

ACADEMIC COMMITTEE

5 July 2012

MINUTES

PRESENT: Professor Anthony Smith (Chair)

Provost and President Ms Helen Matthews Professor David Bogle Professor Ray MacAllister Mr Neil Chowdhury Professor Alan Penn Dr Brenda Cross Mr Tim Perry Ms Olga Thomas Dr Caroline Essex Dr Hilary Richards Ms Mary Rimington Professor Mike Ewing Mr Marco Federighi Professor Anthony Finkelstein Dr Ruth Siddall Dr Christine Hoffmann Ms Susan Ware

In attendance: Mr Jason Clarke (Secretary); Ms Harriet Lilley; Ms Bella Malins, Mr Dante Micheaux; Ms Julie Rolls.

Apologies for absence were received from Mr David Ashton, Dr Bob Barber, Professor Robert Brown, Ms Sue Bryant, Professor Steve Caddick, Dr Helen Chatterjee, Professor David Green, Dr Arne Hofmann, Professor David Price, Dr Peter Raven, Professor Stephen Smith and Professor Michael Worton.

AASG Access Agreement Steering Group A&H Faculty of Arts and Humanities

AC Academic Committee AM Annual Monitoring

Key to abbreviations:

AugAM Augmented Annual Monitoring
CSS Corporate Support Service
FES Faculty of Engineering Sciences
FLS Faculty of Life Sciences

FTC Faculty Teaching Committee
GPA Grade Point Average

ILTS Institutional Learning and Teaching Strategy

IQR Internal Quality Review

MAPS Faculty of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

NSS National Student Survey

QMEC Quality Management and Enhancement Committee

RAS Registry and Academic Services RDC Research Degrees Committee

ROASG Recruitment, Outreach and Admissions Steering Group

SHS Faculty of Social and Historical Sciences

UKBA United Kingdom Border Agency
UPC University Preparatory Certificate

53 RETIRING MEMBERS OF ACADEMIC COMMITTEE

Noted

- 53.1 The following members of AC would be standing down at the end of the current academic session:
 - Professor Henry Woudhuysen, Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Humanities.
 - Professor Nikos Konstantinidis, professorial academic staff member elected by AB.
 - Dr Bob Barber, non-professorial academic staff member elected by AB.
 - Mr Luke Durigan, Education and Campaigns Officer, UCL Union
 - Mr Neil Chowdhury, Medical and Postgraduate Students Officer, UCL Union.
- 53.2 On behalf of AC, the Chair thanked the retiring members for their contribution to the work of the Committee.

54 **MINUTES**

Approved

54.1 The Minutes of the meeting of AC held on 10 May 2012 [AC Mins. 42-52, 2011-12], were confirmed by the Committee and signed by the Chair.

55 MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

[See Minutes 56, 60 and 68 below]

56 ANNUAL MONITORING

[AC Min.43E, 2011-12]

Noted

- At its meeting on 22 March 2012, AC received an oral report from Professor Mike Ewing, as Chair of QMEC, on key issues arising from this session's AM and AugAM cycle.
- At its meeting on 10 May 2012, AC noted that the QMEC officers were discussing a number of issues which were identified by faculties in their AM reports with relevant CSS officers to agree how those issues could be addressed. The outcome of those discussions would inform QMEC's written evaluation report to AC.

Received

- 56.3 At APPENDIX AC 5/33 (11-12) QMEC's evaluation report.
- 56.4 An oral report by Professor Mike Ewing, as Chair of QMEC.

Reported

56.5 The issues arising from AM and AugAM in 2011-12 generally related to the UCL estate or information systems. It was noted by faculties that similar issues had been raised before but that a satisfactory resolution to the issues had not been reached. In order to discuss these issues, the QMEC Chair had met with colleagues from UCL

Estates and Information Systems Division to clarify which issues noted by faculties were of a strategic nature and would therefore be looked at in the long-term, and which issues could be resolved in the short-term.

