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# Table S1. The RECORD statement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Item No.** | **STROBE items** | **Location in manuscript where items are reported** | **RECORD items** | **Location in manuscript where items are reported** |
| **Title and abstract**  |
|  | 1 | (a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | 1-2 | RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included.RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract.RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract. | 12- |
| **Introduction** |
| Background rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 4 |  |  |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 4 |  |  |
| **Methods** |
| Study Design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 5 |  |  |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | 5 |  |  |
| Participants | 6 | *(a) Cohort study* - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up*Case-control study* - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls*Cross-sectional study* - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants*(b) Cohort study* - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed*Case-control study* - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | 5 | RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided. RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage. | 55- |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable. | 6-7 | RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided. | Supplementary Text S1-2, table S2,Table S2 |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8 | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement).Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | 7 |  |  |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | 6,8-9 |  |  |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | 6, Supplementary Text S2 |  |  |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why | SupplementaryTable S4 |  |  |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions(c) Explain how missing data were addressed(d) *Cohort study* - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed*Case-control study* - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed*Cross-sectional study* - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | 7-8 |   |  |
| Data access and cleaning methods |  | .. |  | RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning methods used in the study. | 5Figure 1 |
| Linkage |  | .. |  | RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided. | - |
| **Results** |
| Participants | 13 | (a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (*e.g.*, numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed)(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage.(c) Consider use of a flow diagram | 9-10Figure 1Figure 1 | RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study (*i.e.,* study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram. | Figure 1 |
| Descriptive data | 14 | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (*e.g.*, demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders(b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest(c) *Cohort study* - summarise follow-up time (*e.g.*, average and total amount) | Table 1Table 19-10 |  |  |
| Outcome data | 15 | *Cohort study* - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time*Case-control study* - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure*Cross-sectional study* - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | Table 2 |  |  |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | Table 2, 10-11SupplementaryTable S413 |  |  |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | 11 |  |  |
| **Discussion** |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 13 |  |  |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | 13-14 | RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported. | 13-14 |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | 15-16 |  |  |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | 13 |  |  |
| **Other Information** |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | 17 |  |  |
| Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code |  | .. |  | RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code. | Protocol: 6Raw data:18 |

\*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

\*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution ([CC BY](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)) license.

# Text S1. Development of gestational age in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD).

A hierarchy of available pregnancy markers was chosen that reflects their potential accuracy to estimate the start of a pregnancy episode. Pregnancy markers that directly provide gestational age such as gestational age in weeks, prenatal examination, and fertility procedures (IVF) were on the top of the hierarchy. Next hierarchy of markers includes ranges of gestational week indicators (e.g. premature 24-26 weeks) and outcome-specific estimates (e.g. premature labour, imputed as 36 weeks, because around 60% live premature births born at 36 gestational weeks). Gestational weeks imputed from birthweight was on the 3rd hierarchy, based on the intrauterine growth curves published by Irene E. Olsen et al.(1) For pregnancies with no information available for the above three hierarchies of markers, full term births were assumed and gestational week 40 were used to calculate pregnancy start dates. Codes used in each hierarchy is referenced from Matcho, A. et al. (2)

For babies in the study population (n=726274), gestational ages were measured from each hierarchy with the following proportion: 27.8% from the first hierarchy (from codes for gestational age), 14.3% from the second hierarchy (from codes for gestational week range), 8.2% from the third hierarchy (imputed based on birthweight), 49.6% imputed as full-term (40 gestational weeks). The distribution of gestational age is consistent with the UK Office of National Statistics, although about 6%-7% full-term births with “true” gestational age of 37-38 weeks might have been estimated to be with 39 or longer gestational weeks (see table below).(3) This equates to move the measurement window forward about two week earlier (from gestational week 2 instead of 4) for these live births, which would mildly bias the current association for the first trimester towards the null. Similar algorithms (using hierarchical code groups and imputing to estimate pregnancy start dates) were reported and validated in other studies of CPRD, showing close agreement with external data.(2, 4)

**TextS1.Table-1 Distribution of gestational weeks of live births in the target population of this study and according to the UK Office of National Statistics.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Gestational age, weeks** | **This study 1990-2015** | **The UK Office for National Statistics 2007-08**  |
| **No. of live births** | **Proportion** |
| 23-27 | 3848 | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| 28-31 | 6271 | 0.9% | 0.8% |
| 32-34 | 11835 | 1.6% | 1.9% |
| 35-36 | 36386 | 5.0% | 4.1% |
| 37-38 | 87666 | 12.1% | 19.3% |
| >=39 | 580268 | 79.9% | 73.5% |

# Text S2. Outcome identification.

The main outcomes of this study were major (any and five system-specific) malformations and four neurodevelopmental disorders.

Eligible outcomes for this study include those could potentially result from short-term fetal hypoxia. We therefore included major malformations (any and system-specific malformations) and neurodevelopmental disorders. Malformations with specific known causes such as malformation resulted from maternal infections, fetal alcohol syndrome, Valproate syndrome and chromosomal malformations were not included. Twelve system-specific malformations were defined according to the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT).(5)

We then excluded 1) the musculoskeletal malformation (e.g. club foot, knock-knee and hip dislocation) as a system-specific malformation and as “any major malformation”, because they are not reliably recorded in GP records (6); and 2) system-specific malformations that we had insufficient power to detect a 2-fold relative risk increase at 80% power (5% α level). Five out of the eleven system-specific malformations from the EUROCAT classification fulfilled the power criterion according to its prevalence table and were analysed as system-specific malformations, including nervous system malformation, cardiovascular malformation, gastrointestinal malformation, genital malformation and urinary malformation (details were described in our protocol on www.clinicaltrials.gov [NCT03948620]).(7)

Any of the eleven system-specific malformations (except for musculoskeletal malformations) was evaluated as “any major malformation”, and identified from child GP records by 3 years old using Read codes which were mapped to the tenth edition of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD–10) code lists provided by EUROCAT.(5)

Neurodevelopmental disorders (cerebral palsy, epilepsy, ADHD and ASD) were defined as the time to the first diagnostic or treatment code indicating the outcome by 14 years old. We identified potential cerebral palsy cases based on informative prescription or Read codes using the Random Forest approach, as we have previously described.(8) The potential cerebral palsy cases were then validated by a paediatric-neurologist (FC) blinded to the prenatal antibiotics exposure. Other neurodevelopmental disorders (epilepsy, ADHD and ASD) were identified using previously validated criteria using diagnostic codes and/or prescriptions (Supplementary Table S2).(9-11)

# Table S2. Codes for outcome identification.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Case identification** |
| Any major congenital malformation | Any major system specific malformation according to the EUROCAT classification. We use Read code lists mapped to ICD 10 codes Chapter Q. Exclude: 1) minor anomalies post-2005**\***; 2) malformations caused by known chromosomal abnormalities and teratogens (i.e. Teratogenic syndromes with malformations, Fetal alcohol syndrome, Valproate syndrome, Maternal infections resulting in malformations, Genetic syndromes + microdeletions, Chromosomal malformations); and 3) musculoskeletal malformations. |
| Cardiovascular | Read codes mapped to ICD 10 (Q20-Q26, exclude Q2111, Q250 if GA <37 weeks, Q2541, Q256 if GA<37 weeks, Q261)  |
| Gastrointestinal | Read codes mapped to ICD 10 (Q38-Q45, Q790, exclude Q381, Q382, Q3850, Q400, Q401, Q4021, Q430, Q4320, Q4381, Q4382)  |
| Nervous system | Read codes mapped to ICD 10 (Q00-Q07, exclude Q0461, Q0782) |
| Genital | Read codes mapped to ICD 10 (Q50-Q52, Q54-Q56, exclude Q523, Q525, Q527, Q5520, Q5521) |
| Urinary | Read codes mapped to ICD 10 (Q60-Q64, Q794, exclude Q610, Q627, Q633) |
| Cerebral palsy | Besides cases identified by ≥ 1 diagnostic code, we identified cerebral palsy cases from informative prescription or Read codes using the Random Forest approach and were validated by a paediatric-neurologist (FC) blinded to the prenatal antibiotics exposure.(8) |
| Epilepsy | Two prescriptions of antiepileptic drug (AED, identified based on British National Formula Chapter 4.8) within four months or ≥ 1 diagnosis (11) |
| Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) | ≥ 2 occurrence of prescriptions for ADHD (identified based on British National Formula Chapter 4.4) or diagnoses (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, hyperkinetic disorders, hyperkinetic syndrome, hyperkinetic reaction of childhood or adolescence, overactive child syndrome and disturbance of activity and attention) within 4 month (9)  |
| Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) | At least one diagnostic code ((infantile or childhood) autism, Asperger’s syndrome, Rett’s syndrome, Heller’s syndrome, Autistic spectrum disorder, disintegrative disorder, and other pervasive developmental disorders) (10) |

\*The mapping from ICD 10 code to Read code was performed using R package “CALIBERcodelists”. EUROCAT revised its list of minor anomalies at 2005, and we applied the updated “Excluded minor anomalies post-2005” list in this study. GA: gestational age.

