

# A mean-value theorem for Dirichlet polynomials via the amplification method

Dimitrios Lekkas

Department of Mathematics  
University College London

February 8, 2021

# Table of Contents

- 1 Dirichlet Polynomials
- 2 Previous talks and today's talk
- 3 Mean-value theorems for Dirichlet polynomials
- 4 Sketch of the proof

# Table of Contents

- 1 Dirichlet Polynomials
- 2 Previous talks and today's talk
- 3 Mean-value theorems for Dirichlet polynomials
- 4 Sketch of the proof

# Definitions for Dirichlet polynomials

Let  $\lambda_n$  be a sequence of complex numbers and  $\chi$  a character modulo  $q$ , then we define a Dirichlet polynomial as the sum:

$$D(s, \lambda, \chi) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \lambda_n \chi(n) n^{-s} .$$

We say that  $\lambda_n$  is a Dirichlet convolution of two sequences  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  and write  $\lambda = \alpha \star \beta$  if  $D(s, \lambda, \chi) = D(s, \alpha, \chi) D(s, \beta, \chi)$  .

Let us also denote

$$\|\lambda\| = \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ (n, q) = 1}} |\lambda_n|^2 .$$

# Table of Contents

- 1 Dirichlet Polynomials
- 2 Previous talks and today's talk**
- 3 Mean-value theorems for Dirichlet polynomials
- 4 Sketch of the proof

So far we saw:

- Bounds on Exponential sums  $S_f(n) = \sum_n e(f(n))$  via Weyl differencing
- Bounds on Character sums  $S_H(\chi) = \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x)$  due to Burgess

Today we'll talk about averages of Dirichlet polynomials  $D(s, \lambda, \chi)$ , following the paper "A Mean-Value Theorem for Character Sums" by J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec.

# Table of Contents

- 1 Dirichlet Polynomials
- 2 Previous talks and today's talk
- 3 Mean-value theorems for Dirichlet polynomials**
- 4 Sketch of the proof

# The Mean-Value Theorem for Dirichlet polynomials

The classical mean value theorem for Dirichlet polynomials asserts:

## Theorem

$$S(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi(q)} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \lambda_n \chi(n) \right|^2 = (1 + O(q^{-1}N)) \|\lambda\|^2$$

This result is best possible when  $N < q$ . Suppose now that  $N > q$  and consider convolutions  $\lambda = \alpha \star \beta \star \gamma$ , with  $N = KLM$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_{k \leq K}$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_l)_{l \leq L}$  and  $\gamma = (\gamma_m)_{m \leq M}$ , with  $\alpha_k, \beta_l$  arbitrary and  $\gamma_m = 1$ . Let

$$S^*(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \lambda_n \chi(n) \right|^2$$



Then Friedlander and Iwaniec proved:

## Theorem 1 (Friedlander, Iwaniec)

$$S^*(\lambda) \ll \|\alpha\| \|\beta\| \|\gamma\| (1 + q^{-3/4}(K+L)^{1/4}(KL)^{5/4} + q^{-1}(KL)^{7/4}) q^\epsilon$$

Remarks:

- By using the Mean-Value theorem above and restricting  $M \ll q^{1/2}$ , the term  $q^{-1}(KL)^{7/4}$  can be dropped.
- From the Polya-Vinogradov Theorem, we have:

$$\sum_{m \leq M} \chi(m) \ll q^{1/2} \log q,$$

so that

$$\sum_{m \leq M} \chi(m) \ll M^{1-\delta}$$

for  $M > q^{1/2+\epsilon}$  and some  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ , and

$$L(s, \chi) \ll q^{1/4} \log q$$

for  $\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

- while Burgess managed to obtain

$$\sum_{m \leq M} \chi(m) \ll M^{1-\delta}$$

for  $M > q^{1/4+\epsilon}$  and improved Dirichlet series bound, showing

$$L(s, \chi) \ll q^{3/16+\epsilon}.$$

Theorem 1 yields the following corollaries:

### Corollary 1

Let  $\chi$  be a non-principal character *mod*  $p$ . For  $M > p^{5/11+\epsilon}$  we have

$$\sum_{m \leq M} \chi(m) \ll M^{1-\delta}$$

where  $\delta$  and the implied constant may depend on  $\epsilon$ .

