UCL Fraud Policy

Updated: March 2017
UCL's Fraud Policy

University College London (UCL) aims to be an honest and ethical institution, seeking to conduct its business to the highest standards of integrity and objectivity. In order to protect its financial systems, resources, and assets, and the honesty and integrity of its staff, it is important that UCL has an established policy in place to minimise the risk and impact of fraudulent or corrupt activity.

This document sets out a framework for the investigation and resolution of allegations of financial irregularity made against employees of UCL. Financial irregularity is taken to include in particular (but is not limited to):

**Fraud:** Intentional deception with intent to make a gain or to cause a loss, or to expose another to the risk of loss. It can be perpetrated for the benefit of or the detriment to UCL, and can be committed by persons outside as well as inside UCL.

The Fraud Act 2006 provides for a general criminal offence of fraud, with three ways of committing it: by false representation (with the intention of making a gain or causing a loss or risk to another); by failing to disclose information to another person where there is a legal duty to disclose such information; and by dishonestly abusing one’s position. The Act also makes it an offence for any person, by any dishonest act, to obtain services for which payment is required, with the intent to avoid payment.

**Corruption:** The offering, giving, soliciting, or acceptance of an inducement or reward which may influence the action of any person.

To protect the excellent reputation and high standards of integrity of UCL and its staff, UCL will always prosecute suspected fraudsters whenever there is sufficient evidence to support a prosecution under the Fraud Act 2006.

All staff of UCL are under a general obligation to ensure the proper use of finances, assets, and resources; in particular, if they have good reason to suspect any financial irregularity involving UCL's finances, cash, stores, property or other assets, they should report their suspicions as prescribed below. Nonetheless, staff should bear in mind that an allegation of financial irregularity is serious and potentially defamatory, and could lead to the instigation of legal proceedings. It is in that context that this procedure contains provision for preliminary investigation of allegations, and lays stress on the principles of confidentiality. It also seeks to ensure that no-one making an allegation of financial irregularity is victimised for having made the allegation. However where any such allegation is found to have been made with malicious, mischievous, or otherwise reckless intentions disciplinary action in accordance with UCL’s disciplinary procedures will be recommended.

1. Introduction and Objectives

1.1. The Director of Finance and Business Affairs shall have overall responsibility for ensuring the integrity of any proceedings under this policy, and shall determine the procedure to be followed in cases of doubt. However, where an allegation is made to a senior officer of UCL about the Director of Finance and Business Affairs or a member of staff for whom the Director of Finance and Business Affairs has a direct management responsibility, another senior officer of UCL will be appointed by the Provost to manage the proceedings. Should an allegation of financial irregularity be made about the Provost, the Director of Finance and Business Affairs will ask the Chair of the Audit Committee to monitor formally the proceedings. The Director of Finance and Business
2. Responsibility for the Prevention and Detection of Fraud

2.1. Prevention should be the primary focus of any Anti-Fraud Policy, as minimising the risk of fraud occurring is likely to be far more effective than deterrence through investigation at a later stage. Effective prevention comes from the establishment and maintenance of: efficient financial management; an effective accounting system; consistent application of accounting procedures coupled with meaningful supervision; and good management practice.

2.2. UCL’s Financial Regulations state clearly that the Director of Finance has overall responsibility for:
   - integrity of records, systems and source data;
   - exercising supervision and control of accounting arrangements and standards throughout UCL;
   - providing a financial management service to govern and regulate the finances of UCL.

2.3. However the scale and diversity of UCL results in delegated authority to Heads of Department, who are responsible for implementing suitable internal controls to safeguard Departmental assets, finances and resources. Faculty Deans, Line Managers and Supervisors are also enjoined in these processes of internal control to protect assets, finances and resources.

2.4. Measures adopted centrally include the development of sound accounting systems and budgetary control procedures, regular monitoring of financial trends and the interpretation of financial statistics, defining consistent financial processes and
procedures, the provision of financial regulations and other relevant advice and guidance, and the continuous presence of an effective internal audit function.

2.5. Measures adopted at Departmental level will include fundamental internal control measures, such as: separation of duties to ensure that more than one employee has to be involved in processing every transaction; restricting authority to commit or spend funds through an authorised signatories list; reconciling local accounting records to centrally produced management information.

2.6. In addition to the internal control framework, UCL also demands of all its employees high standards of personal conduct. The Financial Regulations make reference to the conduct expected of staff in handling UCL funds and in their dealings with suppliers, e.g. Disclosure of Interest (138-140), Gifts and Hospitality (134-137), Private Consultancy Work (39-40), Discretionary Funds (22-25), Purchasing (82-97), Personal Expense Claims (117-126). Also, there are references to staff disciplinary rules, and the UCL disciplinary and grievance procedures, contained in UCL’s terms and conditions of service and available on the Human Resources website.