# Table S3. Most frequent five Read codes for each system-specific malformation.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Type** | **Description** | **Read code** | **ICD10 Code** | **Frequency** |
| Cardiovascular | Ventricular septal defect | P54..00 | Q210 | 382 |
|  | Patent ductus arteriosus | P70..00 | Q250 | 189 |
|  | Atrial septal defect NOS | P550.00 | Q211 | 116 |
|  | Ostium secundum atrial septal defect | P71..00 | Q211 | 35 |
|  | Coarctation of aorta | P55..00 | Q251 | 33 |
| Genital | Hypospadias | PC60.00 | Q54 | 293 |
|  | Hypospadias, glandular | PC60312 | Q540 | 20 |
|  | Hypospadias, penile | PC60000 | Q541 | 14 |
|  | Hypospadias, glanular | PC60311 | Q540 | 10 |
|  | Hooded penis | PCyy000 | Q54 | 10 |
| Neurological | Microcephalus | P21..00 | Q02 | 44 |
|  | Spina bifida | P1...00 | Q05 | 26 |
|  | Congenital hydrocephalus | P23..00 | Q03 | 12 |
|  | Septo-optic dysplasia | P246.00 | Q044 | 6 |
|  | Micrencephaly | P211.00 | Q02 | 6 |
| Eye | Congenital ptosis | P360.00 | Q100 | 46 |
|  | Congenital cataract, unspecified | P330.00 | Q120 | 14 |
|  | Coloboma of iris | P344200 | Q130 | 14 |
|  | Congenital cataract and lens anomalies | P33..00 | Q12 | 12 |
|  | Congenital lacrimal passage anomalies | P364.00 | Q106 | 10 |
| Orofacial cleft | Cleft palate | P90..00 | Q35 | 38 |
|  | Cleft palate with cleft lip | P92..00 | Q37 | 37 |
|  | Repair of cleft palate | 7525.12 | Q35 | 34 |
|  | Repair of cleft lip operations | 7502.11 | Q36 | 34 |
|  | Primary repair of cleft palate, unspecified | 7525000 | Q35 | 27 |
| Urinary | Congenital hydronephrosis | PD23.00 | Q620 | 30 |
|  | Multicystic kidney | PD13.11 | Q611-Q614 | 19 |
|  | Congenital absence of kidney | PD02.00 | Q600-Q602 | 15 |
|  | Dysplasia of kidney | PD04.00 | Q614 | 14 |
|  | Horseshoe kidney | PD38.00 | Q631 | 10 |
| Gastrointestinal | Hirschsprung's disease | PB30.00 | Q431 | 27 |
|  | Imperforate anus | PB26.00 | Q423 | 17 |
|  | Atresia of oesophagus | PA30.00 | Q39 | 11 |
|  | Other anomalies of lip | PA2A.00 | Q380 | 9 |
|  | Atresia of duodenum | PB10100 | Q410 | 6 |
| Respiratory | Choanal atresia | P80..00 | Q300 | 7 |
|  | Other lung anomalies | P86..00 | Q338 | 6 |
|  | Congenital cystic lung | P84..00 | Q330 | 5 |
|  | Congenital bronchomalacia | P83yB00 | Q322 | <5 |
|  | Congenital bronchogenic cyst | P843.12 | Q330 | <5 |
| Ear & face | Ear anomalies with hearing impairment | P40..00 | Q169 | 8 |
|  | Eustachian tube anomalies | P423.00 | Q164 | <5 |
|  | Other specified face and neck anomalies | P4y..00 | Q188 | <5 |
|  | Absence of ear NOS | P401011 | Q160 | <5 |
|  | Deafness due to congenital anomaly NEC | P40z.11 | Q169 | <5 |
| Abdominal wall defects | Gastroschisis | PG71.00 | Q793 | 19 |
|  | Exomphalos | PG70.00 | Q792 | <5 |
|  | Abdominal wall anomalies | PG7..00 | Q795 | <5 |
| Other | Craniosynostosis | PG03.00 | Q750 | 29 |
|  | Urticaria pigmentosa | PH32100 | Q822 | 19 |
|  | Ichthyosis congenita | PH1..00 | Q80 | 14 |
|  | Imperfect fusion of skull | PG06.00 | Q750 | 12 |
|  | Scaphocephaly | PG03.11 | Q750 | 8 |

\*The frequencies were calculated for Read codes (not diagnosis) without de-duplication. In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”).

# Table S4. Definition of covariates.\*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Covariates** | **Time for measurement** | **Value** | **Description** |
| Age at delivery | - | Grouped into categories of 5 calendar years (roughly): 14-19; 20-24; 25-29; 30-34; 35-50. | Defined as the calendar year of delivery minus mothers’ year of birth. |
| Calendar year of delivery | - | Grouped into categories of 5 calendar years: 1990-1994; 1995-1999; 2000-2004; 2005-2009; 2010-2016. | - |
| Parity  | - | Categorised as “0”, and “≥ 1” | Number of times that the women has given live-birth which were captured in the CPRD Mother Baby Link before the current pregnancy.  |
| Multiple births | - | “Singleton”, and “(One of the) Twin, triplets, or quadruplets captured in the database”. |  |
| Alcohol misuse | Most recent measurement from 10 years before pregnancy to the end of pregnancy. | “Yes” and “No” | Alcohol misuse was defined as ≥ 14 units of alcohol per week, including moderate or severe drinker. Self-reported alcohol consumption was collected prospectively and coded by general practitioners or practice nurses on the consultation date in CPRD. The most recent alcohol consumption record was used to classify participants drinking behaviour, and “ex-drinker” was categorised as not alcohol misuser if there was no evidence of alcohol withdraw before pregnancy start. Alcohol misuse was defined using: 1. One of the Read codes indicating alcohol consumption; or,
2. A prescription for disulfarim or acamprosate; or,
3. Self-reported average weekly alcohol intakes >= 14 units in the “Additional Clinical Details”.

We applied the code list of alcohol consumption developed by Bell at al.(12)  |
| Illicit drug use | Most recent measurement from 10 years before pregnancy to the end of pregnancy. | “Yes” and “No” | Illicit drug use was defined using:1. One of the Read codes indicating drug use, addiction, and overdose; or
2. A prescription for methadone treatment.

