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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section A: Summary of Examination Result** |  |
| **The examiners confirm** that they have examined the thesis submitted by the candidate and have also examined the candidate orally on the subject of the thesis and on subjects relevant to the thesis. [ ]  **The examiners confirm** that they have satisfied themselves that the candidate, as evidenced by the thesis and the Viva, can communicate with the scholarly community about their areas of expertise. [ ] [ ]  |
| **The examiners report that they have satisfied themselves that the thesis:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  ***Please check the box against the relevant items***1. is genuinely the work of the candidate
2. consists of the candidate’s own account of their investigations and indicates in what respects they appear to them to advance the study of the subject
3. represents a contribution to the subject, either through a record of the candidate’s original work or a critical and ordered exposition of existing knowledge
4. takes due account of previously published work on the subject
5. makes clear the sources from which information has been derived, the extent to which the work of others has been used, and the areas which are claimed as original
6. is an integrated whole and presents a coherent argument
7. is satisfactory as regards literary presentation
8. includes a satisfactory bibliography and references
 | [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  |

Please comment in Section B below and provide feedback if you are not satisfied in any of the above. **Please continue overleaf….** |
| **The examiners report that they have determined ONE of the following:**  |
| **1.** The candidate has met the criteria for an **MPhil** without the need for corrections and **can be awarded the MPhil.** [ ]  |

|  |
| --- |
| **2.** The candidate **is required** to make **specified amendments** to the examiners’ satisfaction **within:** **one month.** **[ ]**  **six months. [ ]**  **(Only tick one box)** **Please complete Section C** Name of person nominated to check the minor corrections: **­­­­­**      Address:      Post Code:      ***\* The person* *nominated to check the amendments can either confirm the required amendments have been made satisfactorily by email (******researchdegrees@ucl.ac.uk******) or by using the Certification of Minor Amendments form available from:*** [***www.ucl.ac.uk/srs/academic-manual/research-degrees/documents/minor\_amendments.doc***](http://www.ucl.ac.uk/srs/academic-manual/research-degrees/documents/minor_amendments.doc) |
| **3.** The candidate is permitted to **re-enter** for the above degree and **to resubmit the thesis** **in a revised form** **within a period not exceeding twelve months.** (A further oral examination is at the discretion of the examiners) [ ]  **NB: This result is not applicable for a resubmitted thesis.** **Oral Examination? Yes [ ]  No [ ]  To Be Confirmed [ ]**  |
|  **4.** The examiners confirm that they have determined that the candidate has **not satisfied** them in the examination (Such a candidate will not be permitted to re-enter for the examination for the above degree or any other).[ ]  |
| **Section B: Examiners’ Joint Report of the Viva**This section of the report should be completed by the examiners and should give the grounds on which the joint decision is based, including feedback on any of the criteria for the degree which have not been satisfied.   |
|  |
| **Section C**: **Examiners’ Request for Minor Amendments**: The following section **should** be completed where the examiners have determined that minor amendments are required. Examiners should select **one** of the following: |
| **i)** The examiners confirm that the candidate has been provided with **a list of minor** amendments **or an annotated thesis** either immediately after the oral or within two weeks of the oral examination and has been asked to **send the amended thesis for confirmation to the person nominated to check the corrections:** [ ]  **\*****ii)** The candidate has **already** made the minor amendments required to the satisfaction of **designated checker**: [ ]  |
| **Section D: Examiners’ Signature Confirming Result of the Examination****Declaration:** We confirm this is the joint examiners’ report for the above name candidate. |
| Signed: Date:      (Please print name)Signed: Date:      (Please print name)Signed: \* Date:      (Please print name)If electronic signatures are to be used, these must be the image of a hand written signature. We cannot accept a typed name in place of a signature.***( \* Only for use in the exceptional cases when UCL has approved the appointment of a third examiner)*** |