UCL EDI Contribution and Engagement Fund

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Criteria | | Weighting |
| A | | **Project Brief**  The panel will assess   * the quality of the proposed project/activity, * the importance and relevance of the race equity issue(s) it wishes to address, * beneficiaries of the project, * and the strength of the idea presented.   They will be looking for clearly articulated aims and outcomes. | 30% |
| B | | **Alignment with UCL's Race Equity Priorities**  The panel will be looking for a clear grasp of the fund’s aims and strong evidence of how the project/activity will contribute to the race equity visions outlined in UCL's [Race Equality Implementation Action Plan](https://www.ucl.ac.uk/human-resources/news/2021/jan/race-equality-implementation-group-interim-report)   * + Strategy and Culture   + Teaching & Research   + Staff Recruitment   + Pay, retention and staff progression   And at least one of the three other funding priorities   * Intersectional projects/activities that address race equity challenges in new ways. * Cross-departmental projects   Projects to 'level up' and ensure that good practice is celebrated and replicated across UCL. | 25% |
| C | | **Project Costs**  Assessors will be considering:   * the costs of the project/activity, * are they reasonable for the scale of the project and the outcomes and impacts outlined? * are they reasonable for the timeframe of the project? * does the budget match the project/activity priorities/outcomes?   are there sources for other/additional funding for the project? | 20% |
| D | | **Evidence**  Clear evidence of the need or demand for the activity/project outlined | 15% |
| E | | **Impact Assessment**  The panel will be looking for an appropriate mechanism to measure the project's impact. How will you measure the success of the project outcomes? | 10% |