We assume that although a mother may stop using illicit drugs, the underlying behaviour was unlikely to vary significantly over time. |
| Obesity | Most recent measurement from 3 years before pregnancy till the end of the first trimester. | “Yes” and “No” | Mothers who were obese prior to the 2nd trimester of pregnancy were identified from the Read codes for obesity (or a BMI of ≥30 kg/m2 - either directly entered or calculated from the most recent height measurement and median pre-pregnancy weight after excluding outliers. I.e. height outside the range 1-2m and weight outside the range 35-300kg, were removed). It was assumed that once a mother reached clinical obesity, the chance of her returning to a normal BMI in three years was minimal. |
| Tobacco use | Most recent measurement from 3 years before pregnancy to the end of pregnancy. | “Yes” and “No” | Tobacco use was defined as daily cigarette consumption of 1-100 cigarettes per day or other tobacco use. The most recent tobacco consumption record was used to classify participants drinking behaviour, and “ex-smoker” was categorised as non-recent smoker. Tobacco use was defined using: 1. One of the Read codes indicating tobacco consumption; or,
2. A prescription for smoking cessation aid; or,
3. Self-reported daily cigarette consumption of 1-100 cigarettes per day in the “Additional Clinical Details”.
 |
| Hypertension | 50 weeks prior to delivery | “Yes” and “No” | Mothers with hypertension during pregnancy were identified based on 1. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was above 140mmHg and 90mmHg, respectively, or,
2. One of the Read code for hypertension and associated diagnoses (including pre-eclampsia, eclampsia and HELLP syndrome), or,
3. One prescription for hypertension drugs from sections 2.2 and 2.5 of the BNF. This variable identified mothers with both treated and untreated hypertension in pregnancy.
 |
| Diabetes  | 50 weeks prior to delivery | “Yes” and “No” | Mothers with diabetes during pregnancy were identified based on:1. One of the Read codes for type I, type II, or gestational diabetes; or
2. Two or more prescriptions for anti-diabetic medication; or
3. One of laboratory tests indicating diabetes (defined as ≥2 abnormal glucose tests, fasting glucose >7.0 millimoles per litre [mmol/L] or >126 milligrams per decilitre [mg/dL], plasma glucose after glucose tolerance test >11.1 mmol/L or 200mg/dL, glycated haemoglobin ≥ 6.5%, or within diabetes annual review) recorded in the “Additional Clinical Details”.
 |
| Epilepsy | 50 weeks prior to delivery | “Yes” and “No” | ≥ 2 prescriptions of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) within 4 months or ≥ 1 diagnosis |
| Depression | 50 weeks prior to delivery | “Yes” and “No” | ≥ 2 occurrences of diagnostic code, treatment code or symptom  |
| Anxiety | 50 weeks prior to delivery | “Yes” and “No” | ≥ 2 occurrences of diagnostic code, treatment code or symptom |
| Treatment of chronic medical conditions during pregnancy | During pregnancy | “Yes” and “No” | Existence of chronic medical conditions are defined as conditions that are sufficiently severe to require on-going treatment during pregnancy. Mothers were considered to have a chronic medical condition if they were issued ≥ 2 prescriptions (on separate days during pregnancy and not more than four months apart) for drugs from the same BNF section or paragraph. Drugs used to treat common conditions in pregnancy, including reflux (BNF section 1.2), nausea and vomiting (BNF section 4.6), and constipation (BNF section 1.3), were not included. |
| Genitourinary tract infection | During pregnancy | “Yes” and “No” | Common terms categorised as “Genitourinary tract infection” include urinary tract infection, cystitis, vaginitis and the prescription of Nitrofurantoin. |
| Sexually Transmitted Infection | During pregnancy | “Yes” and “No” | Common terms categorised as “Sexually Transmitted Infection” include chlamydia infection, trachoma, “TORCH” (Toxoplasmosis, Other agents such as HIV, Rubella, Cytomegalovirus and Herpes simplex) and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs). |

\*When the key codes indicating a binary condition were not identified in the medical history of a subject, we classified the subject as absence of the condition. There were no missing for multi-categorical covariates in this study (“Age at delivery” and “Calendar year of delivery”).

# Table S5. Unadjusted and propensity-score-adjusted baseline characteristics (N [%]) of children whose mother were prescribed macrolides or penicillins from 14 gestation weeks to delivery (“the second to third trimester”).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Characteristic** | **Unadjusted** | **Propensity-score-adjusted\*** |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **St.diff** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **St.diff** |
| Number of children | 6462 | 73429 |  | 6462 | 73400 |  |
| **Maternal baseline characteristic** |
| Age at delivery |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.004 |
| 13-19 | 232 (3.6) | 2889 (3.9) |  | 232 (3.6) | 2631.5 (3.6) |  |
| 20-24 | 825 (12.8) | 10560 (14.4) |  | 825 (12.8) | 9273.3 (12.6) |  |
| 25-29 | 1562 (24.2) | 19105 (26.0) |  | 1562 (24.2) | 17779.2 (24.2) |  |
| 30-34 | 2165 (33.5) | 23514 (32.0) |  | 2165 (33.5) | 24589.0 (33.5) |  |
| 35-50 | 1678 (26.0) | 17361 (23.6) |  | 1678 (26.0) | 19127.0 (26.1) |  |
| Calendar year of delivery |  |  | 0.054 |  |  | 0.003 |
| 1990-1994 | 606 (9.4) | 7594 (10.3) |  | 606 (9.4) | 6907.4 (9.4) |  |
| 1995-1999 | 1067 (16.5) | 13023 (17.7) |  | 1067 (16.5) | 12111.0 (16.5) |  |
| 2000-2004 | 1344 (20.8) | 15025 (20.5) |  | 1344 (20.8) | 15234.9 (20.8) |  |
| 2005-2009 | 1688 (26.1) | 18005 (24.5) |  | 1688 (26.1) | 19248.1 (26.2) |  |
| 2010-2016 | 1757 (27.2) | 19782 (26.9) |  | 1757 (27.2) | 19898.6 (27.1) |  |
| Alcohol misuse | 308 (4.8) | 3526 (4.8) | 0.002 | 308 (4.8) | 3494.4 (4.8) | <0.001 |
| Illicit drug use | 81 (1.3) | 739 (1.0) | 0.023 | 81 (1.3) | 911.0 (1.2) | 0.001 |
| Tobacco use | 2136 (33.1) | 23351 (31.8) | 0.027 | 2136 (33.1) | 24190.8 (33.0) | 0.002 |
| Obesity | 795 (12.3) | 8046 (11.0) | 0.042 | 795 (12.3) | 8979.4 (12.2) | 0.002 |
| Hypertension | 507 (7.8) | 5355 (7.3) | 0.021 | 507 (7.8) | 5765.8 (7.9) | <0.001 |
| Diabetes | 254 (3.9) | 2359 (3.2) | 0.039 | 254 (3.9) | 2868.7 (3.9) | 0.001 |
| Anxiety | 187 (2.9) | 1820 (2.5) | 0.026 | 187 (2.9) | 2118.0 (2.9) | <0.001 |
| Depression | 714 (11.0) | 6891 (9.4) | 0.055 | 714 (11.0) | 8033.0 (10.9) | 0.003 |
| Epilepsy | 35 (0.5) | 474 (0.6) | 0.014 | 35 (0.5) | 429.1 (0.6) | 0.006 |
| **Pregnancy related characteristic** |
| Parity ≥1 | 2367 (36.6) | 26444 (36.0) | 0.013 | 2367 (36.6) | 26964.5 (36.7) | 0.002 |
| Multiple births | 182 (2.8) | 2018 (2.7) | 0.004 | 182 (2.8) | 2071.6 (2.8) | <0.001 |
| Genitourinary tract infection | 271 (4.2) | 8725 (11.9) | 0.286 | 271 (4.2) | 3112.9 (4.2) | 0.002 |
| Sexually Transmitted Infection | 179 (2.8) | 936 (1.3) | 0.106 | 179 (2.8) | 2079.6 (2.8) | 0.004 |
| Treatment of chronic medical conditions | 1328 (20.6) | 12718 (17.3) | 0.083 | 1328 (20.6) | 14976.0 (20.4) | 0.004 |

\*Exposure propensity scores were measured as the predicted probability of receiving macrolides versus penicillins, conditional on the maternal and pregnancy related characteristics included in this table. 50 Strata were created based on the distribution of the propensity score of macrolides group. Weights for the penicillins group were calculated according to the distribution of the macrolides group among the strata and were used to estimate adjusted baseline characteristics. A meaningful between-group imbalance was assessed by an absolute standardised difference (Std.diff, the difference in means in units of standard deviation) of more than 0.1. Numbers in adjusted penicillins group were non-integer, because they were weighted based on the distribution of propensity score of macrolides group.