56.6 Officers would be considering how the AM, AugAM and IQR processes could be better aligned and how these could be coordinated with the process of preparing DLTSs in order to streamline these processes and to maximise their usefulness for departments and faculties.

Discussion

- 56.7 The AC Chair noted that the need to improve the alignment of UCL processes such as AugAM and IQR had also been raised in the report from the Task Force on Innovations in Learning and Teaching and agreed that improving the alignment of processes would allow for better reflection by departments and faculties.
- 56.8 Members of AC noted that greater connectivity between UCL Estates and academic needs was required. Some members of AC enquired whether issues raised at School Estates Boards could include central estates issues.

RESOLVED

56.9 That AC approve the evaluation report from QMEC on the 2011-12 AM and AugAM round at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/33 (11-12)</u>.

[ACTION: Professor Mike Ewing, Ms Sandra Hinton – to note]

57 ACADEMIC COMMITTEE WORKING GROUP ON THE GRADE POINT AVERAGE

[AC Min.33, 2011-12]

Noted

57.1 At its meeting on 22 March 2012, AC received the Working Group's preliminary report.

Received

- 57.2 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/34 (11-12)</u> the Working Group's final report.
- 57.3 An oral report by Professor Mike Ewing, as Chair of the Working Group.

- 57.4 The Chair of the GPA Working Group noted the following in his oral report:
 - Investigation by the Working Group suggested that qualitative subjects, such as those in A&H and SHS, might find it easier to transfer over to the GPA system as examiners were more likely to be prepared to give an A or A+ for a piece of assessed work, while examiners in quantitative subjects, such as those in MAPS and FES, might find it more challenging to map marks out of one hundred onto the GPA standard terms such as 'excellent', 'good' or 'satisfactory' etc. A system was needed that would suit both qualitative and quantitative subjects.

- It would be helpful for UCL, especially with the first cohort of students on the BASc programme starting in September 2012, to use one assessment system. The GPA Working Group proposed therefore, that a large-scale pilot involving all UCL departments etc be conducted in 2012-13 to examine how recent past awards would map onto the GPA system.
- Colleagues were undertaking a GPA modelling exercise in Laws, whose LLB programme was not under the harmonised scheme.
- The GPA Working Group would need to continue its work into 2012-13.

Discussion

- 57.5 Members of AC thanked the GPA Working Group for their work on the GPA, and enquired whether the GPA model would be used for postgraduate students. The GPA Working Group Chair noted that mapping postgraduate assessment to the GPA model was more complicated, due in part to the differences in GPA models used in the USA and the UK.
- 57.6 The Provost noted that UCL was looking to adopt the GPA system, an assessment currency used throughout the world, in order for UCL to be fully represented on the global stage.

RESOLVED

57.7 That AC approve the report from the GPA Working Group at APPENDIX AC 5/34 (11-12); that the Working Group continue its work and report back to AC in due course.

[ACTION: Professor Mike Ewing – to note]

58 REPORT FROM THE TASK FORCE ON INNOVATIONS IN LEARNING AND TEACHING

[AC Min.50, 2010-11]

Noted

58.1 At its meeting on 7 July 2011, AC received a progress report from the Task Force.

Received

- 58.2 At APPENDIX AC 5/35 (11-12) the Task Force's final report.
- 58.3 An oral report by the AC Chair, as Chair of the Task Force.

- 58.4 The AC Chair noted the following in his oral report:
 - The Task Force was investigating issues around developmental opportunities in teaching and learning, as well as looking for areas of good practice for wider dissemination.
 - The Task Force had been a useful tool for the AC Chair/Vice-Provost (Education) to identify barriers to teaching and learning development and innovation.

 The key findings and recommendations at 1.2 and 1.3 of the report at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/35 (11-12)</u> would be taken forward over the next twelve months.

