely extracellular $\alpha 2\delta$ subunit (for review see Dolphin, 1998). Activation of G protein-coupled receptors provides a mechanism for fine tuning of synaptic transmission (Dunlap et al. 1995). Membrane-delimited G protein inhibition of neuronal N-type (α 1B), P/Q-type (α 1A) and α 1E Ca²⁺ channels has been shown to be mediated by $G\beta\gamma$ dimers (Herlitze *et al.* 1996; Ikeda, 1996; Shekter *et al.* 1997). Accessory β subunits regulate the biophysical properties of VDCCs, producing an increase in the current density (in part by recruitment of channels into the membrane) and a hyperpolarizing shift of current activation (De Waard & Campbell, 1995; Brice et al. 1997; Stephens et al. 1997; Jones et al. 1998). Apart from these direct actions on the $\alpha 1$ subunits, a role of VDCC β subunits to produce an apparent antagonism of membranedelimited G protein inhibition has also been reported in reconstitution studies in *Xenopus* oocytes (Bourinet *et al.*) 1996; Qin et al. 1998; Roche & Treistman, 1998a). Furthermore, partial depletion of endogenous VDCC β subunits in sensory neurons by the use of antisense DNA was found to enhance GABA_B receptor-mediated inhibition of native Ca²⁺ currents, although not that produced by direct G protein activation (Campbell et al. 1995). This has been interpreted in terms of an interaction at an overlapping binding site (Bourinet et al. 1996, and for review see Dolphin, 1998). This hypothesis is supported by the finding that one of the reported $G\beta\gamma$ binding sites within the I–II loop overlaps with a binding site for β subunits (De Waard *et al.* 1997). However, there is also an additional $G\beta\gamma$ and $\beta 2a$ binding site on the C-terminus of human $\alpha 1 E$ channels (Qin *et al.* 1997), and a VDCC β subunit binding site on the N terminus of α 1A (Walker *et al.* 1999), which is also important for β subunit effects on α 1B (Stephens *et al.* 2000) and overlaps with a site essential for G protein modulation of $\alpha 1B$ (Cantí et al. 1999). Since available evidence suggests that only one VDCC β subunit binds per channel (Jones *et al.* 1998) and only one $G\beta\gamma$ binds per channel, at least as revealed from the re-inhibition kinetics following facilitation (Stephens et al. 1998; Zamponi & Snutch, 1998), it is possible that these three intracellular domains all form part of a complex binding pocket for both VDCC β subunits and $G\beta\gamma$ dimers.

The aim of the present work was to examine the involvement of VDCC β subunits in G protein modulation of $\alpha 1B$ currents, by expression studies in *Xenopus* oocytes. The central strategy was to monitor $\alpha 1B$ current activation associated either with the basal, tonic low levels of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits, or with an increase of $G\beta\gamma$ level induced by stimulation of dopamine D2 receptors. Our results provide evidence that VDCC β subunits oppose the $G\beta\gamma$ -mediated depolarising shift of $\alpha 1B$ current activation, and that this antagonistic action is facilitated by strong depolarization of the cell membrane. Furthermore, co-expression of all VDCC β subunits results in a dramatic increase in the rate of $\alpha 1B$ current facilitation at +100 mV.

METHODS

Molecular biology

The following cDNAs were used: rabbit $\alpha 1B$ (GenBank accession number: L15453), rat $\beta 1b$ (X11394), rat $\beta 2a$ (M80545), rat $\beta 3$ (M88751), rat $\beta 4$ (LO2315), rat $\alpha 2\delta 1$ neuronal splice variant (M86621) and rat D2_{long} receptor (X77458, N5 \rightarrow S). Mutant C3,4S- $\beta 2a$, in which the cysteines at positions 3 and 4 that are substrates for palmitoylation are mutated to serine, was made using standard molecular biology techniques with the forward primer TTC ATG CAG TCC TCC GGG CT, together with the reverse primer TG ACA GGT CAG GTA TCT GG. All cDNAs were subcloned into the expression vector pMT2 (Swick *et al.* 1992).

Expression of constructs and electrophysiological recording

Adult Xenopus laevis females were anaesthetised by immersion in 0.25% tricaine, and killed by decapitation and pithing. Oocytes were then surgically removed and defolliculated by treatment for 2 h at 21 °C with 2 mg ml⁻¹ collagenase type Ia in Ca²⁺-free ND96 saline containing (mm): 96 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 5 Hepes (pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH). Plasmid cDNAs (all at 1 ng nl^{-1}) for the $\alpha 1B$ subunit plus accessory β and $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunits, and D2 receptors were mixed in a ratio of 3:4:1:3 respectively (except when otherwise stated), and 4 nl was injected into the nuclei of stage V and VI oocytes. Injected oocytes were incubated at 18 °C for 3-7 days in ND96 saline (as above plus 1.8 mm CaCl₂) supplemented with $100 \,\mu \mathrm{g \, ml}^{-1}$ penicillin and 100 IU ml^{-1} streptomycin (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), and 2.5 mm sodium pyruvate. Two electrode voltage clamp recordings from oocytes were performed at room temperature (20-22 °C) during continuous superfusion of a chloride-free solution containing (mm): 5 Ba(OH)₂, 85 TEA-OH, 2 CsOH, 5 Hepes (pH 7.4 with methanesulfonic acid). Every oocyte was injected with 30–40 nl of a 100 mm solution of K₃-1,2-bis(aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'tetra-acetic acid (BAPTA) to suppress endogenous Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ currents. Electrodes contained 3 M KCl and had resistances of $0.3-2 \text{ M}\Omega$. The currents were amplified and low-pass filtered at 1 kHz by means of a Geneclamp 500 amplifier, and digitised through a Digidata 1200 interface using data acquisition software pCLAMP 6.02 (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA, USA).

Typically the oocytes were held at -100 mV and currents were evoked in sweeps composed of a 100 ms step to the indicated test potential (P1), followed by a 50 ms (unless otherwise stated)

depolarising pulse to +100 mV (unless otherwise stated) and a second 100 ms test step (P2). The +100 mV depolarising pulse was separated by 500 ms from P1, and by 20 ms from P2, except when otherwise stated. The interval between sweeps was 15 s. Current amplitude measurements were taken 20 ms after the start of test pulses in order to minimise the influence of time-dependent dissociation of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits during the test pulse, as well as differences due to the inactivation rate. The only exception was the steady-state inactivation protocol, where currents were measured at their peak within 100 ms, since no G protein involvement was studied. All values are means \pm s.E.M., and statistical significances were determined by Student's t test or paired t test as appropriate.

Data analysis

Data were analysed using Clampfit (Axon Instruments) and Origin 5.0 (Microcal software, Inc., Northampton, MA, USA). In order to correct for differences in voltage-dependent inactivation, relief of inhibition by a depolarising prepulse was calculated by normalising the P2/P1 ratio in the presence of quinpirole by the P2/P1 ratio in control condition (wash-out after a first application of quinpirole), in an adaptation of the 'corrected prepulse ratio' (Simen & Miller, 1998).