# Table S6. Unadjusted and propensity-score-adjusted baseline characteristics (N [%]) of children whose mother were prescribed macrolides or penicillins from 4 gestation weeks to delivery (“in any trimester”).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Characteristic** | **Unadjusted** | **Propensity-score-adjusted\*** |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **St.diff** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **St.diff** |
| Number of children | 8632 | 95973 |  | 8632 | 95971 |  |
| **Maternal baseline characteristic** |
| Age at delivery |  |  | 0.063 |  |  | 0.003 |
| 13-19 | 362 (4.2) | 3875 (4.0) |  | 362 (4.2) | 3992.9 (4.2) |  |
| 20-24 | 1202 (13.9) | 14070 (14.7) |  | 1202 (13.9) | 13291.0 (13.8) |  |
| 25-29 | 2086 (24.2) | 25328 (26.4) |  | 2086 (24.2) | 23169.9 (24.1) |  |
| 30-34 | 2829 (32.8) | 30406 (31.7) |  | 2829 (32.8) | 31559.9 (32.9) |  |
| 35-50 | 2153 (24.9) | 22294 (23.2) |  | 2153 (24.9) | 23957.2 (25.0) |  |
| Calendar year of delivery |  |  | 0.066 |  |  | 0.003 |
| 1990-1994 | 776 (9.0) | 9819 (10.2) |  | 776 (9.0) | 8662.3 (9.0) |  |
| 1995-1999 | 1385 (16.0) | 16769 (17.5) |  | 1385 (16.0) | 15461.5 (16.1) |  |
| 2000-2004 | 1840 (21.3) | 19429 (20.2) |  | 1840 (21.3) | 20448.7 (21.3) |  |
| 2005-2009 | 2256 (26.1) | 23599 (24.6) |  | 2256 (26.1) | 25073.7 (26.1) |  |
| 2010-2016 | 2375 (27.5) | 26357 (27.5) |  | 2375 (27.5) | 26324.9 (27.4) |  |
| Alcohol misuse | 437 (5.1) | 4573 (4.8) | 0.014 | 437 (5.1) | 4823.4 (5.0) | 0.002 |
| Illicit drug use | 112 (1.3) | 982 (1.0) | 0.026 | 112 (1.3) | 1193.9 (1.2) | 0.005 |
| Tobacco use | 2926 (33.9) | 30763 (32.1) | 0.039 | 2926 (33.9) | 32235.1 (33.6) | 0.007 |
| Obesity | 1057 (12.2) | 10624 (11.1) | 0.037 | 1057 (12.2) | 11688.6 (12.2) | 0.002 |
| Hypertension | 668 (7.7) | 6978 (7.3) | 0.018 | 668 (7.7) | 7379.0 (7.7) | 0.002 |
| Diabetes | 322 (3.7) | 3141 (3.3) | 0.025 | 322 (3.7) | 3551.3 (3.7) | 0.002 |
| Anxiety | 261 (3.0) | 2376 (2.5) | 0.034 | 261 (3.0) | 2841.3 (3.0) | 0.004 |
| Depression | 941 (10.9) | 9179 (9.6) | 0.044 | 941 (10.9) | 10393.5 (10.8) | 0.002 |
| Epilepsy | 60 (0.7) | 629 (0.7) | 0.005 | 60 (0.7) | 666.4 (0.7) | <0.001 |
| **Pregnancy related characteristic** |
| Parity >=1 | 3149 (36.5) | 34524 (36.0) | 0.011 | 3149 (36.5) | 35080.6 (36.6) | 0.002 |
| Multiple births | 234 (2.7) | 2553 (2.7) | 0.003 | 234 (2.7) | 2594.6 (2.7) | <0.001 |
| Genitourinary tract infection | 361 (4.2) | 11521 (12.0) | 0.29 | 361 (4.2) | 3964.7 (4.1) | 0.003 |
| Sexually Transmitted Infection | 281 (3.3) | 1237 (1.3) | 0.132 | 281 (3.3) | 3075.3 (3.2) | 0.003 |
| Treatment of chronic medical conditions | 1750 (20.3) | 16784 (17.5) | 0.071 | 1750 (20.3) | 19480.0 (20.3) | 0.001 |

\*Exposure propensity scores were measured as the predicted probability of receiving macrolides versus penicillins, conditional on the maternal and pregnancy related characteristics included in this table. 50 Strata were created based on the distribution of the propensity score of macrolides group. Weights for the penicillins group were calculated according to the distribution of the macrolides group among the strata and were used to estimate adjusted baseline characteristics. A meaningful between-group imbalance was assessed by an absolute standardised difference (St.diff, the difference in means in units of standard deviation) of more than 0.1. Numbers in adjusted penicillins group were non-integer, because they were weighted based on the distribution of propensity score of macrolides group.

# Table S7. Unadjusted and propensity-score-adjusted baseline characteristics (N [%]) of children whose mother were prescribed macrolides or penicillins 10 to 50 weeks before pregnancy.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Characteristic** | **Unadjusted** | **Propensity-score-adjusted\*** |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **St.diff** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **St.diff** |
| Number of children | 11874 | 70440 |  | 11874 | 70425.1 |  |
| **Maternal baseline characteristic** |
| Age at delivery |  |  | 0.028 |  |  | 0.003 |
| 13-19 | 499 (4.2) | 3150 (4.5) |  | 499 (4.2) | 2975.8 (4.2) |  |
| 20-24 | 1706 (14.4) | 10482 (14.9) |  | 1706 (14.4) | 10091.3 (14.3) |  |
| 25-29 | 3099 (26.1) | 18495 (26.3) |  | 3099 (26.1) | 18437.4 (26.2) |  |
| 30-34 | 3760 (31.7) | 22346 (31.7) |  | 3760 (31.7) | 22240.1 (31.6) |  |
| 35-50 | 2810 (23.7) | 15967 (22.7) |  | 2810 (23.7) | 16680.6 (23.7) |  |
| Calendar year of delivery |  |  | 0.038 |  |  | 0.003 |
| 1990-1994 | 1034 (8.7) | 6060 (8.6) |  | 1034 (8.7) | 6109.9 (8.7) |  |
| 1995-1999 | 1986 (16.7) | 12376 (17.6) |  | 1986 (16.7) | 11827.1 (16.8) |  |
| 2000-2004 | 2451 (20.6) | 14977 (21.3) |  | 2451 (20.6) | 14593.5 (20.7) |  |
| 2005-2009 | 3030 (25.5) | 18099 (25.7) |  | 3030 (25.5) | 17960.3 (25.5) |  |
| 2010-2016 | 3373 (28.4) | 18928 (26.9) |  | 3373 (28.4) | 19934.4 (28.3) |  |
| Alcohol misuse | 607 (5.1) | 3248 (4.6) | 0.023 | 607 (5.1) | 3584.8 (5.1) | 0.001 |
| Illicit drug use | 144 (1.2) | 695 (1.0) | 0.022 | 144 (1.2) | 852.0 (1.2) | <0.001 |
| Tobacco use | 3991 (33.6) | 22730 (32.3) | 0.029 | 3991 (33.6) | 23798.6 (33.8) | 0.004 |
| Obesity | 1448 (12.2) | 8015 (11.4) | 0.025 | 1448 (12.2) | 8605.3 (12.2) | 0.001 |
| Hypertension | 899 (7.6) | 5107 (7.3) | 0.012 | 899 (7.6) | 5328.1 (7.6) | <0.001 |
| Diabetes | 395 (3.3) | 2339 (3.3) | <0.001 | 395 (3.3) | 2355.4 (3.3) | 0.001 |
| Anxiety | 311 (2.6) | 1681 (2.4) | 0.015 | 311 (2.6) | 1846.0 (2.6) | <0.001 |
| Depression | 1180 (9.9) | 6601 (9.4) | 0.019 | 1180 (9.9) | 7001.3 (9.9) | <0.001 |
| Epilepsy | 73 (0.6) | 432 (0.6) | <0.001 | 73 (0.6) | 437.7 (0.6) | 0.001 |
| **Pregnancy related characteristic** |
| Parity ≥1 | 4197 (35.3) | 26876 (38.2) | 0.058 | 4197 (35.3) | 24940.7 (35.4) | 0.001 |
| Multiple births | 378 (3.2) | 1822 (2.6) | 0.036 | 378 (3.2) | 2240.8 (3.2) | <0.001 |
| Genitourinary tract infection | 1270 (10.7) | 6146 (8.7) | 0.067 | 1270 (10.7) | 7510.5 (10.7) | 0.001 |
| Sexually Transmitted Infection | 188 (1.6) | 913 (1.3) | 0.024 | 188 (1.6) | 1123.6 (1.6) | 0.001 |
| Treatment of chronic medical conditions | 2175 (18.3) | 12110 (17.2) | 0.029 | 2175 (18.3) | 12935.9 (18.4) | 0.001 |

\*Exposure propensity scores were measured as the predicted probability of receiving macrolides versus penicillins, conditional on the maternal and pregnancy related characteristics included in this table. 50 Strata were created based on the distribution of the propensity score of macrolides group. Weights for the penicillins group were calculated according to the distribution of the macrolides group among the strata and were used to estimate adjusted baseline characteristics. A meaningful between-group imbalance was assessed by an absolute standardised difference (St.diff, the difference in means in units of standard deviation) of more than 0.1. Numbers in adjusted penicillins group were non-integer, because they were weighted based on the distribution of propensity score of macrolides group.