### Corollary 2

With  $\chi$  as above, we have

$$L(s, \chi) \ll p^{5/11+\epsilon} \text{ for } \Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

with an implied constant depending on  $\epsilon$  and  $s$ .

These results give better bounds than the Polya-Vinogradov Theorem. Both Theorem 1 and the Corollaries can be quantitatively sharpened using advanced tools, such as bounds on Kloosterman sums.

The authors focused on proving the above in the special case that:

- $q$  is prime
- $\gamma(m) = f(m)$ , where  $f$  is a smooth real function on  $(\frac{1}{2}M, M)$
- $f^{(j)} \ll M^{-j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

# Table of Contents

- 1 Dirichlet Polynomials
- 2 Previous talks and today's talk
- 3 Mean-value theorems for Dirichlet polynomials
- 4 Sketch of the proof

# Sketch of the proof

We can assume that  $K, L, M < q$ , otherwise use the Mean-Value Theorem. Let

$$S' = \frac{1}{q-1} \left| \sum_{k \leq K} \alpha_k \right|^2 \left| \sum_{l \leq L} \beta_l \right|^2 \left| \sum_{m \leq M} f(m) \right|^2$$

be the sum that gives the contributions from the principal character. Then by opening the squares we have

$$S = S^* + S' = \sum_{k_1 l_1} \cdots \sum_{k_2 l_2} \alpha_{k_1} \bar{\alpha}_{k_2} \beta_{l_1} \bar{\beta}_{l_2} f(m_1) f(m_2).$$

Now split  $S$  as

$$S = \sum_{|r| < R} S_r,$$

where  $S_r$  is the sum including terms corresponding to  $k_1 l_1 m_1 - k_2 l_2 m_2 = qr$  and  $R = KLMq^{-1}$ .

For  $r = 0$  we have the trivial estimate

$$S_0 \ll \|\alpha\| \|\beta\| \|\gamma\| q^\epsilon .$$

For  $r \neq 0$ , set  $\delta = (k_1 l_1, k_2 l_2)$ ,  $n_1 = k_1 l_1 \delta^{-1}$ ,  $n_2 = k_2 l_2 \delta^{-1}$  and  $s = r \delta^{-1}$ . Hence,  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$  and  $n_1 m_1 - n_2 m_2 = qs$ . Equivalently,

$$m_1 \equiv qs \bar{n}_1 \pmod{n_2} .$$

Given  $\delta, n_1, n_2, s$  sum over  $m_1$  and apply Poisson's summation formula to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m_1, m_2} f(m_1)f(m_2) &= \sum_{m_1 \equiv qs\bar{n}_1(n_2)} f(m)f\left(\frac{mn_1 - qs}{n_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_h e\left(-hqs\frac{\bar{n}_1}{n_2}\right) \int f\left(\frac{x}{n_1}\right) f\left(\frac{x - qs}{n_2}\right) e\left(\frac{hx}{n_1 n_2}\right) dx \end{aligned}$$

For  $h = 0$  we have the main contribution:

$$T_s = \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \int f\left(\frac{x}{n_1}\right) f\left(\frac{x - qs}{n_2}\right) dx .$$



After summing  $T_s$  over  $s \neq 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s \neq 0} T_s &= \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \int f\left(\frac{x}{n_1}\right) \sum_{s \neq 0} f\left(\frac{x - qs}{n_2}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \int f\left(\frac{x}{n_1}\right) \left( \int f\left(\frac{x - qs}{n_2}\right) + O(1) \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \left( \int f(x) dx \right)^2 + O\left(\frac{M}{n_2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

By symmetry the error term can be shown to be  $O\left(\frac{M}{n_1}\right)$ , hence at the end we can have  $O\left(\frac{M}{n_1 + n_2}\right)$ .