Current-voltage (I-V)-derived parameters were obtained by fitting an activation curve of a modified Boltzmann type:

$$I = G_{\text{max}}(V_{\text{t}} - V_{\text{rev}})/(1 + \exp(-(V_{\text{t}} - V_{50 \text{ act}})/k))$$

where $V_{\rm t}$ represents the test potential, $G_{\rm max}$ the maximum conductance, $V_{\rm rev}$ the apparent reversal potential, $V_{50,\rm act}$ the potential for half-activation, and k the potential range required for an e-fold change of current around $V_{50,\rm act}$. Activation curves were then derived from this.

The steady-state inactivation curves were also fitted by a Boltzmann equation:

$$I/I_{\rm max} = 1/[1 + \exp((V_{\rm t} - V_{50,\rm{inact}})/k)),$$

where I_{max} is the peak current value and $V_{50,\text{inact}}$ is the potential for half-inactivation, at which there is an e-fold change over k mV.

RESULTS

The currents analysed in the present work were all recorded with the same sequence and timing of protocols. For every cell, complete I-V relationships (-60 to +50 mV) were recorded prior to any application of the D2 receptor agonist quinpirole (100 nM), followed by a time course at the test potential of 0 mV, before, during and after (wash-out) the first perfusion with quinpirole. Subsequently, complete I-Vrelationships were performed following wash-out and during a second application of 100 nM quinpirole.

Regulation of $\alpha 1B$ activation and steady-state inactivation by VDCC β subunits

All known VDCC- β subunit isoforms have been reported consistently to enhance current amplitude and to hyperpolarise the voltage dependence of activation of $\alpha 1A$, $\alpha 1E$ and $\alpha 1C Ca^{2+}$ channels. So far, *Xenopus* oocytes have been shown to endogenously express two VDCC β isoforms, which are highly homologous to the rat brain $\beta 3$ subunit and have been found to modulate $\alpha 1E$ channels in a manner indistinguishable from their mammalian counterpart (Tareilus *et al.* 1997). We first assessed the influence of the over-expressed VDCC β subunits on the voltage dependence of activation of $\alpha 1B$ currents, recorded over a range of membrane potentials (-60 to +50 mV). In parellel with the enhancement of current amplitude (Fig. 1*A*), all VDCC β subunits hyperpolarised the mid-point for current activation ($V_{50,act}$), although $\beta 2a$ was the least effective isoform (Fig. 1*A* and *B*). This difference was abolished in a $\beta 2a$ mutant, in which cysteines 3 and 4 are mutated to serine (C3,4S- $\beta 2a$), which does not become palmitoylated (Qin *et al.* 1998; Chien & Hosey, 1998) (Fig. 1*B*).

 β 2a, in contrast to other β subunit isoforms, shows the distinctive properties of reducing dramatically the inactivation rate of α 1A, α 1E and α 1C channels, and depolarising rather than hyperpolarising their steady-state inactivation (De Waard & Campbell, 1995; Jones *et al.* 1998; Cens *et al.* 1998). We observed a similar shift in the voltage

dependence of steady-state inactivation for $\alpha 1B$ currents. The potential for 50% inactivation ($V_{50,inact}$) was hyperpolarised by the co-expression of $\beta 1b$, $\beta 3$ or $\beta 4$ subunits from about -40 mV to -70 mV (Fig. 1*C* and *D*). In clear contrast, $\beta 2a$ shifted the voltage dependence of the steadystate inactivation process in the opposite direction by about +10 mV. Again, the palmitoylation-deficient C3,4S- $\beta 2a$ mutant showed a $V_{50,inact}$ value identical to the VDCC β subunits other than $\beta 2a$ (Fig. 1*C* and *D*).

Basal G protein modulation of $\alpha 1B$

Membrane-delimited G protein modulation results, at a given potential, in a reduction of current amplitude and a slowing of the activation kinetics of $\alpha 1 \text{A}$, $\alpha 1 \text{B}$ and $\alpha 1 \text{E Ca}^{2+}$ channels. The observed slowing of the activation rate is thought to represent a voltage-dependent on-line relief of G protein inhibition, reaching its maximal expression in the fraction of current inhibition that is reversed by a strong



Figure 1. Regulation of α 1B activation and sustained inactivation by VDCC β subunits

 α 1B was expressed with α 2 δ and the dopamine D2 receptor, and either with or without the VDCC β subunits, β 1b, β 2a, β 3, β 4 or C3,4S- β 2a. All values shown in this figure were obtained before any stimulation of D2 receptors, and are given as means + s.E.M. A, I-V relationships for the different conditions (symbol key is shown in the box) were obtained by stepping the membrane potential from -60 to +50 mV in 10 mV steps, and current values were fitted to a modified Boltzmann function (see Methods). B, mean $V_{50,act}$ values obtained from A. Compared with $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents, the co-expression of any VDCC β subunits hyperpolarised significantly the mid-point for current activation (P < 0.01). Numbers of experiments for activation data shown in A and B are as follows: $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$, 9; $+\beta 1b$, 11; $+\beta 2a$, 20; $+C3,4S-\beta2a, 8; +\beta3, 12; +\beta4, 12.$ C, steady-state inactivation curves were obtained from 100 ms duration test pulses to 0 mV, preceded by a 25 s prepulse to the potential given (-100 to 0 mV). Peak current values were normalised and the fits shown are to a Boltzmann function (see Methods section). The symbols used are the same as in A. D, mean $V_{50,\text{inact}}$ values from C. Compared with $\alpha 1 \text{B}/\alpha 2\delta$ currents, the mid-point for steady-state inactivation was significantly depolarised by co-expression of $\beta 2a$ (P < 0.01), and hyperpolarised by co-expression of the other VDCC β subunits (including non-palmitoylated C3,4S- β 2a) (P < 0.01). Numbers of experiments for inactivation data shown in C and D are as follows: $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$, 4; $+\beta$ 1b, 5; $+\beta$ 2a, 5; +C3, 48- β 2a, 7; $+\beta$ 3, 4; $+\beta$ 4, 4.

depolarising pulse preceding the test pulse, namely prepulse facilitation. Voltage-dependent inhibition defined in this way has been related consistently to $G\beta\gamma$ actions in a number of cell systems (for review see Dolphin, 1998).