# Table S8. Subgroup analysis according to macrolides subtypes, on the association between adverse child outcomes and macrolides versus penicillins prescribed during pregnancy.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Adverse Outcomes** | **No. of events** | **Risk per 1,000 live births or Rate per 1,000 person-year** |  **Adj. RR/HR****(95% CI)** | ***P* value**  |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** |
| **Erythromycin** |
| **Any major malformation** |  |  |  |   |   |
| 1st trimester | 53 | 398 | 27.39 | 17.65 | 1.50 (1.13-1.99) | 0.005 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 112 | 1268 | 18.51 | 17.27 | 1.07 (0.88-1.29) | 0.507 |
| **Nervous system malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 6 | 27 | 3.10 | 1.20 | 2.47 (1.03-5.96) | 0.044 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 5 | 70 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 0.84 (0.34-2.08) | 0.706 |
| **Cardiovascular malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 19 | 149 | 9.82 | 6.61 | 1.48 (0.92-2.37) | 0.108 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 41 | 477 | 6.77 | 6.50 | 1.02 (0.74-1.41) | 0.889 |
| **Gastrointestinal malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 20 | - | 0.80 | 0.55 (0.07-4.09) | 0.56 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 10 | 67 | 1.65 | 0.91 | 1.75 (0.90-3.39) | 0.099 |
| **Genital malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 10 | 68 | 5.17 | 3.02 | 1.62 (0.84-3.14) | 0.151 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 26 | 227 | 4.30 | 3.09 | 1.45 (0.96-2.17) | 0.075 |
| **Urinary malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 41 | - | 1.82 | 0.54 (0.13-2.22) | 0.392 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 8 | 105 | 1.32 | 1.43 | 0.96 (0.47-1.97) | 0.906 |
| **Cerebral palsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 55 | - | 0.35 | 0.21 (0.03-1.56) | 0.128 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 15 | 118 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 1.49 (0.87-2.57) | 0.147 |
| **Epilepsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 12 | 160 | 0.89 | 1.02 | 0.88 (0.49-1.58) | 0.663 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 35 | 525 | 0.81 | 0.99 | 0.84 (0.59-1.18) | 0.312 |
| **ADHD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 12 | 123 | 0.88 | 0.78 | 1.12 (0.61-2.04) | 0.714 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 31 | 392 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.97 (0.67-1.40) | 0.868 |
| **ASD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 19 | 181 | 1.40 | 1.15 | 1.15 (0.71-1.84) | 0.575 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 48 | 595 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 0.99 (0.74-1.33) | 0.937 |
| **Clarithromycin** |
| **Any major malformation** |  |  |  |   |   |
| 1st trimester | 6 | 398 | 36.81 | 17.65 | 1.83 (0.83-4.04) | 0.133 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 11 | 1268 | 33.23 | 17.27 | 2.07 (1.15-3.71) | 0.015 |

\*The macrolides group included 7987 (clarithromycin), 494 (clarithromycin) and 151 (azithromycin) children. In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”) and where necessary to avoid deduction. For clarithromycin, we only analysed any major malformation due to the limited number of events of other adverse child outcomes (there were six events of the four neurodevelopmental disorders in total in children prenatally prescribed clarithromycin). 151 azithromycin were prescribed during the whole pregnancy with <5 events of malformation, which precluded the analyses. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD: autism spectrum disorder; CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio; HR: hazard ratio.

# Table S9. Subgroup analysis according to duration of treatment (< 7 days or ≥ 7 days), on the association between adverse child outcomes and macrolides versus penicillins prescribed during pregnancy.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Adverse Outcomes** | **No. of events** | **Risk per 1,000 live births or Rate per 1,000 person-year** |  **Adj. RR/HR****(95% CI)** | ***P* value**  |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** |
| **Any major malformation** |  |  |  |   |   |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | 17 | 144 | 37.28 | 16.58 | 2.11 (1.27-3.51) | 0.004 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | 33 | 231 | 23.98 | 18.34 | 1.34 (0.94-1.92) | 0.104 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 25 | 466 | 15.30 | 16.46 | 0.88 (0.59-1.33) | 0.553 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 84 | 724 | 20.70 | 17.81 | 1.18 (0.94-1.47) | 0.154 |
| **Nervous system malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 11 | - | 1.27 | 0 ( 0-Inf) | 0.991 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 14 | - | 1.11 | 1.96 (0.56-6.82) | 0.289 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | <5 | 26 | - | 0.92 | 1.18 (0.28-4.94) | 0.820 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | <5 | 39 | - | 0.96 | 0.54 (0.13-2.24) | 0.398 |
| **Cardiovascular malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | 7 | 59 | 15.35 | 6.79 | 2.39 (1.10-5.23) | 0.028 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | 13 | 82 | 9.45 | 6.51 | 1.45 (0.81-2.60) | 0.207 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 9 | 167 | 5.51 | 5.9 | 0.87 (0.44-1.69) | 0.675 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 30 | 271 | 7.39 | 6.67 | 1.10 (0.75-1.60) | 0.624 |
| **Gastrointestinal malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 9 | - | 1.04 | 0 ( 0-Inf) | 0.990 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 10 | - | 0.79 | 0.86 (0.11-6.69) | 0.888 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | <5 | 25 | - | 0.88 | 2.36 (0.71-7.87) | 0.162 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 8 | 37 | 1.97 | 0.91 | 2.02 (0.95-4.32) | 0.069 |
| **Genital malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 25 | - | 2.88 | 1.22 (0.29-5.07) | 0.787 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | 6 | 40 | 4.36 | 3.18 | 0.86 (0.11-6.69) | 0.888 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 6 | 93 | 3.67 | 3.28 | 2.36 (0.71-7.87) | 0.162 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 20 | 127 | 4.93 | 3.12 | 2.02 (0.95-4.32) | 0.069 |
| **Urinary malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 13 | - | 1.50 | 1.55 ( 0.20-11.85) | 0.674 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 26 | - | 2.06 | 0.76 (0.18-3.20) | 0.704 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | <5 | 44 | - | 1.55 | 0.39 (0.05-2.79) | 0.345 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 8 | 56 | 1.97 | 1.38 | 1.45 (0.69-3.05) | 0.322 |
| **Cerebral palsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 20 | - | 0.28 | 0 (0-0) | <0.001 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 31 | - | 0.39 | 0.60 (0.14-2.53) | 0.487 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | <5 | 56 | - | 0.23 | 0.31 (0.04-2.25) | 0.246 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 13 | 57 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 1.98 (1.05-3.73) | 0.034 |
| **Epilepsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | <5 | 71 | - | 1.01 | 0.71 (0.22-2.26) | 0.559 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | 5 | 81 | 0.57 | 1.02 | 0.51 (0.21-1.28) | 0.154 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 12 | 232 | 0.82 | 0.98 | 0.91 (0.51-1.64) | 0.762 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 22 | 262 | 0.85 | 0.99 | 0.87 (0.56-1.35) | 0.543 |
| **ADHD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | 5 | 55 | 1.28 | 0.78 | 1.53 (0.61-3.87) | 0.367 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | 8 | 61 | 0.91 | 0.77 | 1.24 (0.58-2.64) | 0.573 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 14 | 191 | 0.96 | 0.80 | 1.25 (0.73-2.15) | 0.418 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 12 | 188 | 0.46 | 0.71 | 0.63 (0.35-1.13) | 0.122 |
| **ASD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <7 days, 1st trimester | 5 | 74 | 1.28 | 1.06 | 1.43 (0.62-3.30) | 0.406 |
| ≥7 days, 1st trimester | 11 | 96 | 1.25 | 1.21 | 0.74 (0.38-1.45) | 0.385 |
| <7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 14 | 257 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 0.93 (0.54-1.59) | 0.786 |
| ≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester | 32 | 299 | 1.24 | 1.13 | 1.07 (0.74-1.54) | 0.715 |

\*97772 (93.5%) children in the study cohort were with non-missing duration of treatment. The macrolides group included 456 (<7 days, 1st trimester), 1376 (≥7 days, 1st trimester), 1634 (<7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester) and 4058 (≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester) children. The penicillins group included 8683 (<7 days, 1st trimester), 12592 (≥7 days, 1st trimester), 28314 (<7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester) and 40659 (≥7 days, 2nd -3rd trimester) children. In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”) and where necessary to avoid deduction. Within macrolides prescription during the 1st trimester, 95% prescriptions less than 7 days were of 5-6 days, and 93% prescriptions >= 7 days were of 7 days. Overall, 94.7% macrolides or penicillins prescriptions were of 5 to 7 days. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD: autism spectrum disorder; CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio; HR: hazard ratio.