Discussion

- 58.5 Members of AC welcomed the key findings and recommendations of the Task Force, and noted that in order to fully support innovation in teaching, further consideration should be given to space requirements for pedagogic diversity and departments should be encouraged to provide student activities to support innovative learning. Some AC members also noted that the proliferation of programmes and modules had reduced the amount of time teaching staff could dedicate to delivering innovative teaching. The AC Chair noted the forthcoming Programme Review as an opportunity to review programme structures at UCL, that colleagues involved with teaching and learning would need to reflect on how teaching was delivered, and ways in which time could be better used to support innovation.
- 58.6 Members of AC commented on the varying practice across UCL in the use of Teaching Fellows, who can have a very positive impact on the student experience. It was also noted that some Heads of Departments put greater emphasis on research than teaching, and therefore some staff believe that less importance is attached to teaching. The Provost noted that there were potential tensions between research and teaching in a research-intensive university, but drew AC's attention to the five pillars of excellence at UCL¹ which included the importance of teaching and the expectation that all academic staff contribute to innovative and research-led teaching. It was also noted that teaching was an important criterion of the academic promotions process.
- 58.7 Members of AC noted that some teaching staff might be overly cautious about incorporating innovative teaching and learning techniques into their modules, and that taking reasonable risks in innovation for both content and delivery, should be supported at departmental- and faculty-level.

RESOLVED

58.8 That AC approve the report from the Task Force on Innovations in Learning and Teaching at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/35 (11-12)</u>.

[ACTION: Professor Anthony Smith – to note]

59 INTERNATIONALISATION OF THE CURRICULUM: GUIDING PRINCIPLES

Received

- 59.1 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/36 (11-12)</u> a note setting out proposed guiding principles for the internationalisation of the UCL curriculum.
- 59.2 An oral report by the AC Chair.

Reported

59.3 The note at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/36 (11-12)</u> set out the UCL definition of an internationalised curriculum, and provided examples to assist with the internationalisation of programmes.

¹ See http://www.ucl.ac.uk/excellence/

Discussion

59.4 Members of AC welcomed the definition and guiding principles for internationalisation of the curriculum at UCL, and commented that it internationalisation was a timely and important move for UCL. Some AC members enquired whether more detail on how to internationalise 'universal' subject matter would be available. The AC Chair noted that guidance was deliberately broad due to the variety of disciplines at UCL.

RESOLVED

59.5 That AC approve the report at APPENDIX AC 5/36 (11-12).

[ACTION: Professor Anthony Smith – to note]

60 PROPOSALS FOR HANDLING STUDENT HEALTH-RELATED CASES [AC Min.45C, 2011-12]

Noted

- 60.1 At its meeting on 10 May 2012, AC received the Lead Officer report on Student Welfare Co-ordination from the Dean of Students (Welfare). During its discussion of the report, AC noted that the number of student cases being referred to Faculty Tutors and Personal Tutors involving mental health issues, including eating disorders, had increased. AC agreed that the Dean of Students (Welfare) should meet with the Dean of Students (Academic), the Director of Student Services, Faculty Tutors and any other relevant officers to look at the types of student cases which were being referred to staff and how these might be addressed.
- 60.2 The Dean of Students (Academic), the Dean of Students (Welfare) and the Director of Student Services met to discuss this issue, together with the Acting Student Disability Co-ordinator.

Received

- 60.3 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/37 (11-12)</u> a report on the outcome of the above meeting.
- 60.4 An oral report by Dr Ruth Siddall, Dean of Students (Welfare).

- 60.5 Three main recommendations had emerged from the above meeting:
 - (i) the Cause for Concern form² should be better promoted as it allows both staff and students to raise serious concerns about a possible student welfare issue. The form captures all the necessary information for the Dean of Students (Welfare) to take the issue forward.
 - (ii) an independent Occupational Student Health Advisor should be appointed.

² AC members were advised that the Cause for Concern form appended to the report at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/37 (11-12)</u> had been updated and that the latest version would shortly be available on the Academic Manual.

(iii) Student Learning Agreements should be used for students who had been identified as a cause for concern on welfare

grounds, and who were likely to benefit from continued registration at UCL.