2.7. As the UCL officer responsible for this Fraud Policy and for the integrity of UCL’s financial systems and processes, the Director of Finance and Business Affairs maintains a formal “Fraud Risk Assessment” which is designed to identify areas within UCL most vulnerable to fraud, note the controls in place to prevent and detect fraud occurring in those areas, and monitor their effectiveness. The Fraud Risk Assessment is subject to regular review by UCL’s Risk Management Working Group.

3. Responsibility to Report a Suspected Fraud

3.1. Effective preventative measures must, of necessity, rely upon the vigilance and awareness of those staff whose work incorporates internal control features. In accordance with the Financial Regulations (No.44), it is the duty of all staff to notify the Director of Finance and Business Affairs, via their Head of Department if appropriate, of any known or suspected form of financial irregularity affecting UCL’s assets, finances, or other resources.

3.2. All notifications of suspected financial irregularity should be made in writing (in strict confidence) to the Director of Finance and Business Affairs, providing a brief description of the alleged irregularity, the estimated scale of or scope for loss, any evidence supporting the alleged irregularity, and details of the suspected perpetrator (the respondent).

3.3. UCL recognises that it is potentially unpleasant and disruptive for a member of staff to make a complaint or allegation against a work colleague, supervisor or line manager. In contemplating whether a member of staff should report their suspicions, the following considerations are relevant:

- staff have a clear duty to report suspected irregularity without delay (Financial Regulation 44);
- staff have a duty to act reasonably and without malice;
- whilst in the early stages of investigation anonymity will be preserved, it may be necessary to reveal eventually the identity of staff making the original complaint;
- any complaint or allegation which is found to have been made in malice shall be deemed a serious disciplinary offence.
3.4. In recognition of the good faith in which staff may make an allegation of suspected financial irregularity, UCL will protect and support an individual against harassment or victimisation.

3.5. Anonymous allegations rarely result in any formal investigation, as there is usually insufficient information provided to support taking action under this Policy. If an anonymous allegation is received, it will be considered, but there will be limits on how far the allegations can be meaningfully investigated. Provision of some method for contacting, in confidence, the person making the allegations should always be provided, to ensure that the allegations are subject to thorough investigation.

3.6. UCL also has a duty of care to those under investigation, and shall safeguard their rights to a thorough, objective, fair, and expeditious investigation.

3.7. All allegations will be considered in confidence and, so far as is practicable, the preliminary or full investigation of an allegation shall be carried out in accordance with the principle of confidentiality, in order to protect the interests both of the initiator and the respondent. In particular, the Director of Finance and Business Affairs and those responsible for investigating an allegation shall take all reasonable measures to ensure that:
   - the identity of the initiator is not disclosed to the respondent;
   - and the identity of neither the initiator nor the respondent is disclosed to any third party within or outwith UCL, except:
     - as may be deemed necessary for the purposes of carrying out a full and fair investigation;
     - as action taken against an individual against whom an allegation has been confirmed;
     - as action taken against an individual who is found to have made a malicious or reckless allegation.

3.8. Similarly, any staff who may be aware of the allegations, or the status of the investigation, should not make any statements about the case - either orally or in writing - to any third party whilst the allegation is being investigated.

4. Investigation Procedures

4.1. Where a member of staff has reasonable grounds for suspecting fraud, corruption or other financial irregularity, they will notify immediately the Director of Finance and Business Affairs in the manner set out in Paragraph 3.2 above.

4.2. All notifications of financial irregularity will be recorded in a Fraud Report Log held by the Director of Finance and Business Affairs, who will provide immediately an acknowledgement to the originating member of staff ("the initiator") that the notification has been received, and will then discuss the matter with the Vice-Provost (Operations) without delay.

4.3. The Director of Finance and Business Affairs will ascertain whether or not the suspicions aroused have any substance. He/ she will do this in consultation with the Vice-Provost (Operations) and, if appropriate, the Head of the Department or Unit affected.

4.4. If as a result of these consultations it is clear to the Director of Finance that any possible irregularity is of minimal effect and has arisen by accident, mistake, or incompetence rather than wilful intention, the Director of Finance will keep a formal record of this
conclusion, and of any action taken, in the Fraud Report Log. The Director of Finance and Business Affairs will inform the initiator of this decision.

4.5. In any other case the Director of Finance and Business Affairs will immediately ask an appropriate manager to conduct a preliminary investigation. The Fraud Response Plan will be used to help determine mutually agreed terms of reference, in order to gather factual information and reach an initial view as to whether a full investigation is required. The nominated manager should report his/ her findings, conclusions, and any recommendations he may have at this initial stage to the Director of Finance and Business Affairs at the earliest opportunity, and certainly within five working days.

4.6. The Director of Finance and Business Affairs will have the initial responsibility for co-ordinating UCL’s response to the preliminary investigation report, as guided by the Fraud Response Plan. Where there is insufficient evidence available to warrant a full investigation, or the allegations made prove to have been reasonable but unfounded, or the actions appear to have been made by the respondent by mistake or incompetence rather than by wilful misconduct, the Director of Finance and Business Affairs will make a formal record of this conclusion, and of any action taken, in the Fraud Report Log.