We aimed to examine the G protein modulation of $\alpha 1$ B in a scenario with a relatively low concentration of activated G proteins, and to compare it with the stronger modulation that occurs upon stimulation of G protein-coupled receptors. We therefore measured the amount of tonic prepulse facilitation under resting conditions, prior to any application of D2 receptor agonist, in oocytes co-expressing the different VDCC β subunits. Figure 2A-F depicts representative currents obtained at 0 mV, before (P1) and after (P2) application of a depolarising prepulse to +100 mV. Traces labelled 'con' show that tonic facilitation resulting from the 50 ms prepulse was greatest when no β subunits were co-expressed. Co-expression of any β subunit isoforms markedly reduced the facilitation ratio at this potential (Fig. 3A). However, since a common feature for G proteinmediated effects on calcium currents is that there is a reduction of inhibition at depolarised potentials, the facilitation ratio is also expected to change at different test potentials and this is observed here (Fig. 3A). Comparison of the tonic facilitation ratio at any potential (in the -20 to +30 mV range) resulted in the highest maximal ratio being over 1.3 for the $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ combination, whereas the co-



Figure 2. Current traces in the absence and presence of co-expressed VDCC β subunits, showing tonic facilitation and over-recovery after the initial application of quinpirole

Representative traces are shown for all subunit combinations $\alpha 1 \text{B}/\alpha 2\delta$ (A), $+\beta 1\text{b}$ (B), $+\beta 2\text{a}$ (C), $+C3,48-\beta 2\text{a}$ (D), $+\beta 3$ (E) and $+\beta 4$ (F). Currents were recorded at 0 mV membrane potential. Top left, voltage waveform used to elicit the depicted currents. P1 indicates test pulse before a 50 ms, +100 mV depolarising prepulse, 20 ms before the P2 test pulse. Control (con) refers to the control condition before application of quinpirole, as explained in the text. The effect of a first application of quinpirole (100 nm, quin) and washout of quinpirole, once the current amplitude had stabilised (rec) are shown. The percentage over-recovery is calculated as $(1 - P1rec/P1con) \times 100$. The intersection of the vertical grids (20 ms after the start of the test pulse) with the current traces indicates the points of measurement. Horizontal grids show amount of facilitation in each condition.

)
J

Condition	n	Control		Over-recovery		Quinpirole (2nd application)	
		P1	P2	P1	P2	P1	P2
α 1B/ α 2δ (50 ms PP)	9	$+1.0 \pm 1.0$	-3.6 ± 0.9	-1.7 ± 0.7	-3.3 ± 0.8	$+8.5 \pm 0.7$	$+1.6 \pm 1.1$
$\alpha 1 B / \alpha 2 \delta$ (200 ms PP)	4	$+3.7 \pm 0.1$	-4.6 ± 1.0	$+0.8 \pm 0.9$	-4.6 ± 0.6	$+10.3 \pm 0.4$	-2.6 ± 0.8
$+\beta$ 1b	11	-14.9 ± 0.8	-16.2 ± 0.9	-15.4 ± 0.8	-16.0 ± 1.1	-9.2 ± 0.9	-16.9 ± 0.8
$+\beta 2a$	20	-9.8 ± 0.7	-11.6 ± 0.7	-11.3 ± 0.6	-12.8 ± 0.7	-6.6 ± 0.7	-11.8 ± 0.7
$+C3,4S-\beta 2a$	8	$-15\cdot2\pm1\cdot2$	-16.7 ± 1.3	-15.7 ± 1.2	-16.9 ± 1.3	-10.5 ± 1.1	-16.5 ± 1.2
$+\beta$ 3	12	-13.5 ± 0.8	-15.0 ± 0.8	-15.3 ± 0.7	-16.5 ± 0.7	-8.4 ± 0.6	-15.6 ± 0.6
$+\beta 4$	12	-11.6 + 0.8	-13.3 ± 0.7	$-13 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 8$	-14.1 + 0.8	-7.7 + 0.8	-13.4 ± 0.9

Table 1. $V_{50 \text{ act}}$ (in mV) values for the different experimental conditions used

P1 refers to before, and P2 after, a prepulse to ± 100 mV. The prepulse (PP) duration was 50 ms except where otherwise indicated. See Figs 3*C* and *D* and 6*D* for statistical significance of differences between the values. The number of cells for each condition is given by *n*.





A number of parameters associated with basal G protein modulation have been examined. A, corrected P2/P1 ratios in the -20 to +30 mV range for control currents resulting from a 50 ms prepulse (PP), unless stated in the key, prior to any perfusion of quinpirole. Tonic facilitation was significantly higher in the absence of exogenous VDCC β subunits but the difference decreased at more positive test potentials. *****, facilitation values of $\alpha 1B \alpha 2\delta$ currents obtained upon 200 ms prepulses, which we established as a duration producing maximal facilitation in this case. *B*, percentage over-recovery (P1rec/P1con) during the wash-out, after a first perfusion of the D2 agonist quinpirole (100 nM), at a wide range (-20 to +30 mV) of test pulses. *C*, hyperpolarisation of V_{50} for activation associated with removal of tonic inhibition, as the difference between $V_{50,act}$ in control and $V_{50,act}$ in the over-recovered currents. The actual $V_{50,act}$ values for each condition are given in Table 1. *D*, hyperpolarization of V_{50} for activation associated with the relief of inhibition by a +100 mV prepulse. V_{50} values were derived from fitting *I*–V curves (-60 to +50 mV) to a modified Boltzmann function, as stated in the Methods section. *N* numbers are as follows: $\alpha 1B \alpha 2\delta$ (50 ms prepulse), 9; $\alpha 1B \alpha 2\delta$ (200 ms prepulse), 4; $+\beta 1b$, 11; $+\beta 2a$, 20; $+C3,48-\beta 2a$, 8; $+\beta 3$, 12; $+\beta 4$, 12. Statistical significance compared with $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ for shift in $V_{50,act}$ is indicated by **P* < 0.01 (Student's independent *t* test).

expression of any exogenous β subunit reduced the maximal ratio to less than 1.2 (Fig. 3A). Moreover, by lengthening the prepulse to 200 ms, representing a supramaximal duration for facilitation (see Fig. 8A for explanation), the $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents reached a higher tonic facilitation ratio of ~1.5 (Fig. 3A), whereas this manipulation had no effect when VDCC β subunits were co-expressed (results not shown).

After assessing the tonic facilitation values, quinpirole (100 nM) was then applied. As we have shown in previous studies (Page *et al.* 1998; Cantí *et al.* 1999), D2 receptor stimulation inhibited the amplitude and slowed the activation rate of α 1B currents, both effects being partially relieved by a depolarising prepulse (Fig. 2). In all cases the action of quinpirole reached a peak within 0.5–1 min after the start of its application. After 2 min, quinpirole was washed out for 3–5 min, resulting in over-recovery compared to initial control values (traces labelled P1rec in Fig. 2). The over-recovery phenomenon has been related to removal of

basal modulation (Roche & Treistman, 1998b). The amount of over-recovery also provides, by subtraction, a different estimate of tonic inhibition, and we investigated its evolution along the I-V relationship. In all cases the peak potential for over-recovery was around -10 mV, although the values showed little or no decline as the test pulse was depolarised in the -20 to +30 mV range (Fig. 3B). The $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ combination was maximally tonically inhibited by 31.5%, which was not significantly different from the maximal tonic inhibition in the presence of any of the β subunits. However, there were significant differences between different β subunits, such that the tonic inhibition by $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 4$ was consistently elevated compared with $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 2a$ over the whole voltage range (P < 0.01).

Together with the effects on current amplitude and kinetics, G protein modulation depolarises the voltage dependence of activation of Ca^{2+} channel currents (for review see Dolphin, 1998). This also proved true for the tonically modulated



Figure 4. Current traces in the absence and presence of co-expressed VDCC β subunits, showing inhibition by a second application of quinpirole and reversal by a depolarizing prepulse

A-F, membrane currents elicited upon 0 mV test pulses as in Fig. 2 (waveform shown top left). Currents following wash-out of the first application of quinpirole (rec) were challenged with quinpirole (100 nM) for a second time (quin(2)). The percentage inhibition is calculated as $(1 - Pquin/Pcon) \times 100$, either before (P1) or after (P2) a depolarising prepulse. The displayed traces are from the same cells whose current traces are shown in Fig. 2.