Discussion

- 60.6 Members of AC welcomed the report and enquired whether there was training in student health issues available for staff. It was noted that staff could undertake the 'Identifying and Managing Student Mental III-Health' training programme run by Student Psychological Services. AC members enquired whether information provided on the Cause for Concern form could provide the basis for an analysis of student issues as this would help faculties to address and manage any particular/reoccurring student issues.
- 60.7 It was noted by some members of AC that the Cause for Concern form and guidelines of when to use the form, could also be provided in departmental handbooks. It was also noted that issues recorded on the form might be requested under the Freedom of Information Act, and that a note about this should be made clear in the guidelines for the form.

RESOLVED

60.8 That AC approve the report at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/37 (11-12)</u>; that the Dean of Students (Welfare) take forward the report's recommendations in consultation with relevant colleagues.

[ACTION: Dr Ruth Siddall]

61 AMENDMENT OF THE OFFER LETTER FOR RESEARCH DEGREE STUDENTS

Noted

- 61.1 Two faculties had raised concerns about the current wording of the UCL offer letter for research degree students and its possible implications for those students seeking financial support from overseas scholarship agencies.
- 61.2 Given the importance of this issue and that the last meeting of RDC scheduled for this session had now taken place, following discussion between relevant officers, the AC Chair had agreed that the matter should be referred to AC for discussion.

Received

- 61.3 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/38 (11-12)</u> a briefing note setting out the issues relating to this matter.
- Oral reports by Mr Tim Perry, Director of Registry & Academic Services, and Professor David Bogle, as Chair of RDC.

Reported

61.5 The Director of RAS reported that staff in MAPS and FES had raised concerns about the possible loss of funding opportunities for research degree students because overseas scholarship agencies need their applicants to receive official offer letters stating that the length of duration of PhD study that attracts tuition fees is three years, while the

letter that is currently sent to research degree students states that research degrees are four years in length *ie* three years in full registration and one year CRS status. The wording of the current offer

letter reflected a policy decision taken by the Head of the Graduate School, as Chair of RDC, in late 2010. A separate but related issue had also been raised about the offer letter which is sent to students on PhD programmes including an integrated MRes, which needs to be completed successfully before registration for the PhD or EngD can take place.

61.6 The Chair of RDC reported that the current policy on the duration of research study specified in the offer letter had been adopted in response to comments from across UCL that this was needed in order to ensure that Overseas students could apply for, and be granted, a visa covering the total period of their studies. If the offer letter were to state that the programme had a three-year duration, students would have to apply for a further visa after three years, which would be a time-consuming, expensive and stressful process for the students concerned. The Chair of RDC also requested that MAPS and FES confirm which overseas funding bodies had indicated that they would not provide financial support to applicants to UCL on the grounds of the wording of the current offer letter, as this problem had not been brought to his attention previously and it might be possible to discuss this directly with the agencies in question.

Discussion

61.7 The Head of Outreach and Admissions noted that the alternative options of either issuing an offer letter specifying a three-year duration or offering a letter referring to a four-year duration could both cause potential difficulties for different reasons. It was suggested, therefore, that the current offer letter stating that PhD/EngD programmes have a duration of four years should be amended to include the following text which had been approved by the UKBA:

"You are expected to be registered for three years. Under certain circumstances, you may be allowed to register for a fourth year in order to complete your research. If you are registered for a fourth year with the status of Completing Research Student you will not be charged a fee for that year."

- 61.8 The Head of Outreach and Admissions also noted that faculties had raised concerns about PhD students being sent two offer letters one for the MRes (an exit point which must be completed before students can progress to the PhD award) and one for the PhD. As departments offered both the MRes and the PhD as separate programmes, UCL admissions systems had to generate two offer letters for students applying for the PhD, as they would also be awarded the MRes. Discussion around this issue was ongoing.
- 61.9 The Committee agreed that the suggested text at section 13 of the note at APPENDIX AC 5/38 (11-12) (see also 61.7 above) should be incorporated into the offer letter for prospective PhD/EngD students, and that RDC should discuss further the continuing issues around PhD students being sent two offer letters for the MRes and PhD programmes.