# Table S10. Sensitivity analysis: comparison of the risks (or hazards) between siblings of children prenatally prescribed macrolides and siblings of children prenatally prescribed penicillins in the study cohort, according to timing of prescription.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Adverse outcomes** | **No. of events in siblings of children prescribed** | **Risk per 1,000 live births or Rate per 1,000 person-year in siblings of children prescribed** |  **Adj. RR/HR in siblings (95% CI)** | ***P* value**  |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** |
| **Any major malformation** |  |  |  |   |   |
| 1st trimester | 25 | 210 | 21.22 | 18.06 | 1.18 (0.78-1.78) | 0.429 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 65 | 665 | 19.50 | 17.69 | 1.10 (0.85-1.41) | 0.479 |
| **Nervous system malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 9 | - | 1.20 | 0 (0-inf) | 0.990 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 6 | 40 | 1.80 | 1.06 | 1.73 (0.73-4.07) | 0.213 |
| **Cardiovascular malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 7 | 81 | 5.94 | 6.96 | 0.87 (0.40-1.88) | 0.727 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 23 | 230 | 6.90 | 6.12 | 1.12 (0.73-1.72) | 0.598 |
| **Gastrointestinal malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 20 | - | 1.72 | 0.44 (0.06-3.26) | 0.422 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 6 | 33 | 1.80 | 0.88 | 1.88 (0.79-4.46) | 0.152 |
| **Genital malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 6 | 42 | 5.09 | 3.61 | 1.44 (0.61-3.37) | 0.407 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 13 | 139 | 3.90 | 3.70 | 1.06 (0.60-1.87) | 0.844 |
| **Urinary malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 10 | - | 0.86 | 4.08 (1.27-13.07) | 0.018 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 5 | 51 | 1.50 | 1.36 | 1.10 (0.44-2.75) | 0.843 |
| **Cerebral palsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 20 | - | 0.21 | 0.46 (0.06-3.43) | 0.448 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 6 | 66 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.99 (0.42-2.29) | 0.973 |
| **Epilepsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 81 | 0.32 | 0.84 | 0.35 (0.11-1.11) | 0.075 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 23 | 276 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 0.96 (0.62-1.47) | 0.841 |
| **ADHD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 7 | 76 | 0.74 | 0.79 | 0.91 (0.42-1.98) | 0.807 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 22 | 264 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.99 (0.63-1.56) | 0.973 |
| **ASD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 13 | 93 | 1.38 | 0.96 | 1.36 (0.76-2.42) | 0.297 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 52 | 369 | 1.90 | 1.16 | 1.59 (1.16-2.17) | 0.004 |

\*1178 (macrolides, 1st trimester), 11631 (penicillins, 1st trimester), 3334 (macrolides, 2nd-3rd trimester), and 37592 (penicillins, 2nd-3rd trimester) children were included in the analyses. In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”) and where necessary to avoid deduction. Higher risks for genital malformation were observed for the both groups in the sibling cohort for unknown reason. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD: autism spectrum disorder; CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio; HR: hazard ratio.

# Table S11. Sensitivity analysis on the association between adverse child outcomes and macrolides versus penicillins prescribed during pregnancy: restricting to mothers whose antibiotics were prescribed to respiratory tract infections.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Adverse Outcomes** | **No. of events** | **Risk per 1,000 live births or Rate per 1,000 person-year** |  **Adj. RR/HR****(95% CI)** | ***P* value**  |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** |
| **Any major malformation** |  |  |  |   |   |
| 1st trimester | 30 | 159 | 35.42 | 18.71 | 1.81 (1.24-2.66) | 0.002 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 43 | 462 | 16.00 | 16.52 | 0.99 (0.73-1.35) | 0.944 |
| **Nervous system malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 7 | - | 0.82 | 1.46 (0.18-11.88) | 0.723 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | <5 | 25 | - | 0.89 | 0.88 (0.21-3.73) | 0.862 |
| **Cardiovascular malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 11 | 61 | 12.99 | 7.18 | 1.79 (0.94-3.38) | 0.075 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 16 | 187 | 5.95 | 6.69 | 0.91 (0.55-1.52) | 0.723 |
| **Gastrointestinal malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 7 | - | 0.82 | 1.27 (0.16-10.14) | 0.823 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 5 | 25 | 1.86 | 0.89 | 2.19 (0.84-5.75) | 0.110 |
| **Genital malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 9 | 26 | 10.63 | 3.06 | 3.30 (1.56-6.99) | 0.002 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 10 | 72 | 3.72 | 2.57 | 1.49 (0.77-2.89) | 0.235 |
| **Urinary malformation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 16 | - | 1.88 | 1.24 (0.29-5.37) | 0.775 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 5 | 51 | 1.12 | 1.50 | 0.75 (0.23-2.41) | 0.626 |
| **Cerebral palsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 25 | - | 0.40 | 0.46 (0.06-3.38) | 0.444 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 7 | 41 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 1.82 (0.81-4.08) | 0.146 |
| **Epilepsy** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 53 | - | 0.85 | 0.62 (0.19-1.98) | 0.418 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 15 | 215 | 0.81 | 1.05 | 0.77 (0.45-1.30) | 0.332 |
| **ADHD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 47 | - | 0.76 | 0.70 (0.22-2.24) | 0.543 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 15 | 151 | 0.81 | 0.73 | 1.17 (0.69-1.98) | 0.565 |
| **ASD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 6 | 80 | 1.04 | 1.29 | 0.75 (0.33-1.71) | 0.491 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 14 | 195 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 0.78 (0.45-1.34) | 0.368 |

\*In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”) and where necessary to avoid deduction. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD: autism spectrum disorder; CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio; HR: hazard ratio.

# Text S3. Probabilistic multiple bias analysis on outcome misclassification and live- birth bias

The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) has been used increasingly widely in pharmacoepidemiology studies within academic, regulatory, and pharmaceutical organisations to inform treatment guidelines and clinical practice guidance.(13) However, outcome measurements derived from administrative databases such as CPRD are not perfect and misclassification bias may exist. As CPRD data were prospectively collected as part of routine healthcare, it is reasonable to assume that measurement errors of outcomes were non-differential between macrolides and penicillins groups. This non-differential outcome misclassification is likely to bias the relative risk (RR) estimates towards the null.(14)

Besides, we included only pregnancies that resulted in live-born children, thus some severe adverse outcomes (e.g. nervous system, cardiovascular and gastrointestinal malformations) that result in fetal deaths were missed. This depletion of affected fetuses may occur more often among women exposed to macrolides (versus penicillins), as shown in our systematic review (15). Therefore, risk ratio of these outcomes measured only in live births would be subject to selection (live-birth) bias with unknown direction.

We thus conducted probabilistic multiple bias analyses to quantify the bias due to outcome misclassification as well as jointly with live-birth bias to facilitate interpretation. Specifically, we estimated adjusted RR (95% CI) for each adverse child outcome for first-trimester macrolides (versus penicillins) prescribing using bias parameters stemming from both previous studies and educated guess.

Multiple bias analyses (which provided bias-adjusted RR estimates using standard 2x2 tables) were described in detail elsewhere (16). Briefly, frequencies in the tables were adjusted by a set of bias parameters, i.e. sensitivity and specificity for outcome misclassification, and probability of live birth for selection bias. These parameters were randomly sampled from given probability distributions (e.g. 5,000 iterations from triangular distributions in this study). In each iteration, we adjusted for misclassification bias and selection bias by sampling and adjusting the frequencies sequentially, incorporated with a random error to obtain the adjusted estimates with 95% limits. The analyses were performed using RStudio version 3.5.1 and R package “episensr”.(17) The bias parameters used and bias-adjusted results were presented in Text S3. Table-1 and Text S3. Table-2, respectively.

Results show that given the assumptions described above, adjustment for the outcome misclassification and live-birth bias resulted in elevated RRs for malformations. The RR increased from 1.62 to 1.78 for cardiovascular malformations, and slightly from 1.55 to 1.58 for any major malformation. RRs for the nervous system and genital malformations increased and became statistically significant with wide 95% limits. The adjustment for outcome misclassification did not alter our findings for neurodevelopmental disorders.