 $\alpha 1B$ currents recorded under our experimental conditions, and the effect was countered by co-expression of VDCC β subunits (Fig. 3*C* and Table 1). Indeed, in parallel to the facilitation of current amplitude, application of a depolarising prepulse induced a negative shift of the *I-V* relationship, which was reduced by co-expression of VDCC β subunits where the activation was already hyperpolarised (Fig. 3*D* and Table 1). Thus, although no antagonism was found in terms of current inhibition (except for $\beta 2a$ from the over-recovery measure of tonic inhibition), VDCC β subunits opposed the depolarising shift in current activation resulting from basally active G proteins.

Modulation of $\alpha 1B$ by stimulation of the D2 receptor

Over-recovery after the first application of quinpirole was useful not only for assessment of tonic inhibition, but also because in the process the Ba²⁺ currents recovered from tonic inhibition and therefore constituted a better starting point for studying agonist-mediated inhibition, which otherwise could be largely occluded. Nonetheless, overrecovered currents were not completely devoid of tonic inhibition as shown by the traces of $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ and $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 2a$ currents depicted in Fig. 2 (labelled Prec), which reveal a residual facilitation at 0 mV. This feature was not unique to these subunit combinations, since significant residual facilitation was also present for the other combinations including VDCC β subunits at more negative potentials (not shown).

Following over-recovery, the effect of a second application of 100 nM quinpirole was examined (designated quin(2), Fig. 4). Regardless of the co-expression of VDCC β subunits, agonist-mediated inhibition of $\alpha 1B$ currents reached a peak at potentials before the V_{50} for activation and then decayed steeply (Fig. 5A). As the I-V relationships peaked at different potentials, depending on the co-expression of VDCC β subunits (Fig. 1, Fig. 6A-C), it was of interest to compare the maximal values for inhibition irrespective of the membrane potential. For $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ in the absence of coexpressed β subunits, a peak inhibition of 69.6% was obtained at -10 mV test pulses. Co-expression of most VDCC β subunits hardly attenuated the inhibitory effect: β 1b did not diminish the current inhibition, with 70.4% inhibition at -20 mV, followed by $\beta 3$ (62.2% inhibition at -20 mV) and $\beta 4$ (59.0% inhibition at -20 mV) (Fig. 5A). In contrast, $\beta 2a$ was the only β subunit to significantly and



Figure 5. Inhibition by quinpirole of $\alpha 1B$ currents, in the presence or absence of co-expressed VDCC β subunits

A, the percentage inhibition by quinpirole in P1 for currents formed by $\alpha 1 \text{B}/\alpha 2\delta$ without co-expressed VDCC β subunits, or with the VDCC $\beta 1b$, $\beta 3$ or $\beta 4$ subunits, as shown in the key. Inhibition was measured over the -30 to +30 mV range. The control values for the 50 and 200 ms prepulse (PP) data were not significantly different and have been combined (O). *B*, the percentage inhibition by quinpirole in P1 for currents formed by $\alpha 1 \text{B}/\alpha 2\delta$ without co-expressed VDCC β subunits, or with the VDCC $\beta 2a$, or C3,4S- $\beta 2a$ subunits, symbols as shown in the key. The controls for the 50 and 200 ms prepulse (PP) data were not significantly different and have been combined (O). *C*, the percentage inhibition in P2, after a depolarizing prepulse of 50 or 200 ms duration, as stated, for the same combinations of subunits as in *A* and *B*. *D*, facilitation ratio (as corrected P2/P1, see Methods) for the different subunit combinations (see key), between -30 and +30 mV. The numbers of experiments are as follows for both *A* and *B*: $\alpha 1B \alpha 2\delta$ (200 ms prepulse), 9; $\alpha 1B \alpha 2\delta$ (200 ms prepulse), 4; $+\beta 1b$, 11; $+\beta 2a$, 20; $+C3,4S-\beta 2a$, 8; $+\beta 3$, 12; $+\beta 4$, 12.

consistently result in a reduced inhibition (50.9% inhibition at -10 mV, P < 0.01) (Fig. 5B). This effect of β 2a was largely reversed at all potentials in the C3,4S- β 2a mutant (61.8% inhibition at -20 mV; Fig. 5B).

We also measured the degree of quinpirole-induced inhibition for test pulses applied 20 ms after a 50 ms depolarising pulse to +100 mV, termed P2 pulses. In this condition all groups showed a decrease of inhibition, though the co-expression of any VDCC β subunits strongly enhanced such voltage-dependent relief, in remarkable contrast with the effects seen on basal modulation. For $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ in the absence of β subunits, the agonist-induced inhibition in P2 after a 50 ms prepulse was 49.2% (at -10 mV) and this was lowered to 17.8% (at -20 mV, P < 0.01) for β 1b, 16.9% (at -20 mV, P < 0.01) for β 2a, 16.3% (at -20 mV, P < 0.01) for $\beta 3$, 15.6% (at -20 mV, P < 0.01) for $\beta 4$ and 13.6% (at -30 mV, P < 0.01) for C3,4S- β 2a (Fig. 5C). However, application of a 200 ms prepulse reduced the maximal residual inhibition in P2 for the $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ combination to a level approaching that

obtained with co-expression of VDCC β subunits (26.2% at -10 mV, P < 0.01) (Fig. 5*C*).

In all cases the prepulse facilitation ratio peaked at the same potential as that at which the P1 inhibition was maximal (Fig. 5D). Although $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ expressed alone displayed a much higher P2 inhibition, its maximal facilitation ratio for a 50 ms prepulse was not different from that in the presence of $\beta 2a$ (1·8 at 0 mV). The other VDCC β isoforms gave higher values, being 2·2 ($\beta 4$), 2·3 ($\beta 3$) and 2·6 ($\beta 1b$, C3,4S- $\beta 2a$), all at -20 mV test pulses (Fig. 5D). Nevertheless, application of a supramaximal 200 ms prepulse enhanced the facilitation of $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents up to a level similar to the co-expression of the $\beta 3$ and $\beta 4$ isoforms ($\sim 2\cdot 2$) (Fig. 5D).