RESOLVED

61.10 That the Faculty of Mathematical and Physical Sciences and the Faculty of Engineering Sciences write to the /Chair of RDC with details of those overseas scholarship agencies which have refused, or

indicated that they would refuse, to give financial support to UCL students because of the wording of the UCL offer letter.

[ACTION: Professor Richard Catlow/Dr Caroline Essex and Professor Anthony Finkelstein/Mr Marco Federighi – to advise Professor David Bogle]

61.11 That the text at section 13 of the note at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/38 (11-12)</u> (see also 61.7 above) be incorporated into the current four-year offer letter for prospective research degree students.

[ACTION: Ms Bella Malins]

61.12 That RDC discuss further the continued issues around PhD students being sent two offer letters for the MRes and PhD programmes.

[ACTION: Professor David Bogle, Mr Gary Hawes – to note for RDC]

62 **OUTCOMES OF THE STUDENT BAROMETER SURVEY**[AC Min.36, 2011-12]

Noted

At its meeting on 22 March 2012, AC agreed that its officers should arrange for a presentation to be made to the Committee on the Student Barometer survey before the end of the current session.

Received

62.2 A presentation from Ms Julie Rolls, UCL International Office, on the outcomes of the autumn 2011 wave of the Student Barometer surveys.

- 62.3 Ms Julie Rolls noted the following in her presentation:
 - The Student Barometer survey has two waves of data collection –
 one in the autumn for students who have just started their studies
 and another in the summer for students who will shortly be
 finishing their studies.
 - Data collected from students was provided voluntarily and anonymously, and questions asked in the survey covered all aspects of the student experience.
 - Data are provided directly to institutions and not made public. Data could be broken down into different areas of interest or by faculty/department.
 - UCL has been taking part in the Student Barometer since 2007 and influences and trends could be shown in the data collected from the past five years.
 - UCL can request to be benchmarked against all (i) other universities taking part in the Student Barometer (ii) Russell Group universities and (iii) London universities. UCL cannot however be benchmarked against a specific university.
 - iGraduate which runs the Student Barometer survey, presents its findings from UCL's data to a range of UCL stakeholders, and

written reports are sent to JSSC and AC. UCL departments are sent data specific to them.

Discussion

- 62.4 AC members thanked Julie Rolls for her informative presentation and noted the following in particular:
 - The combined data from both waves was very useful, and could be explored in combination with NSS results.
 - The Student Barometer should be used as a tool by UCL and mentioned more in Departmental Teaching and Learning Strategies.
- The AC Chair proposed that a presentation could also be made to AC, perhaps in the Autumn Term, on the key findings of the NSS.

RESOLVED

- 62.6 That AC welcome the presentation on the Student Barometer.
- 62.7 That a presentation be made to AC at its first meeting of the 2012-13 session on the outcomes of the NSS.

[ACTION: Professor Anthony Smith, Mr Jason Clarke – to note for next meeting]

63 APPROVAL OF A NEW DEGREE AWARD

Noted

- At its meeting on 7 July 2008, AC approved a procedure for the approval of new degree awards at UCL.
- At its meeting on 11 June 2012, RDC noted the likely future expansion in professional doctorates and approved a proposal that UCL should adopt the unified title for these awards of Professional Doctorate or DPro.
- 63.3 A copy of the paper that was submitted to, and endorsed by, RDC setting out this proposal was at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/39 (11-12)</u>.
- 63.4 In accordance with the procedure for the approval of new degree awards, RDC *Resolved* that the proposal be submitted to AC for approval.

RESOLVED

- 63.5 That AC formally approved, on the recommendation of RDC, the creation of the following new UCL degree award:
 - Professional Doctorate or DPro.