**Text S3. Table-1. Summary of Prior Distributions of the Bias Parameters for the Probabilistic Multiple Bias Analyses.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameters** | **Evidence on bias parameters** | **Distributions of bias parameters** |
| **Outcome misclassification** |
| **Sensitivity** | **Major malformations**: The CPRD primary care database was considered a more complete source to investigate major malformation compared with national malformation registry, because primary care follow up records for registered patients. In contrast, malformation registry data is based on voluntary reports and active follow-up which is subject to attrition.(18-21) Based on our data, the prevalence of major malformation and major cardiovascular malformation were 17.0 and 6.3 per 1000 by the age of 3, respectively. These prevalence rates were slightly higher than those reported by the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT) UK estimates (15.3 and 4.3 per 1000). The prevalence of major cardiovascular malformation in our data was also consistent with other reports using CPRD, of 5.1 to 8.3 per 1000 from ages 1 to age 6 in CPRD.(19) Considering there would be a small portion of malformations diagnosed after age 3 years,(21) we hence assume a not perfect but high sensitivity of malformation in our study, e.g. 0.95, with the range from 0.90 to 1. | Triangular (0.90, 0.95, 1)\* |
| **Cerebral palsy**: The prevalence is from 2 to 2.5 per 1000 for the whole population in the UK.(22) We observed a prevalence of 1.8 per 1000 live births till age 14 in this study, and thus assumed a sensitivity from 0.70 to 0.90, with a mode of 0.80.  | Triangular (0.70, 0.80, 0.90) |
| **Epilepsy:** The prevalence is 7 to 8 per 1000 for the whole population in the UK.(23) We observed a prevalence of 6.2 per 1000 live births till age 14 in this study, and thus assumed a sensitivity from 0.78 to 0.89, with a mode of 0.84.  | Triangular (0.78, 0.84, 0.89) |
| **ADHD:** The prevalence estimates vary widely across studies. While the prevalence in screening studies using the Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA) was 36 per 1000 boys and 9 per 1000 girls, studies based on CPRD reported much lower prevalence rates of ADHD ranging from 4.4 to 8.7 per 1000 boys, and 0.5 to 1.2 per 1000 girls. (9, 24, 25) We observed a prevalence of 7.5 per 1,000 boys and 1.4 per 1,000 girls in this study, comparable to other CPRD studies. The lower prevalence captured in primary care databases is not surprising though, as ADHD is believed to be an underdiagnosed and undertreated condition, with only 43.7%-54.1% children with current ADHD receiving medications in the US and UK.(26, 27) We assumed a sensitivity from 0.50 to 0.90, with a mode of 0.70.  | Triangular (0.50, 0.70, 0.90) |
| **ASD:** The prevalence is about 10 per 1000 for the whole population in the UK.(28) We observed a prevalence of 7.7 per 1,000 live births till age 14, and thus assumed a sensitivity from 0.77 to 1, with a mode of 0.89.  | Triangular (0.77, 0.89, 1) |
| **Specificity** | Specificity is not commonly measured for rarer outcomes in CPRD. However, a high specificity for all outcomes was expected in this study, due to both the low prevalence and the high positive predictive value (PPV). The high PPV of diagnosis in CPRD has been addressed by a number of studies. The PPV for major malformations, including cardiovascular malformations and hypospadias, has been reported to be 93% to 96% (18, 20, 29). The identification criteria we used for neurodevelopmental disorders have also been validated by previous researches in UK’s primary care databases.(9, 10, 30) We thus assume a PPV of 95% for all outcomes in general population.Based on the definition of specificity,$$Specificity=1-\frac{False positive}{True negative}=1-\frac{N\_{Observed positive}×\left(1-PPV\right)}{N\_{all}×\left(1-prevalence\right)}$$$$=1-\frac{N\_{Observed positive}}{N\_{all}}×\frac{0.05}{>0.95}=1-(<0.05)×(\frac{0.05}{>.95})>0.997$$We then assume a specificity for all outcomes from 0.997 to 1, with a mode of 0.999.  | Triangular (0.997, 0.999, 1) |
| **Live-birth bias for the association between first trimester macrolides prescribing and severe malformations (i.e. nervous system malformation, cardiovascular malformation and gastrointestinal malformation)** |
| **Probability of live-birth (selection)** | **P (live-birth|(non-malformed, penicillin)):** 0.83. Around 17% pregnancies were terminated with non-clinical indication.(31) We thus assumed that the probability of live birth in penicillins group without malformation was with a mode of 0.83, and a range of 10%. | Triangular (0.78, 0.83, 0.88) |
| **P (live-birth|(malformed, penicillin)):** 0.63, 0.73 and 0.78 for nervous system malformation, cardiovascular malformation and gastrointestinal malformation respectively. Based on estimated risk of termination, stillbirth, and first day neonatal death among cases with specific malformations, we assume 20%, 10% and 5% of cases with nervous system malformation, cardiovascular malformation and gastrointestinal malformation were dead before registration with the general practice.(32) Therefore, the probability of live birth is estimated to be 1-17%-(20%, 10% or 5%)=63%, 73% or 78% for cases with these three malformations, respectively. We estimated a range of 10%. | Nervous system malformation: Triangular (0.58, 0.63, 0.68) |
| Cardiovascular malformation: Triangular (0.68, 0.73, 0.78) |
| Gastrointestinal malformation: Triangular (0.73, 0.78, 0.83) |
| **P (live-birth|(non-malformed, macrolides))** = P (live birth|(non-malformed, penicillin))-10%=0.73. Based on our previous system review, where the pooled odds ratio for miscarriage between macrolides and penicillins was 1.82, we assumed that first trimester macrolides exposure would decrease the probability of live birth by up to 10% (based on a probability of miscarriage of 12% in penicillin group\*82%), compared to penicillins in fetuses with or without malformation.(15) | Triangular (0.68, 0.73, 0.78) |
| **P (live-birth|(malformed, macrolides))** = P (live birth|(malformed, penicillin))-10%=0.53, 0.63 and 0.68 for nervous system malformation, cardiovascular malformation and gastrointestinal malformation respectively. | Nervous system malformation: Triangular (0.48, 0.53, 0.58) |
| Cardiovascular malformation: Triangular (0.58, 0.63, 0.68) |
| Gastrointestinal malformation: Triangular (0.63, 0.68, 0.73) |

\*Triangular (min, mode, max): Triangular distribution with minimum value, mode and maximum value. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD: autism spectrum disorder.

**Text S3. Table-2. Risk ratios adjusted by propensity score, and adjusted by bias due to outcome misclassification and conditioning on live-birth with random error for first trimester macrolides (versus penicillins) prescribing.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Child adverse outcomes** | **No. of events** | **Adjusted risk ratio (95% CI)b** | **+ Adjust bias due to outcome misclassification with random error****(95% limits)** | **+ Adjust bias due to live-birth bias with random error****(95% limits)** |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillinsa** |
| Any major malformation | 60 | 400.7 | 1.55 (1.21, 2.03) | 1.58 (1.22, 2.08) |  |
| Nervous system malformation | 6 | 27.1 | 2.30 (0.95, 5.55) | 5.17 (1.53, 31.24) | 5.64 (1.62, 104.15) |
| Cardiovascular malformation | 23 | 146.9 | 1.62 (1.05, 2.51) | 1.74 (1.11, 2.74) | 1.78 (1.12, 2.80) |
| Gastrointestinal malformation | <5c | - | 1.00 (0.23, 4.28) | 1.04 (0.24, 4.31) | 1.00 (0.23, 4.14) |
| Genital malformation | 11 | 68.1 | 1.68 (0.89, 3.16) | 2.04 (1.03, 3.94) |  |
| Urinary malformation | <5c | - | 0.65 (0.20, 2.08) | 0.49 (0.14, 1.62) |  |
| Cerebral palsy | <5c | - | 0.39 (0.10, 1.61) | 0.27 (0.06, 1.15) |  |
| Epilepsy | 12 | 160.4 | 0.78 (0.43, 1.39) | 0.74 (0.41, 1.30) |  |
| ADHD | 14 | 122.1 | 1.19 (0.69, 2.06) | 1.24 (0.71, 2.16) |  |
| ASD | 19 | 198.9 | 0.99 (0.62, 1.58) | 0.99 (0.60, 1.56) |  |

a: The numbers of event in penicillins group were weighted based on the distribution of propensity score of macrolides group, which were used to calculate the adjusted risk/hazard ratio in the main analyses. b: Because the risk ratios for cerebral palsy, epilepsy, ADHD and ASD were comparable with the reported hazard ratios, we measured their risk ratios for simplicity. c: In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”) and where necessary to avoid deduction. CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio; HR: hazard ratio. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD: autism spectrum disorder

# Table S12. *Post-hoc* analyses on the association between common specific malformation and macrolides versus penicillins prescribed during pregnancy.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Adverse Outcomes** | **No. of events** | **Risk per 1,000 live births or Rate per 1,000 person-year** |  **Adj. RR/HR****(95% CI)** | **P value**  |
| **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** |
| **Ventricular septal defect** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 13 | 85 | 5.99 | 3.77 | 1.66 (0.93-2.98) | 0.088 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 25 | 252 | 3.87 | 3.43 | 1.11 (0.73-1.67) | 0.626 |
| **Hypospadias\*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 10 | 61 | 8.86 | 5.26 | 1.45 (0.75-2.81) | 0.268 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 26 | 206 | 8.16 | 5.47 | 1.56 (1.04-2.35) | 0.032 |
| **Atrial septal defect** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | 5 | 26 | 2.3 | 1.15 | 2.01 (0.77-5.22) | 0.154 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 5 | 89 | 0.77 | 1.21 | 0.59 (0.24-1.44) | 0.244 |
| **Patent ductus arteriosus** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 40 | - | 1.77 | 0.84 (0.26-2.74) | 0.778 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 12 | 127 | 1.86 | 1.73 | 1.02 (0.57-1.84) | 0.946 |
| **Cleft palate/lip** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 29 | - | 1.29 | 0.75 (0.18-3.14) | 0.692 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 11 | 94 | 1.7 | 1.28 | 1.29 (0.69-2.40) | 0.425 |
| **Craniosynostosis** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st trimester | <5 | 5 | - | 0.22 | 4.16 (0.81-21.45) | 0.088 |
| 2nd -3rd trimester | 5 | 14 | 0.77 | 0.19 | 3.87 (1.40-10.67) | 0.009 |

\*Calculated in male babies. In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we only analyses outcomes where there were at least 5 cases in 1st trimester or 2nd to 3rd trimester, macrolides group. We suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”) and where necessary to avoid deduction. CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio; HR: hazard ratio.

