

HANDOUT #7: MOTION CONSTRAINED TO A MOVING CURVE

1 Motion constrained to a moving curve: General case

Last week we discussed motion constrained to a fixed planar curve. We saw that the easiest way to derive the equation of motion was to write the conservation-of-energy equation; but we also showed how to obtain the equation of motion directly from $\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a}$.

Now we wish to consider motion constrained to a *moving* curve (e.g. a bead sliding along a moving wire). In this case we *cannot* use conservation of energy, because the normal force associated to a *moving* constraint *can* do work (as you saw explicitly in Problem 5 of Problem Set #3). We shall therefore go back to $\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a}$.

So consider a wire whose position at time t is given by a specified vector-valued function $\mathbf{f}(\lambda, t)$, where λ is a parameter indicating location along the curve (it could be the arc length s , but need not be). And consider a bead sliding along the wire, with position $\lambda(t)$ at time t . Then the position of the bead in space at time t is

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\lambda(t), t). \quad (1)$$

The bead's velocity is therefore (using the chain rule)

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \frac{d\mathbf{r}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda(t), t) \dot{\lambda} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial t}(\lambda(t), t), \quad (2)$$

where I have stressed that f and all its derivatives are to be evaluated at $(\lambda(t), t)$. By the same method, the bead's acceleration is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}(t) &= \frac{d\mathbf{v}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda^2} \dot{\lambda}^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda \partial t} \dot{\lambda} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda} \ddot{\lambda} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial t \partial \lambda} \dot{\lambda} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial t^2} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda} \ddot{\lambda} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda^2} \dot{\lambda}^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda \partial t} \dot{\lambda} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial t^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

(please make sure you understand the logic of these calculations!).

Now suppose that the bead slides *frictionlessly* along the wire, subject to an external force \mathbf{F}_{ext} (e.g. the earth's gravity). We can then write

$$m\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{N} is the normal force exerted by the wire on the bead. The key fact about \mathbf{N} is that it is directed *perpendicular* to the wire (that is what we *mean* by “slides frictionlessly”). So let us introduce the tangent vector to the wire,

$$\mathbf{e}_{\parallel} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \lambda} \quad (5)$$

(please note that this is *not* in general a unit vector, unless λ is arc length, but no matter). Then we have $\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\parallel} = 0$, and hence the equation of motion can be written as

$$m\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}. \quad (6)$$

By using the specific form of the function $\mathbf{f}(\lambda, t)$ for a given problem, we can obtain a second-order ordinary differential equation for the unknown function $\lambda(t)$.

Rather than pursuing this general case any further, we shall concentrate on the specific case of a rotating wire.

2 Cylindrical coordinates

Cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) in three-dimensional space are a trivial generalization of plane polar coordinates (r, θ) . We simply use plane polar coordinates (r, θ) to rewrite the coordinates (x, y) in the usual way, namely

$$x = r \cos \theta \quad (7a)$$

$$y = r \sin \theta \quad (7b)$$

and we leave z as is. The formulae for velocity and acceleration in cylindrical coordinates are likewise an obvious generalization of the formulae in plane polar coordinates:

$$\mathbf{v} = \dot{r}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + r\dot{\theta}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + \dot{z}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \quad (8a)$$

$$\mathbf{a} = (\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + (r\ddot{\theta} + 2\dot{r}\dot{\theta})\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + \ddot{z}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \quad (8b)$$

3 Motion constrained to a rotating wire

Consider a wire lying in the x - z plane, given by the formula

$$x = g(\lambda) \quad (9a)$$

$$z = h(\lambda) \quad (9b)$$

where g and h are specified functions, and λ is a parameter indicating location along the wire (it could be the arc length s , but need not be). Now rotate this wire around the z axis at angular frequency ω ; its position $\mathbf{f}(\lambda, t)$ is then given by

$$x = g(\lambda) \cos \omega t \quad (10a)$$

$$y = g(\lambda) \sin \omega t \quad (10b)$$

$$z = h(\lambda) \quad (10c)$$

Because of the rotational symmetry of this problem, it is natural to use cylindrical coordinates to analyze it. In cylindrical coordinates, the wire's position is given by

$$r = g(\lambda) \quad (11a)$$

$$\theta = \omega t \quad (11b)$$

$$z = h(\lambda) \quad (11c)$$

Now consider a bead sliding along this rotating wire, with position $\lambda(t)$ at time t . We have

$$\dot{r} = g'(\lambda) \dot{\lambda} \quad (12a)$$

$$\ddot{r} = g''(\lambda) \dot{\lambda}^2 + g'(\lambda) \ddot{\lambda} \quad (12b)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \omega \quad (12c)$$

$$\ddot{\theta} = 0 \quad (12d)$$

$$\dot{z} = h'(\lambda) \dot{\lambda} \quad (12e)$$

$$\ddot{z} = h''(\lambda) \dot{\lambda}^2 + h'(\lambda) \ddot{\lambda} \quad (12f)$$

so that the bead's acceleration is given by

$$\mathbf{a} = [g'(\lambda)\ddot{\lambda} + g''(\lambda)\dot{\lambda}^2 - \omega^2 g(\lambda)]\hat{e}_r + 2\omega g'(\lambda) \dot{\lambda} \hat{e}_\theta + [h'(\lambda)\ddot{\lambda} + h''(\lambda)\dot{\lambda}^2]\hat{e}_z. \quad (13)$$

Now, a unit vector tangent to the wire is

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\parallel} = \frac{g'(r)\hat{e}_r + h'(r)\hat{e}_z}{\sqrt{g'(r)^2 + h'(r)^2}}, \quad (14)$$

while unit vectors perpendicular to the wire are given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} = \frac{-h'(r)\hat{e}_r + g'(r)\hat{e}_z}{\sqrt{g'(r)^2 + h'(r)^2}} \quad (15)$$

and \hat{e}_θ . So we can write the normal force exerted by the wire on the bead as

$$\mathbf{N} = N_{\perp}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} + N_{\theta}\hat{e}_\theta. \quad (16)$$

With external force \mathbf{F}_{ext} , Newton's Second Law gives

$$m\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}. \quad (17)$$

To get the equation of motion of the bead, we take the dot product of (17) with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\parallel}$; then \mathbf{N} drops out and we get

$$m\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\parallel}, \quad (18)$$

or explicitly

$$m[g'(\lambda)\ddot{\lambda} + g''(\lambda)\dot{\lambda}^2 - \omega^2 g(\lambda)]g'(r) + m[h'(\lambda)\ddot{\lambda} + h''(\lambda)\dot{\lambda}^2]h'(r) = \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot [g'(r)\hat{e}_r + h'(r)\hat{e}_z]. \quad (19)$$

This looks ugly, but it usually simplifies in a specific problem when one inserts the specific functions $g(\lambda)$ and $h(\lambda)$.

Example of \mathbf{F}_{ext} : If the bead is sliding under gravity, we have $\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} = -mg\hat{e}_z$.

We can then, if we wish, extract the normal forces N_{\perp} and N_{θ} by taking the dot product of (17) with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}$ and \hat{e}_θ , respectively.

I will leave you to apply this general theory in Problem 1 of Problem Set #7 (= Problem 5 of Problem Set #6).