We also analysed the role of VDCC β subunits in the quinpirole-mediated depolarisation of $\alpha 1B$ current activation. As shown in Fig. 1, VDCC β subunits strongly hyperpolarise the control I-V relationship of $\alpha 1B$ currents. The agonist-induced depolarisation of the V_{50} for activation in all experimental groups is shown in Fig. 6D (filled bars) and the actual $V_{50,act}$ values are given in Table 1. In the



Figure 6. Facilitation of $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents in the absence and presence of over-expressed β subunits

A, I-V relationships for $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents: for control (over-recovery), during the second quinpirole application, and after a 50 ms depolarizing prepulse (PP) in the presence of the agonist. B, I-V relationships for $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents as in A, from a different set of cells, where a prepulse of 200 ms duration was applied. C, I-V relationships for $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 3$ currents, showing the effect of quinpirole and reversal by a 50 ms depolarizing prepulse. D, mean depolarization of $V_{50,act}$ values from I-V relationships as in A-C, for all different subunit combinations. Depolarization of the $V_{50,act}$ due to quinpirole is partially antagonised by co-expression of any VDCC β subunits (filled bars). When preceded by a 50 or 200 ms prepulse (as stated, open bars), the depolarization of the $V_{50,act}$ is reduced. Numbers of experiments are as follows: $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ (50 ms prepulse), 9; $\alpha 1B \alpha 2\delta$ (200 ms prepulse), 4; $+\beta 1b$, 11; $+\beta 2a$, 20; $+C3,48-\beta 2a$, 8; $+\beta 3$, 12; $+\beta 4$, 12. Statistical significance compared to $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ (200 ms data) are given by *P < 0.01 for shift in $V_{50,act}$ before PP and $\dagger P < 0.01$ for the shift in $V_{50,act}$ after PP (Student's independent t test).

absence of exogenous VDCC β subunits, quinpirole caused a +11·2 mV shift of the α 1B current-voltage relationship. Co-expression of all VDCC β isoforms consistently opposed this depolarising action, the values being reduced between +4·6 and +6·8 mV (P < 0.01). The antagonism by VDCC β subunits of G protein-mediated depolarisation of current activation was augmented by application of +100 mV steps before the test pulses (Fig. 6*D*, open bars). Thus a 50 ms depolarising prepulse reversed the G protein effects on current activation, and the degree of facilitation was enhanced by co-expression of all β subtypes from a partial to a complete reversal of inhibition. However, for α 1B/ α 2 δ an increase in the reversal of a quinpirole-mediated shift in $V_{50,act}$ was achieved by increasing the depolarising prepulse to 200 ms duration (Fig. 6*D*), while there was no significant effect of lengthening the prepulse duration for the combinations containing β subunits (not shown).

Kinetics of facilitation and re-inhibition for the $\alpha 1B-G\beta \gamma$ interaction

Because of the reduced ability of a 50 ms prepulse to reverse the quinpirole-induced inhibition in the absence of coexpressed VDCC β subunits (Fig. 4*A*, compared with Fig. 4*B*-*F*), we examined the dependence of facilitation in the presence of quinpirole on prepulse duration (Fig. 7*A*). The rate of current facilitation, which can be measured by varying the length of the depolarising prepulse, is believed to reflect the apparent dissociation rate of G $\beta\gamma$ from the open α 1B Ca²⁺ channels. In all cases the facilitation rate could be fitted with a single exponential (Fig. 7*A*). Co-



Figure 7. The effects of co-expressed VDCC β subunits on kinetics of facilitation and re-inhibition of $\alpha 1B$ currents in the presence of quinpirole

A, top panel, voltage waveform for measurement of facilitation rate in the presence of quinpirole. The duration of the prepulse (Δt) was increased in 5 ms ($\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ co-expressed with VDCC β subunits) or 10 ms steps ($\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ alone). The P1 and P2 currents (measured at -10 mV) were then subtracted and the plot of the differences (P2 - P1 values normalised to the maximum) was fitted to a single exponential function. The graph shows examples of the facilitation rate for $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 3$, $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 2a$ and $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents. Continuous lines are the result of exponential fits to the data. B, the histogram of the resulting τ values for facilitation, determined as in A, for all subunit combinations, as shown beneath the histogram bars. C, top panel, voltage waveform for measurement of re-inhibition: following the depolarizing prepulse, the time before the subsequent test pulse (Δt) was progressively increased in 50 ms steps from 20 to 820 ms. Currents were measured and normalised as for the facilitation protocols, and the data were fitted to a single exponential, shown by the continuous lines. All data are from -10 mV test pulses, and data are only shown for $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$, $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 2a$ and $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 3$. D, histogram of the resulting τ values for re-inhibition, determined as in C, for all subunit combinations, as shown beneath the histogram bars. Numbers on the histogram bars indicate the number of cells for each condition, and statistical significance, compared with $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ alone, is indicated by *P < 0.01 (Student's t test).

expression of any VDCC β subunit caused a significant increase of the facilitation rate during a +100 mV prepulse, compared with the $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents (Fig. 7A and B). In the absence of expressed VDCC β subunits, the facilitation time constant (τ_{facil}) was 88.7 ms. The most dramatic reduction in τ_{facil} (to 4.5 ms) occurred with expression of the $\beta 3$ subunit. The rank order for facilitation rate was $\beta 3 > \beta 4$ (6.9 ms) $> \beta 1$ b (10.3 ms) $> C3,48-\beta 2a$ (11.9 ms) $> \beta 2a$ (17.5 ms) (Fig. 7B). For $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ co-expressed with VDCC $\beta 3$, the voltage for 50% facilitation by a 50 ms prepulse was +12.8 mV, and saturation occurred at +80 mV. In the

absence of co-expressed VDCC β subunits, the equivalent value was +30.9 mV (results not shown). Thus significant facilitation will occur during test steps, particularly in the presence of VDCC β subunits.

The rate of re-inhibition of $\alpha 1B$ channels at the holding potential, following the depolarising prepulse, which is thought to represent the net rate of re-binding of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits, can be assessed by varying the duration of the interval between the depolarising step and the subsequent test pulse, P2. It has been shown previously that the rate of re-inhibition following facilitation is strongly influenced by



Figure 8. Comparison of the kinetics of facilitation and re-inhibition of $\alpha 1B$ currents, in the absence and presence of co-expressed VDCC $\beta 3$ subunits, during tonic and agonist-induced modulation

A, top panel, voltage waveform for measurement of facilitation rate. The duration of the prepulse (Δt) was increased in 5 ms ($\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ co-expressed with VDCC β subunits) or 10 ms steps ($\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ alone). All data are from -10 mV test pulses. The P1 and P2 currents were then subtracted and the plot of the difference (P2 - P1 values normalised to the maximum) was fitted to a single exponential function. The graph shows the facilitation rate for $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 3$ (circles) and $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ currents (squares), both before (tonic modulation, open symbols) and during (agonist-induced modulation, filled symbols) application of quinpirole. Continuous lines are the result of single exponential fits to the data. B, the histogram gives the τ values for facilitation, determined from exponential fits to individual data, for the subunit combinations shown beneath the histogram bars. The filled bars indicate the tonic modulation condition, and the open bars represent agonist-induced modulation. C, top panel, voltage waveform for measurement of re-inhibition rate: following the depolarizing prepulse, the time before the subsequent test pulse (Δt) was progressively increased in 50 ms steps from 20 to 820 ms. Currents were measured and normalised as for the facilitation protocols, and the data were fitted to a single exponential, shown by the continuous lines. All data are from -10 mV test pulses, and data are shown for $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ and $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 3$ combinations, using the same symbols as in A. The histogram gives the τ values for re-inhibition, determined from exponential fits to the individual measurements, for the subunit combinations shown beneath the histogram bars. The filled bars indicate the tonic modulation condition, and the open bars represent agonist-induced modulation. Numbers on the histogram bars in both B and D indicate the number of cells for each condition, and statistical significance, of tonic compared to agonist induced modulation, is indicated by *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01(Student's paired t test).

the concentration of free $G\beta\gamma$ dimers (Stephens *et al.* 1998; Delmas *et al.* 1998). Moreover, a direct relationship was found between the rate of re-inhibition and the concentration of $G\beta\gamma$, fitting a bimolecular reaction model (Zamponi & Snutch, 1998). In contrast, in the present study, we observed that co-expression of $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ with any of the VDCC β subunits did not cause a major change in the rate of re-inhibition (Fig. 7*C* and *D*). For the $\alpha 1B/\alpha 2\delta$ combination alone, the time constant for re-inhibition ($\tau_{reinhib}$) was 207.0 ms, not significantly different from that with $\beta 1b$ ($\tau_{reinhib}$, 193.1 ms) or C3,4S- $\beta 2a$ ($\tau_{reinhib}$, 194.5 ms). The rest of the β subunit isoforms induced a small, yet statistically significant, increase in re-inhibition rate, the $\tau_{reinhib}$ being 176.8 ms for $\beta 2a$, 168.7 ms for $\beta 3$ and 162.4 ms for $\beta 4$.