# Table S13. Number of prescriptions matched or not matched with any indication (infection) and number of any major malformation by each indication.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No. of prescriptions matched or not matched with indication (infection)** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** | **No. of any major malformation** |
| **Total** | **Erythromycin** | **Clarithromycin** | **Azithromycin** | **Macrolides** | **Penicillins** |
| Antibiotics matched with any indication | 4726 (55%) | 4366 (55%) | 287 (58%) | 73 (48%) | 52293 (54%) | 94 (1.99%) | 915 (1.75%) |
|  | Respiratory tract infection | 3534 (75%) | 3298 (76%) | 224 (78%) | 12 (16%) | 36462 (70%) | 73 (2.07%) | 621 (1.70%) |
|  | Skin infection | 377 (8%) | 363 (8%) | - | <5 | 3019 (6%) | 9 (2.39%) | 65 (2.15%) |
| **Indication** | Head & Neck infection | 306 (6%) | 274 (6%) | - | <5 | 2979 (6%) | 6 (1.96%) | 51 (1.71%) |
| Genitourinary infection | 197 (4%) | 191 (4%) | <5 | <5 | 9515 (18%) | <5 | 173 (1.82%) |
|  | Sexual transmitted infection | 163 (3%) | 107 (2%) | <5 | - | 63 (0%) | <5 | <5 |
|  | Gastrointestinal infection | 135 (3%) | 121 (3%) | - | <5 | 171 (0%) | <5 | 5 (2.92%) |
|  | Other infections | 14 (0%) | 12 (0%) | <5 | <5 | 84 (0%) | <5 | <5 |
| Antibiotics unmatched with any indication | 3906 (45%) | 3621 (45%) | 207 (42%) | 78 (52%) | 43680 (46%) | 92 (2.36%) | 751 (1.72%) |
| **Total** | **8632** | **7987** | **494** | **151** | **95973** | **186** | **1666** |

\*An indication was defined as an infection episode recorded within 6 days before a macrolide or penicillin prescription. In accordance with the confidentiality preserving policy of CPRD, we suppressed the information where the frequency cell contains <5 events (noted as “<5”) and where necessary to avoid deduction.

# Table S14. Previously published studies on the association between maternal exposure of macrolides and major congenital malformations or neurodevelopmental disorders.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Studies** | **Study type** | **Exposure** | **Reference group** | **Outcome** | **No. of cases/Total in exposure group** | **RR/OR (95% Confidence interval)** | **Comments** |
| Einarson, 1990 | Prospective cohort | Clarithromycin,4-14 weeks | Non-teratogenic antibiotics | Major CM | 3/157 | 1.60 (0.26-9.69) |  |
| Czeizel,1999 | Paired case-control, Hungarian | Erythromycin, 2-3 month and whole pregnancy | Non-exposure to erythromycin  | Isolated CMs | 23 cases  | 1.50 (0.80-2.60) for Cardiovascular CA |  |
| Kallen, 2005 | Swedish Medical Birth Register | Erythromycin, 1st trimester | General population and indirectly penicillin V | Cardiovascular CM | 31/1844 | 1.84 (1.29-2.62) | Penicillins Versus general population: 0.99 (0.80-1.23) |
| Sakar, 2006 | Prospective cohort, Canada | Azithromycin, whole pregnancy | Antibiotics, Non-teratogens | Major CM | 3/123 | Not reported | Under power |
| Kenyon, 2008 | Randomised Clinical Trial | Erythromycin + co-amoxiclav or erythromycin only, 3rd trimester | co-amoxiclav or placebo | Cerebral palsy | 18/783 in pPROM, 35/795 in SPL | 0.91 (0.48-1.71) in pPROM. 2.28 (1.24-4.21) in SPL |  |
| Kenyon, 2008 | Randomised Clinical Trial | Erythromycin + co-amoxiclav or erythromycin only, 3rd trimester | co-amoxiclav or placebo | Epilepsy | 18/783 in pPROM, 35/795 in SPL | 0.89 (0.59-1.32) in pPROM, 1.18 (0.84-1.66) in SPL |  |
| Cooper, 2009 | Tennessee Medicaid | Erythromycin, azithromycin, first 4 lunar months | No antibiotics | Major and system CM  | 23 major CM/903 in erythromycin group; 23 major CM/559 in azithromycin group | 0.86 (0.55-1.34) for erythromycin, major CM; 1.37 (0.85-2.22) for azithromycin, major CM |  |
| Crider, 2009 | case-control, Hungarian | Erythromycin, whole pregnancy | No erythromycin | Selected Birth Defects | >300 CM case in total | Anencephaly 2.4 (1.1-5.3) and transverse limb deficiency 2.1(1.0-4.2)  | Associations with other outcomes were not significant. Any heart defect 1.0 (0.7-1.3).  |
| Bar-Oz, 2012 | Prospective cohort,Czech | Macrolides (Clarithromycin, azithromycin and roxithromycin), 1st trimester | Non-teratogenic exposures | Major and cardiovascular CM | 15/441 (Major CM); 7/441 (cardiovascular CM) | 1.42 (0.70, 2.88) for macrolides and major CM;1.91 (0.63, 5.62) for macrolides and cardiovascular CM |  |
| Romoren, 2012 | Medical Birth Registry of Norway | Macrolides, 1ST trimester | Penicillin V  | Major and cardiovascular CM | 69/2549 (Major CM); 25/2549 (cardiovascular CM) | 0.96 (0.76,1.22) for major CM;0.96 (0.65,1.43) for cardiovascular CM | Gestational week 5-8: 1.36 (0.75, 2.47) for cardiovascular CM. |
| Andersen, 2013 | Danish Fertility Database | Clarithromycin,1ST trimester | No clarithromycin | Major CM | 9/253 | 1.03 (0.53–2.00) |  |
| Bahat, 2013 | Retrospective cohort, Israel | Macrolides, 1st and 3rd trimester | No macrolides | Major and cardiovascular CM | Number of cases unreported, 1033 macrolides in total. | 1.07 (0.84–1.38) for major CM;0.95 (0.65–1.40) for cardiovascular CM |  |
| Lin, 2013 | Case-control, Slone Epidemiology Center Birth Defects Study | Macrolides and Erythromycin, 1-3 trimester | No erythromycin | Cardiovascular malformation | 140 Cardiovascular CM cases in total | 0.9 (0.6-1.3) for cardiovascular CM exposed to macrolides during 1st trimester |  |
| Berard, 2015 | Prospective cohort, Quebec Pregnancy Cohort | Erythromycin, azithromycin, and clarithromycin, 1st trimester | Unexposed | Major and cardiovascular CM | 66/734 erythromycin, 120/914 azithromycin, and 79/686 clarithromycin. | 0.96 (0.74–1.24) erythromycin, 1.19 (0.98–1.44) azithromycin and 1.12 (0.99–1.42) clarithromycin |  |
| Meeraus, 2015 | Retrospective cohort, UK | Macrolides, whole pregnancy | Penicillins | Cerebral palsy or epilepsy | 28/2749 | 1.78 (1.18-2.69) |  |
| Muanda, 2017 | Prospective cohort, Quebec Pregnancy Cohort | Macrolides, 1st trimester | Penicillins | Major and system CM | 265/2332 major CM, 35/2332 gastrointestinal CM, 18/2332 genital tract CM | 1.13 (0.98–1.31) for major CM, 1.48 (0.99–2.20) for gastrointestinal CM, and 0.93 (0.55–1.56) for genital tract CM  | Associations with other outcomes were not significant. High prevalence of major CM, though the author argued this is non-differential between exposure groups. |

\*CM: congenital malformation; pPROM: preterm rupture of the membranes; SPL: spontaneous preterm labour
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