



Empirical Studies of Roles of Scientific Advisors

Workshop 18 June 2015, UCL Conference Suite, 188 Tottenham Court Road

Preliminary programme (session papers: 5 min intro, 15 min comments)

- 8:30 Coffee and tea
- 9:00 Opening, round of introductions, purpose of the workshop – *Jason Blackstock (UCL STEaPP)*
- 9:30 Introduction to STEaPP's framework project and to a preliminary framework (and discussion) – *Arthur Petersen, Kira Matus, Michael Veale & Jason Blackstock (UCL STEaPP)*
- 10:15 Session 1 – Activities
- 1.1- *Adam Cooper (UCL STEaPP)*, Technical expertise for public policy: What helps and what hinders? – UK ministry case (DECC)
 - 1.2- *Michael Veale (UCL STEaPP)*, Squeezing science: A brief exploration of concepts and issues around summaries for policy-makers
 - 1.3- *Masaru Yarime (University of Tokyo & UCL STEaPP)*, Foresight as a methodology for mobilizing knowledge in public decision making: Lessons and implications for science advice – Japanese case
- 11:15 Break
- 11:30 Session 2 – Boundary organisations and committees
- 2.1- *Candice Howarth (Anglia Ruskin University)*, Advising climate policy: The role of scientists and practitioners in the IPCC
 - 2.2- *Martin Lodge (LSE) & Kira Matus (UCL STEaPP)*, The role of boundary organisations in science advice on possum/badger culls – New Zealand and UK cases
 - 2.3- *Ed Page (LSE)*, Brokerage and scientific advice: UK government scientific committees
- 12:30 Lunch
- 13:30 Session 3 – National and supra-national government/parliament
- 3.1- *Eva Kunseler (PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency & VU University Amsterdam)*, Understanding expert roles in organisational context: Concerns over legitimacy and reputation – Dutch national assessment agency case (PBL)
 - 3.2- *James Wilsdon (University of Sussex)*, Watchdogs of the system: Europe's new scientific advisory system
 - 3.3- *Caroline Kenny (POST & UCL STEaPP)*, The science of science advice: The case of science advisory systems in parliaments – UK & European cases
- 14:30 Break
- 14:45 Session 4 – Topical cases
- 4.1- *Jack Stilgoe (UCL STS)*, How we learned to start and stop worrying about the health risks of mobile phones: A case study in advisory openness
 - 4.2- *Pita Spruijt (RIVM Netherlands National Institute for Public Health and the Environment & Utrecht University)*, Differences in policy advice on particulate matter: An international expert consultation
 - 4.3- *David Hornsby (University of the Witwatersrand)*, Scientific advice in trade conflict: The case of funky chicken
- 15:45 Break
- 16:00 Steps forward (special issue) and final discussion (including framework project)
- 17:00 End of session