These results show that a higher facilitation ratio for 50 ms prepulses is primarily associated with a faster facilitation rate, consistent with a faster unbinding rate for the $G\beta\gamma$ dimers. The curves for facilitation demonstrate that by increasing the time of the depolarisation, facilitation reaches a maximum and reverses most of the inhibition. Whereas this process is much slower in the absence of exogenously expressed VDCC β subunits, it also eventually reaches maximal reversal (Figs 6*B* and 7*A*).

Comparison of the kinetics of facilitation and re-inhibition of $\alpha 1B$ currents, in the absence and presence of co-expressed VDCC $\beta 3$ subunits, during tonic and agonist-induced modulation

To complement the experiments described above, we have also examined the properties of prepulse facilitation under resting conditions, where there is a low tonic basal level of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits, prior to any application of D2 receptor agonist, to examine whether the facilitation rate at +100 mV is dependent on $G\beta\gamma$ concentration. Currents were examined at -10 mV, before and after application of a depolarising prepulse to +100 mV for the two extreme combinations: $\alpha 1 B / \alpha 2 \delta$ and $\alpha 1 B / \alpha 2 \delta / \beta 3$. The data in Fig. 8A show a comparison, in which facilitation rate was measured before and during quinpirole application in the same cells, expressing either $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ or $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 3$. For the $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta 3$ combination, there was no difference in the $\tau_{\rm facil}$ at +100 mV, in these two conditions, whereas for the $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ combination, the facilitation rate was slightly but significantly faster during tonic facilitation, compared with agonist-induced facilitation (Fig. 8B). The marked difference in rate between the presence and absence of over-expressed VDCC β 3 subunits was observed under both these conditions (Fig. 8A and B). As expected, the rate of reinhibition at -100 mV following a prepulse was markedly slower for tonic inhibition (filled bars), than for quinpirolemediated inhibition (open bars), again compared in the same cells (Fig. 8C and D). Furthermore, a small but consistently faster rate of re-inhibition was observed in the presence, compared to the absence, of co-expressed VDCC β 3 subunits, for both tonic and agonist-induced modulation (Fig. 8*C* and *D*).

DISCUSSION

An important regulatory feature of VDCC β subunits is to hyperpolarise the activation of Ca^{2+} channels. Even though this has a partial contribution related to removal of G protein-mediated basal inhibition, such a change in the activation voltage is the result of a direct regulatory action of VDCC β subunits on the $\alpha 1 \text{ B Ca}^{2+}$ channels, since it also applies to $\alpha 1B$ constructs insensitive to G proteins (see Page et al. 1998). Conversely, a hallmark for G protein-mediated effects is a depolarisation of current activation. There is currently a broad consensus that the voltage-dependent relief of inhibition resulting from a large depolarising prepulse is partially the result of voltage-dependent unbinding of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits from Ca²⁺ channels (see Dolphin, 1998 for review). Our data suggest that the actions of the VDCC β and $G\beta\gamma$ subunits are not only opposite, but they also antagonise each other in a voltage-dependent fashion. Furthermore, strong depolarisation will remove the influence of $G\beta\gamma$, hence facilitating the hyperpolarising effects of VDCC β subunits on the activation of $\alpha 1B$ channels. In contrast, the rate of facilitation during a prepulse is dependent on the levels of VDCC β subunits. It is possible that this is because the $\alpha 1B$ channels attached to β subunits will adopt a conformation making the $G\beta\gamma$ subunits more susceptible to voltage-dependent unbinding.

In contrast to previous studies, we have found that coexpression of VDCC β subunits caused no generalised reduction of dopamine D2 receptor-mediated inhibition of $\alpha 1B$ currents, which maximally reached $\sim 20\%$ smaller inhibition for $\beta 2a$, compared with the greater degree of antagonism reported for $\alpha 1 E$ or $\alpha 1 A$ subunits (Bourinet *et al.*) 1996; Qin et al. 1998; Roche & Treistman, 1998a). Although this may indicate real differences in the sensitivity of the different $\alpha 1$ subunits to the influence of VDCC β and $G\beta\gamma$ subunits, in previous studies isopotential measurements were made, not taking into account the hyperpolarisation of activation resulting from co-expression of VDCC β subunits. Furthermore, current measurements were generally taken at 50 or 100 ms, instead of 20 ms used in the present study, allowing more time for $G\beta\gamma$ dissociation to occur during the test pulse, and we have found a much higher apparent $G\beta\gamma$ dissociation rate associated with VDCC β subunit coexpression (Fig. 7). For example, measuring currents at the end of the 100 ms pulse instead of at 20 ms would almost double the apparent reduction of inhibition due to $\beta 2a$ at 0 mV in our experiments.

From facilitation rate to facilitation ratio; basal modulation versus agonist-induced modulation

For agonist-mediated inhibitory modulation of $\alpha 1B$, the final amount of facilitation is not correlated with the rate of facilitation, provided the prepulse duration is maximal (compare Figs 5D and 7B). Thus, VDCC β subunits enhance the facilitation rate, but not the maximal facilitation ratio itself. Another important point is that under conditions of tonic modulation, associated with a low tonic level of activated G proteins, the exogenous expression of VDCC β subunits halts voltage-dependent facilitation. Such an apparent contradiction is not due to a distinct dissociation rate for the low level $G\beta\gamma$ subunits present tonically, since we have found that the main difference between tonic and agonist-induced facilitation is a slowing of the re-inhibition rate under tonic modulation conditions (Fig. 8A and B), in good agreement with data from COS-7 cells (Stephens *et al.* 1998). A possible explanation comes from representation of the $G\beta\gamma$ binding to α 1B subunits as a bi-molecular reaction:

$$C + G\beta\gamma \stackrel{k_{on}}{\underset{k_{off}}{\rightleftharpoons}} C.G\beta\gamma$$

where C is a closed state of the calcium channel $\alpha 1$ B subunit, $k_{\rm on}$ is the association time constant and $k_{\rm off}$ is the dissociation time constant, both of them likely to have intrinsic voltage dependencies.