4.7. Should the allegation prove to be unfounded and of malicious or reckless intent, the Director of Finance and Business Affairs will report the details to the appropriate UCL Officer with a recommendation that disciplinary action be taken against the initiator. Malicious allegations of fraud could constitute gross misconduct.

4.8. Where there is a prima facie case for full investigation, the Director of Finance and Business Affairs will immediately brief and consult with the Provost and the Vice-Provost (Operations) - and the Chairman of Audit Committee where it is likely that the extent of the fraud will require notification to the HEFCE - and subsequently keep them informed of developments as appropriate.

4.9. The Vice-Provost (Operations) will, in consultation with the Director of Finance and Business Affairs and the Director of Human Resources (and, if necessary, on the advice of UCL's legal representatives), determine what further investigative action (if any) is necessary. Whenever and wherever the circumstances allow, the investigation will be referred to the Police at the earliest opportunity, in order to pursue criminal proceedings. Consideration will also be given as to whether internal disciplinary action is required, and whether civil proceedings should also be pursued, in order to protect UCL's interests. Each case will be considered on its own merits and in accordance with the expert advice obtained, with a view to minimising the losses (both monetary and otherwise) to, and protecting the reputation of, UCL and its staff.

4.10. Where a full internal investigation is required the Vice-Provost (Operations) will appoint a Fraud Investigation Panel, normally consisting of the Director of Finance (as Chair), the Human Resources Director and, if appropriate, the relevant Faculty Dean or Head of the affected Department. (For smaller investigations, the Vice-Provost may choose to appoint directly an Investigation Officer). This Panel will meet without delay, in order to consider:

- the appointment of an Investigation Officer;
- the objectives and strategy of the investigation, with particular consideration given to restricting the scale of loss and maximising recovery of assets;
- the make-up of the investigation team (to include, where necessary, staff from backgrounds appropriate to the nature of the investigation - especially where computerised records are involved, or interviews under Police and Criminal Evidence Act (PACE) conditions may be required;
• instruction for any immediate action required at the point of discovery, especially as regards the protection of evidence;
• the need to use other external advisers, such as the University's solicitor or insurers;
• the need to complete the full investigation with all due speed, though without compromising a comprehensive and thorough examination of the evidence available;
• the need to safeguard the rights of any staff involved in, or affected by, the investigation;
• the need to protect the initiator from harassment or victimisation for reporting their suspicions;
• the need to protect the rights of the respondent, particularly as regards providing an opportunity at the appropriate time to comment on the allegations made;
• the need to minimise disruption to operational activities and routines.

4.11. The Investigation Officer will report on progress to the Fraud Investigation Panel on at least a weekly basis during the investigation.

5. Investigation Reporting Procedures

5.1. On completion of the investigation, the Investigation Officer will produce a written report for the Fraud Investigation Panel (or the Vice Provost (Operations) directly, for smaller investigations). Once approved, the Panel Chair will submit the Report to the Vice-Provost (Operations), together with the Panel’s recommendations for future action. The report will include the following:
• a conclusion as to whether the allegations of fraud, corruption or other financial irregularity have any substance;
• the comments of the respondent in respect of the allegations made;
• the nature and extent of the irregularity;
• its effects upon UCL;
• who was involved (including those staff and/or management whose failure to exercise appropriate supervision or control may have contributed to the fraud);
• internal control weaknesses which may have allowed the irregularity to occur, with recommendations to improve those systems of control.

5.2. Following consultation with the Fraud Investigation Panel, the Vice-Provost (Operations) will provide an Executive Summary of the principal findings and conclusions to the Provost for consideration, including whether:
• UCL should proceed with legal action through criminal or civil actions;
• UCL should recommend disciplinary action in accordance with its disciplinary procedures against any member(s) of staff or student(s), where upheld allegations of fraud could constitute gross misconduct;
• any action is necessary to prevent or detect further fraud, corruption or other potentially similar irregularities elsewhere in UCL.

5.3. Any legal proceedings or other related actions will be conducted or directed by the Vice-Provost (Operations). Any disciplinary action taken will be in accordance with UCL’s disciplinary procedures. HEFCE’s Memorandum of Assurance and Accountability
requires that UCL report any material adverse change – including fraud of £25,000 or higher – without delay. Where the fraud or irregularity is of sufficient seriousness (as defined in the HEFCE Audit Code of Practice) the Vice-Provost (Operations) will make a full report to the Audit Committee, and the Provost will notify the HEFCE.

5.4. The Director of Finance and Business Affairs will record in the Fraud Report Log the detail and actions taken, in respect of any notified incident, whether reported to the HEFCE or not. The Fraud Report Log will be available for inspection by the Internal and External Auditors at any time.

5.5. If any of the office-holders named in this document have a direct management responsibility for the area under investigation, or are otherwise implicated in the suspected irregularity, they will have no involvement in the procedures. In such cases their role will be taken by another senior officer of UCL.