64 RETENTION OF UCL UNIVERSITY PREPARATORY CERTIFICATE (UPC) STUDENTS ON UCL UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE PROGRAMMES

Noted

- At its meeting on 29 June 2012, EdCom received a paper from the Director of the Language Centre regarding UCL's position on the admission of UPC students to undergraduate degree programmes at UCL. EdCom endorsed the paper and agreed that it be forwarded to AC for approval.
- 64.2 A copy of the paper that was submitted to, and endorsed by, EdCom, together with a covering note from the EdCom Secretary, was at APPENDIX AC 5/44 (11-12).

Reported

64.3 The EdCom Chair reported that the recommendations in the paper at APPENDIX AC 5/44 (11-12) were a major policy shift for UCL, and that the recommendations requested that UPC students, who were UCL students, should be granted special consideration.

RESOLVED

64.4 That AC approved the paper at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/44 (11-12)</u> and the recommendations contained therein.

[ACTION: Professor Mike Ewing, Dr Christine Hoffmann, Ms Sandra Hinton – to note]

65 RECRUITMENT, OUTREACH AND ADMISSIONS STEERING GROUP – ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2011-12

Received

65.1 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/40 (11-12)</u> – ROASG's Annual Report for 2011-12.

RESOLVED

65.2 That AC approve ROASG's Annual Report for 2011-12 at <u>APPENDIX</u> AC 5/40 (11-12).

[ACTION: Mr Gary Hawes – to note]

66 ACCESS AGREEMENT STEERING GROUP – ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2011-12

Received

66.1 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/41 (11-12)</u> – AASG's Annual Report for 2011-12, including the proposed UCL Access Agreement for 2013-14.

RESOLVED

66.2 That AC approve AASG's Annual Report for 2011-12 at <u>APPENDIX</u> <u>AC 5/41 (11-12)</u>, including the proposed UCL Access Agreement for 2013-14.

[ACTION: Ms Bella Malins - to note]

67 INSTITUTIONAL LEARNING AND TEACHING STRATEGY – IPLEMENTATION PLAN: PROGRESS REPORT

Received

67.1 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/42 (11-12)</u> – the annual report on progress in implementing the ILTS.

Reported

67.2 The AC Chair reported that a mid-term review of the ILTS would take place in 2012-13. More information would be reported back to AC in due course.

RESOLVED

67.3 That AC approve the annual report on the implementation of the ILTS at <u>APPENDIX AC 5/42 (11-12)</u>.

[ACTION: Professor Anthony Smith – to note]

68 REFORM OF ACADEMIC COMMITTEE AND ITS SUBSTRUCTURE [AC Min.49, 2011-12]

Noted

68.1 The meeting of Chairs and secretaries of AC and its subordinate committees to review the effectiveness of the reforms which were introduced in autumn 2010 would be held on Thursday 12 July 2012. A note setting out the outcome of that meeting, and any proposed recommendations for action, would be made available to AC members via the AC SharePoint after the meeting.

69 REPORTS OF SUB-COMMITTEES, WORKING GROUPS ETC OF ACADEMIC COMMITTEE

Noted

- 69.1 The AC officers had received on behalf of AC, since the last meeting of AC, Minutes of the following:
 - Committee for Equal Opportunities 7 March 2012 and 13 June 2012;
 - Education Committee 4 May 2012;
 - Scholarships and Student Funding Committee 19 April 2012.

70 FACULTY TEACHING COMMITTEES

Received

70.1 At <u>APPENDIX AC 5/43 (11-12)</u> - a list of the meetings of FTCs of which the Minutes had been received by the AC Secretary on behalf of AC since the Committee's meeting on 10 May 2012.

71 DATES OF MEETINGS OF ACADEMIC COMMITTEE IN SESSION 2012-13

Noted

71.1 Meetings of AC in session 2012-13 would take place as follows (all commencing at 9.00am):

- Meeting 1 Thursday 18 October 2012, Haldane Room; Meeting 2 Thursday 13 December 2012, Old Refectory; Meeting 3 Thursday 21 March 2013, Haldane Room; Meeting 4 Thursday 9 May 2013, Haldane Room; Meeting 5 Thursday 4 July 2013, Old Refectory.