

## **Muriel's decision**

Like many students, I am jointly supervised and do receive very good supervision. Both my supervisors contribute very different knowledge and skills which is all to the good.

But I have a problem with the structuring of supervision between the two. Apparently according to the rules one has to act as my principal supervisor, and this is inhibiting my ability to deal directly with the other. I always wanted only to deal with each for what they had to offer rather than dealing with a 'hierarchy' where one was assumed to be responsible and officially dominant. I prefer to resolve any contradictions between the two in my own way rather than according to this hierarchy. Although I appreciate a chief supervisor is appointed to make sure that the momentum is maintained and things don't 'fall between the two,' it isn't working for me.

What do you advise?

---

**On the acetate provided indicate the central features of a system of team supervision**

---