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Abstract  Youth risk behaviours and subjective well-being in the UK are rated to be among the poorest in the developed world. The most favourable profiles for health and health-related behaviours are seen during early adolescence, with less favourable patterns emerging as adolescence proceeds. Health behaviours such as smoking and physical activity, taken up during adolescence track into adulthood, as do mental health problems. Therefore, adolescence could be a prime time to intervene. But given the UK’s low standing in the well-being rankings, a first step is to examine more fully the relationship between health behaviours and young people’s well-being. We used data from the youth panel of Understanding Society, the new UK Household Longitudinal Study. Young people aged 10-15 in the household completed a questionnaire that included the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) and questions on happiness in different life domains, as well as items on smoking and alcohol consumption, dietary patterns (intake of fruit and vegetables, crisps, sweets, fizzy drinks and fast-food) and sporting activities. The SDQ and happiness questions were used to identify low and high well-being. Smoking and drinking was rare early in youth, while healthy eating and exercise habits were less common in older youth. Smoking, higher fruit and vegetable consumption and lower fast food consumption and sports participation were more common in girls. Generally, health protective behaviours were associated with high well-being while health-risk behaviours were associated with low well-being. Gender differences in some effects were observed. Interventions to encourage healthy lifestyles among adolescents may not only benefit their future physical health but also their current well-being. A gendered approach to targeting interventions is also recommended.

Speaker  Amanda Sacker is a Research Professor in Quantitative Social Science at the Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex. Her research interests include cross-national and cross-cohort comparative studies of lifecourse influences on health and well-being. She is a member of the national Academies Panel on Measuring Subjective Well-Being in a Policy-Relevant Framework.
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SLIDE 1
Thank you Mr Chairman for that introduction I’ll go straight on to the next slide.

SLIDE 2
Why are we interested in health behaviours and well-being among young people? Well, a recent UNICEF report ranked the UK bottom out of 21 countries for youth risk behaviours and for subjective well-being. So obviously this is of concern to UK policy makers. It’s not just the ranking that is important, it’s also what these things can mean for later life. Health behaviours and well-being in youth may set the stage for better or worse health in later life since unhealthy behaviours tend to get taken up during adolescence and once people adopt unhealthy behaviours like smoking and so on they tend to track for quite a long time into the adult years. Similarly well-being tends to change during adolescence and again tracks into adulthood. So if these aspects of young people’s lives seem to change throughout adolescence and they’re then linked to health and illness later in life, then adolescence could be a prime time for intervention, for trying to break those links.
The first thing we wanted to do was to ask the question “What is the pattern of health behaviours that we’re observing in today’s generation of young people?” We examined differences by gender, age group, and family socio-economic position. And then we go on to ask, “Is there a link between different health behaviours and well-being in our adolescent sample?” We look at both higher and lower levels of well-being. We were thinking about it as two ends of a scale rather than two discrete different dimensions of well-being but it remains an open question with this age group. We are using data from the new Understanding Society survey. It surveyed young people separately from their parents. We have approximately 5,000 young people who were given the questionnaire and included in that questionnaire are items on both different health behaviours and well-being.

First of all we looked at whether they smoke cigarettes. These were 10 to 15 year olds, below the age when they’re supposed to be smoking. Relatively few, only seven per cent of our sample said that they smoked.

Next we looked at alcoholic drink consumption in the last month. Again this is a young adolescent group, so most of them thankfully, 75% said that they’d never drunk alcohol. But a small minority, 3%, are drinking regularly more than once a week. And another 9% were drinking alcohol two to three times in the last month. So still evidence of a problem.

The measures of diet were crisps, sweets and other additional snacks, fast food consumption and fruit and vegetable consumption. Most young people basically snack most days. But then there is a large minority, around 30% that don’t seem to snack on these items.

Looking at fast food meals, the majority said they are having fast food meals now and then, and there shouldn’t be any harm associated with having the occasional fast food meal. But 18% were saying that they had fast food meals one or more times a week.

When you bear in mind that the recommendations for fruit and vegetables is to have five portions a day, only 14% of this age group were having the recommended levels of fruit and vegetables each week. And a large minority, 45%, are only having one or two portions a day.

Sports participation was our final health behaviour that we could measure in the survey. Participation ranged from less than one day in the last week up to every day. And young people do seem to be participating in a reasonable amount of sporting activities, both at school and outside.
If we look at the pattern of these behaviours across different age groups – 10 – 11 year olds (younger) and 12 – 15 year olds (older) we find that unsurprisingly the older group are more likely to smoke cigarettes than the younger group. They’re more likely to have taken up alcohol. And because they’re more likely to have some sort of autonomy they’re more likely to have snacks, probably because they’re independently coming home after school etc. Also this age group is more likely to eat fast food.

By contrast the younger group are more likely to have healthy habits as far as fruit and vegetables are concerned and they are more likely to take part in sport. We know that there is this decline in sports participation as people get older.

We also investigated the gender differences in these behaviours. Girls were more likely to smoke cigarettes. It used to be boys who smoked more often, now it is girls. There is no difference between boys and girls in the amount of alcohol or snacking. Boys are more likely to want to eat fast food. Girls are more likely to eat fresh fruit and vegetables. And again, unsurprisingly the boys are more likely to take part in sport. I think there was something on the news this morning about trying to encourage girls to take part in sports in school.

When we look at socio-economic difference in the uptake of these behaviours there is no difference across income groups in the children smoking or consuming alcohol. Households on lower incomes were more likely to snack and to eat fast food, and the higher income households were more likely to eat more fruit and veg, and to take part in sports. So there may be some sort of financial, or lack of finance, as a disincentive for taking part in sports.