We conclude that the contribution to the sum  $S$  from these terms is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{k_1, l_1 \\ k_2, l_2}} \alpha_{k_1} \bar{\alpha}_{k_2} \beta_{l_1} \bar{\beta}_{l_2} \left( \int f(x) dx \right)^2 + O\left( M \sum_{\substack{k_1, l_1 \\ k_2, l_2}} \frac{|\alpha_{k_1} \alpha_{k_2} \beta_{l_1} \beta_{l_2}| (k_1 l_1, k_2 l_2)}{k_1 l_1 + k_2 l_2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{k_1, l_1 \\ k_2, l_2}} \alpha_{k_1} \bar{\alpha}_{k_2} \beta_{l_1} \bar{\beta}_{l_2} \left( \sum_m f(m) + O(1) \right)^2 + O(M \|\alpha\| \|\beta\| q^\epsilon) \\
 &= S' + O(\|\alpha\| \|\beta\| \|\gamma\| (KLq^{-1} + q^\epsilon))
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Thus the terms with  $h = 0$  cancel the main term  $S'$  apart from admissible error terms.

For the terms  $h \neq 0$ , we truncate and integrate by parts  $j$  times:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int f\left(\frac{x}{n_1}\right) f\left(\frac{x-qs}{n_2}\right) e\left(\frac{hx}{n_1 n_2}\right) dx &\ll \left(\frac{n_1 n_2}{h}\right)^j \int \left| \frac{d^j(ff)}{dx^j} \right| dx \\
 &\ll \left(\frac{n_1 n_2}{h}\right)^j \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)^j M^{-j} M \quad (3) \\
 &\ll \left(\frac{n_1 + n_2}{hM}\right)^j M \ll \left(\frac{KL}{\delta hM}\right)^j M
 \end{aligned}$$

by Leibniz' Rule and the bounds on  $f^{(j)}$ . Hence, if  $|h| > H \doteq \left(\frac{KL}{M\delta}\right) q^\epsilon$  then the integral is  $\ll (\delta hq)^{-2}$  and these terms contribute a negligible amount to  $S$ .

The remaining terms satisfy  $O < |h| < H$  and contribute to  $S$  the sum  $V = \sum_{0 < \delta < R} V_\delta$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_\delta = & \sum_{\substack{O < |h| < H \\ 0 < s < \frac{R}{\delta}}} \sum_{(k_1 l_1, k_2 l_2) = \delta} \alpha_{k_1} \bar{\alpha}_{k_2} \beta_{l_1} \bar{\beta}_{l_2} e\left(-hqs \frac{\bar{k}_1 \bar{l}_1 / \bar{\delta}}{k_2 l_2 / \delta}\right) \\
 & \times \int f\left(\frac{x\delta}{k_1 l_1}\right) f\left(\frac{x\delta - q\delta s}{k_2 l_2}\right) e\left(\frac{hx\delta^2}{k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2}\right) \frac{\delta^2}{k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2} dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By using the properties of  $f$  one can use

$$\int |\hat{f}(y)| dy \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min\left(M, \frac{1}{y^2 M}\right) dy \ll 1$$

and Cauchy-Schwarz to show

$$V_\delta \ll \frac{\delta_\tau(\delta) M}{KL} \left(\frac{RH}{\delta}\right)^{1/2} \|\alpha\| \|\beta\| \left(\frac{KL}{\delta^3} RHK(KL + RHK)(KL + L^2)\right)^{1/4} q^\epsilon.$$

Substituting for  $R$  and  $H$  and summing over  $\delta$  we get

$$V \ll \|\alpha\| \|\beta\| \|\gamma\| (KL)^{5/4} q^{-1+\epsilon} (q + K^2L)^{1/4} (K + L)^{1/4} .$$

By the symmetry of the problem one can replace  $K^2L$  by  $\min(K^2L, KL^2)$  and this is bounded by  $\frac{(KL)^2}{K+L}$ . This gives Theorem 1.

# Proof of Corollary 1

To prove Corollary 1 take  $q = p$  and  $\alpha_k = \bar{\chi}(k), \beta_l = \bar{\chi}(l) (k \leq K, l \leq L)$ . The contribution of  $\chi \pmod{p}$  to  $S^*$  is bounded below by

$$\frac{(KL)^2}{p} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} \chi(m) \right|^2.$$

The contribution to  $S^*$  from each other non-principal character  $\psi \pmod{p}$  is greater than or equal to 0.

By choosing  $K = L = p^{3/11}$  and using Theorem 1, we have

$$\left| \sum_{m \leq M} \chi(m) \right| \ll M^{1/2} p^{5/22+\epsilon}.$$