At equilibrium, by the law of mass action:

$$k_{\rm on}[G\beta\gamma][C] = k_{\rm off}[C.G\beta\gamma],$$

where $[G\beta\gamma]$ and $[C.G\beta\gamma]$ are the concentrations of free and channel-bound $G\beta\gamma$, respectively, at -100 mV.

$$\tau_{\text{reinhib}} = 1/(k_{\text{on}}[G\beta\gamma] + k_{\text{off}}), \qquad (1)$$

thus an increase in $G\beta\gamma$ concentration (agonist-induced compared with basal modulation) will result in a decrease in the time constant for re-inhibition, as previously reported (Stephens *et al.* 1998; Fig. 8*A* and *B* in the present work).

Steady-state inhibition =
$$k_{on}[G\beta\gamma]/(k_{on}[G\beta\gamma] + k_{off})$$
 (2)

and

$$P1/P2 = k_{off} / (k_{on}[G\beta\gamma] + k_{off})$$
(3)

therefore the facilitation ratio

$$P2/P1 = 1 + (k_{on}[G\beta\gamma]/k_{off}).$$
(4)

As formulated, the facilitation ratio will approach unity (P2/P1 \approx 1) when the when [G $\beta\gamma$] is low (basal modulation) and k_{off} is high (by the co-expression of VDCC β subunits). In contrast, P2 > P1 either in the absence of co-expressed VDCC β subunits (low k_{off} value) or in a situation of agonist-induced modulation (high [G $\beta\gamma$]). Furthermore, according to eqn (4), the co-expression of VDCC β subunits will result in a lower agonist-induced facilitation ratio by increasing k_{off} , unless this effect is voltage dependent, and τ_{reinhib} will be smaller under these conditions, for the same reason. These predictions fulfil our experimental observations.

It is also of interest that while both over-recovery and tonic voltage-dependent facilitation provide estimates of tonic G protein-mediated inhibition, they are not identical. Notably, over-recovery does not diminish over the voltage range measured. Over-recovery probably represents a reduction in the tonic level of free $G\beta\gamma$, associated with the recovery from agonist stimulation. This is supported by the fact that over-expression of either $G\alpha$ (Roche & Treistman, 1998b) or $G\alpha$ o (C. Cantí & A. C. Dolphin, unpublished results) in combination with α 1B subunits, induces a complete removal of tonic modulation (both tonic facilitation and overrecovery features) together with an enhancement of the agonist-mediated inhibition. This observation is consistent with the assumption that G protein α subunits act as a sink for tonically free $G\beta\gamma$, and thus recruit more $G\alpha\beta\gamma$ heterotrimers, which are able to dissociate upon receptor activation. In contrast to over-recovery, tonic facilitation represents the ability of a depolarising prepulse to overcome the modulation induced by the tonic low level of $G\beta\gamma$ dimers, and as such is also reduced at large test depolarisations.

An alternative hypothesis to explain why co-expression of VDCC β subunits diminishes tonic facilitation, despite enhancing the facilitation rate, would be if $\alpha 1 B / \alpha 2 \delta$ currents were significantly more tonically inhibited than $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta$ currents, as suggested by a higher residual facilitation at 0 mV in the absence of β subunits (Fig. 2, traces labelled Prec). This explanation would imply that the agonist-mediated inhibition is underestimated specifically in the absence of β subunits. However, it should be noted that: (1) residual inhibition is voltage dependent, and it is present for all β subunit combinations at more negative test potentials, (2) the percentage over-recovery does not vary significantly between $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta$ and $\alpha 1 B/\alpha 2\delta/\beta$ currents, and (3) Roche & Treistman (1998b) found that co-expression of $G\alpha_i$, subunits enhanced agonist-mediated inhibition of the over-recovered α 1B currents to a slightly smaller extent than for $\alpha 1 B / \beta 3$ currents.

Differences between VDCC β subtypes

The VDCC β_{2a} subunit behaves in a strikingly different manner from the other VDCC β subunit isoforms, in that it depolarises rather than hyperpolarises the steady-state inactivation. These unique properties are also linked to a clear antagonism of G protein-mediated inhibition and to an apparently lower ability to promote the voltage-dependent relief of G protein-mediated inhibition by a strong positive prepulse. Intriguingly, N terminal palmitoylation is responsible for all differential features of $\beta 2a$, as the $\beta 2a$ mutant in which cysteines 3 and 4 are mutated to serines, and which is not palmitoylated (Qin et al. 1998), shows characteristics close to the other β subunit isoforms, and in particular very close to β 1b. At present the molecular mechanism for such differences remains unknown (but see Cens et al. 1999). As palmitoyl residues allow nontransmembrane proteins to anchor to the membrane, a plausible explanation would be that $\beta 2a$, unlike the other β subunit isoforms, could have the ability to bind to additional domains on the α 1B channel by virtue of its palmitate tether.

Conclusions

Our data suggest that receptor-activated high levels of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits result in a functional interference (either direct or allosteric) with the ability of VDCC β subunits to hyper-

polarise the voltage-dependent activation of $\alpha 1$ B Ca²⁺ channels. The G $\beta\gamma$ -mediated inhibition of the $\alpha 1$ B channels is voltage sensitive, and the effect of VDCC β subunit on the rate of loss of G protein regulation is also dependent on the membrane potential, being observed only during strong depolarisation.

One of the implications arising from such a model is the necessity of the presence of VDCC β subunits for voltagedependent facilitation and reciprocal inhibition to occur. With respect to this point, we have observed in another study that voltage-dependent G protein-mediated inhibition of α 1B channels is absent from COS-7 cells unless a VDCC β subunit is co-expressed (Meir *et al.* 2000). The endogenous level of VDCC β subunit protein appears to be negligible in COS-7 cells (Meir *et al.* 2000), whereas an endogenous β 3 is present in *Xenopus* oocytes (Tareilus *et al.* 1997). Future work will determine how the interaction between $G\beta\gamma$ dimers and Ca²⁺ channel β subunits with α 1B results in functional antagonism at the molecular level.

- BOURINET, E., SOONG, T. W., STEA, A. & SNUTCH, T. P. (1996). Determinants of the G protein-dependent opioid modulation of neuronal calcium channels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 93, 1486–1491.
- BRICE, N. L., BERROW, N. S., CAMPBELL, V., PAGE, K. M., BRICKLEY, K., TEDDER, I. & DOLPHIN, A. C. (1997). Importance of the different β subunits in the membrane expression of the α 1A and α 2 calcium channel subunits: studies using a depolarisation-sensitive α 1A antibody. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **9**, 749–759.
- CAMPBELL, V., BERROW, N., BRICKLEY, K., PAGE, K., WADE, R. & DOLPHIN, A. C. (1995). Voltage-dependent calcium channel β -subunits in combination with $\alpha 1$ subunits have a GTPase activating effect to promote hydrolysis of GTP by $G\alpha_0$ in rat frontal cortex. *FEBS Letters* **370**, 135–140.
- CANTÍ, C., PAGE, K. M., STEPHENS, G. J. & DOLPHIN, A. C. (1999). Identification of residues in the N-terminus of $\alpha 1B$ critical for inhibition of the voltage-dependent calcium channel by $G\beta\gamma$. Journal of Neuroscience 19, 6855–6864.
- CENS, T., RESTITUITO, S., GALAS, S. & CHARNET, P. (1999). Voltage and calcium use the same molecular determinants to inactivate calcium channels. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 274, 5483–5490.
- CENS, T., RESTITUITO, S., VALLENTIN, A. & CHARNET, P. (1998). Promotion and inhibition of L-type Ca²⁺ channel facilitation by distinct domains of the subunit. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 273, 18308–18315.
- CHIEN, A. J. & HOSEY, M. M. (1998). Post-translational modifications of β subunits of voltage-dependent calcium channels. *Journal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes* **30**, 377–386.
- DE WAARD, M. & CAMPBELL, K. P. (1995). Subunit regulation of the neuronal α_{1A} Ca²⁺ channel expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Journal of Physiology* **485**, 619–634.
- DE WAARD, M., LIU, H. Y., WALKER, D., SCOTT, V. E. S., GURNETT, C. A. & CAMPBELL, K. P. (1997). Direct binding of G protein $\beta\gamma$ complex to voltage-dependent calcium channels. *Nature* **385**, 446–450.