Well-being in youth. We have two measures that we used in the survey: The first one is a scale, a happiness scale, that looks at happiness with different aspects of young people’s lives such as their schoolwork, parents, family, friends, the school as a whole, and life as a whole. And there were seven emoticons, happy or sad faces, going from completely happy down to not at all happy. And the teenagers ticked the one that related to them. Then we summed the responses and took the top ten per cent as having high well-being.

On the other side we have a scale, the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, which measures socio-emotional problems. This has five different sub-domains about conduct problems, hyperactivity, emotional or peer problems, and then a positive sub-scale, the pro-social scale. It’s common to sum the four problem domains and then indicate low well-being from the top
Again if we look at the patterns of well-being across our different dimensions there is no difference in socio-emotional problems or in happiness across boys and girls. The younger group were both more likely to have socio-emotional problems and to report greater happiness, likelihood of being in the top happiness scale. Socio-emotional problems are more likely among the low income groups. This is consistent with much of the literature. There is no difference at all in the happiness scale. Like adults, perhaps young people adapt to their current circumstances.

This slide looks at young people smoking cigarettes and having high happiness or a high risk for socio-emotional problems. The horizontal line at 1, on the left hand side of the graph is the reference line. If the markers are below that line that means they’re less likely to have a high happiness score. Above the line, more likely to have a high happiness score. So here if young people are smoking they are less likely to be in the top ten per cent of the happiness scale. And the vertical lines around the square marks show the reliability of that estimate. If it doesn’t cross that horizontal 1 line it is statistically significant.

In this slide we see that young people are about half as likely to be highly happy if they smoke. By contrast if they smoke they’re almost four times more likely to have socio-emotional problems. So a difference in the effect size between looking at happiness or looking at the other end of the scale, at problem behaviours.
SLIDE 9
This slide shows a dose response relationship for alcohol consumed in the month prior to the survey.
The more the young person drinks the less likely they are to be happy. Alternatively, the more the young person drinks the more likely they are to have socio-emotional problems. Perhaps surprisingly, the relationship between alcohol consumption and happiness was stronger than between alcohol consumption and socio-emotional problems.

SLIDE 10
Looking at the consumption of fruit and vegetables, again the more fruit and veg adolescents consume the more likely they are to be in that top happiness group. – over two and half times. For socio-emotional problems the relationships aren’t quite so clear but there is still a negative effect. Young people are protected from socio-emotional problems the more fruit and vegetables they eat.

SLIDE 11
For crisps, sweets and fizzy drinks, we see this again, this straight line for happiness, a clear relationship between the amount of consumption of these goods and happiness compared with the socio-emotional problems where again it seems that any consumption of these things is related to having a greater chance of having socio-emotional problems.
SLIDE 12
For fast food there are statistically significant but weaker relationships with well-being.

SLIDE 13
The more sports the young person is doing each week the more likely they are to be happy and the less likely they are to have socio-emotional problems. The effect looks stronger for socio-emotional problems. The young person has three times the risk of having socio-emotional problems if they are not taking part in much sport compared to doing it every day, compared to half the likelihood of being happy when you contrast the same two extreme groups.

SLIDE 14
You may be asking were these relationships previously outlined just at one point in time and how do we know which way the cause and effect is going. Well, I’ve got very preliminary results here, based on half the sample of young people who we followed up one year later (2nd wave). This information is recent but not yet peer reviewed. Bear in mind that the findings may not be reliably replicated when the full sample is used.

The questions that were repeated in the second wave of the survey included the ones on fruit and vegetables consumption, sport participation, and alcohol consumption. The measure of behavioural problems was not repeated in the survey one year later but the the happiness scale was. And there seemed to be a suggestion that the consumption of more fruit and vegetables and less alcohol were linked with increases in happiness one year on. The effects were very small but they were statistically significant. And again that low happiness and a poor diet seemed to predict increased alcohol consumption.
To sum up, it seems to be that:
1. Participation in protective health related behaviours were associated with high happiness.
2. Risky health related behaviours were associated with socio-emotional problems.
3. Each of those behaviours had an independent risk or protective relationship with well-being i.e. they add to each other rather than all correlating together.
4. Interventions that reduce risky health related behaviours, and this is the area where policy could have most effect, might have the potential to reduce inequalities in health and improve well-being throughout the life course if we can break the cycle between lower well-being and poor health behaviours in adolescence.

I’d like to thank my co-authors, the Understanding Society team, and our funders. Thank you.
GLOSSARY

A cohort is defined as a group of subjects experiencing some event — typically birth — in a selected time period.

A longitudinal study is a research study involving a repeated observations of the same cohort over long periods of time — often many decades, unlike cross-sectional studies that are conducted for a set period.

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) is a brief behavioural screening questionnaire about 3-16 year olds. It exists in several versions to meet the needs of researchers, clinicians and educationalists. The SDQ has 4 negative subscales (conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, emotional symptoms and peer relationship problems) which can be summed to give a total difficulties score and 1 positive subscale (pro-social behaviour).

Understanding Society is an interdisciplinary study of the socio-economic circumstances of adults and children in 40,000 British households. It is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and run by the Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER). The study allows for deeper analysis of a wide range of sections of the population as they respond to regional, national and international change. Understanding Society will greatly enhance our insight into the pathways that influence peoples longer term occupational trajectories; their health and well-being; their financial circumstances; and personal relationships and attitudes. The study captures biomedical data on 20,000 participants and place this alongside rich social histories, helping to weigh the extent to which people’s environment influences their health. The first data collection took place in 2009.