

# Sussex Partnership Core Study Teams.

Dr Bree Macdonald  
(Clinical Psychologist, Crisis Teams West  
Sussex; facilitator Sussex Partnership  
CORE study).

- Results and areas of work within the teams.
- Challenges and positives from implementation
- The impact on the teams and services users of implementation and involvement in the study
- What next in Sussex Partnership CRHT's.
- Time for questions.

# Sussex Partnership CRHT's

- 1. Brighton                      opted out
- 2. Eastbourne                      comparison team
- 3. Hastings                      resource pack team
- 4. Worthing                      resource pack team
- 5. Crawley                      comparison team
- 6. Chichester                      resource pack team

# Resource Pack Teams – Worthing.

- Pre-score: 140
- Post-score: 153
  
- Main areas of work:
- 1,3,7,13,14,16,17,18,19,24,28,30

# Resource Pack Teams – Hastings.

- Pre-score: 130
- Post-score: 148
- Main areas of work: 6,7,11,13,14,16,18,24,32

# Resource Pack Teams – Chichester.

- Pre-score: 107.
- Post-score: 125-131.
- Main areas of work: 2,12,13,14,16,17,18,19, 24,26,30
- Sussex comparison teams both went down re fidelity scores.

# What worked well in the teams (CORE MODEL)?

- The scoping days were a positive start for all teams (engaged staff, motivated staff, interested staff, put them in control).
- Facilitator role enabled the change to happen more quickly.
- The structure of the process enabled change.
- The regularity and encouragement of the facilitator kept momentum.
- When staff saw each others work they were motivated themselves.
- It was a vehicle for reflection for the teams.
- Regular team meetings (reflect and momentum)

# What worked well/positive outcomes?

- Service users are keeping the work.
- Staff fed back they feel good about the work.
- Staff feel service users are benefitting (why we come to work).
- Work was largely embedded (good time scale)



# What were the challenges?

- To keep going when the team is busy.
- When something new was introduced it was clunky to get used to – took time.
- The interventions were not all the same for everyone – but did we have time to allow for the flexibility – i.e. capture in case notes if cannot do care plan etc.
- When motivation was low.
- The resource pack in paper form?
- “It was hard work” “we do that anyway”

# What Next In Sussex?

- Event in December 2015/March 2016 (sharing the work).
- Increased communication between teams and with the wider adult service (sharing the model).
- Myth busting re CRHT's.
- Moving towards the development of a Single Point of Access for local crisis care (informed by poor scores in CORE on access). Reference.
- More joint local working (front door/WCHP).