- DELMAS, P., BROWN, D. A., DAYRELL, M., ABOGADIE, F. C., CAULFIELD, M. P. & BUCKLEY, N. J. (1998). On the role of endogenous G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits in N-type Ca²⁺ current inhibition by neurotransmitters in rat sympathetic neurones. *Journal of Physiology* **506**, 319–329.
- DOLPHIN, A. C. (1998). Mechanisms of modulation of voltagedependent calcium channels by G proteins. *Journal of Physiology* **506**, 3–11.
- DUNLAP, K., LUEBKE, J. I. & TURNER, T. J. (1995). Exocytotic Ca²⁺ channels in mammalian central neurons. *Trends in Neurosciences* 18, 89–98.
- HERLITZE, S., GARCIA, D. E., MACKIE, K., HILLE, B., SCHEUER, T. & CATTERALL, W. A. (1996). Modulation of Ca^{2+} channels by G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. *Nature* **380**, 258–262.
- IKEDA, S. R. (1996). Voltage-dependent modulation of N-type calcium channels by G protein βgamma subunits. Nature 380, 255–258.
- JONES, L. P., WEI, S. K. & YUE, D. T. (1998). Mechanism of auxiliary subunit modulation of neuronal α_{1E} calcium channels. *Journal of General Physiology* **112**, 125–143.
- MEIR, A., BELL, D. C., STEPHENS, G. J., PAGE, K. M. & DOLPHIN, A. C. (2000). Calcium channel β subunit promotes voltage-dependent modulation of α 1B by G $\beta\gamma$. *Biophysical Journal* (in the Press).
- PAGE, K. M., CANTÍ, C., STEPHENS, G. J., BERROW, N. S. & DOLPHIN, A. C. (1998). Identification of the amino terminus of neuronal Ca^{2+} channel $\alpha 1$ subunits $\alpha 1B$ and $\alpha 1E$ as an essential determinant of G protein modulation. *Journal of Neuroscience* **18**, 4815–4824.
- QIN, N., PLATANO, D., OLCESE, R., COSTANTIN, J. L., STEFANI, E. & BIRNBAUMER, L. (1998). Unique regulatory properties of the type 2a Ca²⁺ channel β subunit caused by palmitoylation. *Proceedings of* the National Academy of Sciences of the USA **95**, 4690–4695.
- QIN, N., PLATANO, D., OLCESE, R., STEFANI, E. & BIRNBAUMER, L. (1997). Direct interaction of $G\beta\gamma$ with a C terminal $G\beta$ gamma binding domain of the calcium channel α 1 subunit is responsible for channel inhibition by G protein coupled receptors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **94**, 8866–8871.
- ROCHE, J. P. & TREISTMAN, S. N. (1998*a*). The Ca²⁺ channel β_3 subunit differentially modulates G protein sensitivity of α_{1A} and α_{1B} Ca²⁺ channels. *Journal of Neuroscience* **18**, 878–886.
- ROCHE, J. P. & TREISTMAN, S. N. (1998b). The calcium channel β 3 subunit enhances voltage-dependent relief of G protein inhibition induced by muscarinic receptor activation and G $\beta\gamma$. Journal of Neuroscience 18, 4883–4890.
- SHEKTER, L. R., TAUSSIG, R., GILLARD, S. E. & MILLER, R. J. (1997). Regulation of human neuronal calcium channels by G protein βgamma subunits expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. *Molecular Pharmacology* 52, 282–291.
- SIMEN, A. A. & MILLER, R. J. (1998). Structural features determining differential receptor regulation of neuronal Ca²⁺ channels. *Journal* of Neuroscience 18, 3689–3698.
- STEPHENS, G. J., BRICE, N. L., BERROW, N. S. & DOLPHIN, A. C. (1998). Facilitation of rabbit $\alpha 1$ B calcium channels: involvement of endogenous G $\beta \gamma$ subunits. *Journal of Physiology* **509**, 15–27.
- STEPHENS, G. J., PAGE, K. M., BURLEY, J. R., BERROW, N. S. & DOLPHIN, A. C. (1997). Functional expression of rat brain cloned α1E calcium channels in COS-7 cells. *Pflügers Archiv* 433, 523–532.
- SWICK, A. G., JANICOT, M., CHENEVAL-KASTELIC, T., MCLENITHAN, J. C. & LANE, D. M. (1992). Promoter-cDNA-directed heterologous protein expression in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 89, 1812–1816.

- TAREILUS, E., ROUX, M., QIN, N., OLCESE, R., ZHOU, J. M., STEFANI, E. & BIRNBAUMER, L. (1997). A Xenopus oocyte β subunit: Evidence for a role in the assembly/expression of voltage-gated calcium channels that is separate from its role as a regulatory subunit. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 94, 1703–1708.
- Walker, D., Bichet, D., Geib, S., Mori, E., Cornet, V., Snutch, T.P., Mori, Y. & De Waard, M. (1999). A new- β subtype-specific interaction in $\alpha 1A$ subunit controls P/Q type Ca²⁺ channel activation. Journal of Biological Chemistry **274**, 12383–12390.
- ZAMPONI, G. W. & SNUTCH, T. P. (1998). Decay of prepulse facilitation of N type calcium channels during G protein inhibition is consistent with binding of a single $G_{\beta\gamma}$ subunit. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **95**, 4035–4039.

Acknowledgements

We thank the following for generous gifts of cDNAs: T. Snutch (UBC, Vancouver, Canada), rat β 1b; H. Chin (NIH, Bethesda, USA), rat $\alpha 2\delta$ -1; Y. Mori (Seriken, Okazaki, Japan), rabbit α 1B; E. Perez-Reyes (University of Virginia, USA), rat β 2a, β 3 and β 4; P.G. Strange (Reading, UK), rat D2 receptor; Genetics Institute (Cambridge, MA, USA), pMT2. We also gratefully acknowledge financial support from The Wellcome Trust, the European Community (Marie Curie Fellowship to C.C.) and the MRC (UK). We thank N. Balaguero for technical assistance.

Corresponding author

C. Cantí: Department of Pharmacology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK.

Email: c.canti@ucl.ac.uk