

**From:** debbiekennett@aol.co  
**To:** richard.hutt@bbc.co.uk  
**Sent:** Fri 02/05/2014 14:03  
**Subject:** RE: BBC Complaints - Case number CAS-2661021-0QVP81

Dear Mr Hutt

Thank you for your two e-mails, and thank you for taking the time to explain to me the limitations of your role. I was in fact in the process of compiling a list of all the inaccurate statements made by Mr Moffat in his interview on the Mark Forrest Show together with a detailed point-by-point rebuttal explaining why there was no scientific evidence to support any his claims. However, as you've now explained that the proper procedures were not followed at the earlier stages of the complaints process I will await a response from the programme makers. I will get back to you with any further concerns when I have received their response.

I'm somewhat disappointed that you are not able to investigate the editorial decision to invite Mr Moffat to be interviewed on the Mark Forrest Show, though I understand that it is beyond your remit. However, I hope that when you consider your response you will take into account the fact that Mr Moffat does not have any expertise or qualifications in the subject on which he was being interviewed, and that his views therefore have little or no credibility. Editorial coverage of scientific subjects such as genetics should be based on research published in peer-reviewed scientific journals and not on stories told by salesmen from genetic ancestry Companies.

I'm concerned that there is a loophole in the BBC Complaints process and there does not seem to be a satisfactory procedure to air concerns about the promotion of Mr Moffat's business across the BBC over the last few years, and the general lack of balance in the BBC's reporting of genetic ancestry stories. I hope that I will have the opportunity to take up the matter with the BBC Trust when the present process has been completed.

Kind regards  
Debbie Kennett