



UCL Academic Manual 2023-24

Chapter 6: Student Casework Framework

Chapter 6 is UCL's regulatory framework for Student Conduct, Complaints and related procedures.

1	Code of Conduct for Students	3
2	Disciplinary Code and Procedure in Respect of Students	5
3	Academic Insufficiency Procedure	21
4	Mandatory Faculty Interviews	24
5	Suspension of Regulations Procedure	25
6	Material Irregularity Procedure	26
7	Academic Appeals Procedure	27
8	UCL Student Complaints Procedure	40
9	Student Academic Misconduct Procedure.....	51
10	Procedure for Student Fee Debts.....	64
11	Public Complaints about UCL	65

1 Code of Conduct for Students

UCL enjoys a reputation as a world-class university. It was founded on the basis of equal opportunity, being the first English university to admit students irrespective of their faith and cultural background. It was the first university in England to admit women. UCL expects its members to conduct themselves at all times in a manner that does not bring UCL into disrepute, this includes conduct whilst not on UCL premises.

Good conduct means:

- In general realising that you are an ambassador for UCL and behaving accordingly. This applies anywhere and at any time but is particularly important in the local community around UCL, whilst on fieldwork, whilst on other study away from UCL, on the sports field and whilst engaged in any other UCL-related activity.
- Recognising the diversity of the UCL community and not discriminating against others on the basis of their age, ethnic origin, race, nationality, membership of a national minority, culture, language, religious faith or affiliation or lack thereof, political affiliation or opinions or lack thereof, sex, gender, gender identity, sexuality, sexual orientation, marital status, caring or parental responsibilities, illness, ability or disability, mental health status, medical condition, physical appearance, genetic features, parentage, descent, full or part-time student status, socio-economic background, employment status, trade union affiliation, spent or irrelevant criminal convictions or any other irrelevant distinction.
- Acting responsibly, being honest, being considerate, respectful and courteous towards others. Behaving in a respectful manner towards others so that they do not feel that they are being harassed or bullied and abiding by the law.

Whilst learning:

- Following the rules laid down by UCL for academic activity, the use of the Library, Information Services and other services.
- Being punctual for classes and other appointments.
- Informing the relevant person if you are going to be absent or delayed for an activity where you are expected to attend.
- Being aware of the advice and assistance available on academic and other matters from sources such as personal, programme and Departmental Tutors.
- Seeking help for yourself when you need it.

Around UCL:

- Showing respect for UCL property.
- Informing yourself of UCL health and safety policies and following them.
- Playing your part in maintaining security and being prepared to produce your identity card when requested.
- Caring for the environment at UCL by respecting buildings, spaces and facilities.
- Recycling and disposing of litter responsibly.
- Being aware of UCL's advice for saving energy.
- Showing consideration for others regarding noise (e.g. switching mobile phones off in classes).

Social and sporting behaviour:

- Showing good sportsmanship whilst taking part in sporting activities.
- Refraining from exhibiting displays of drunken or loutish behaviour.
- Ensuring that any activity you engage in using the internet, including virtual learning environments (e.g. Moodle), social networking sites (e.g. Facebook),

Twitter etc.), blogs or other web resources, refrains from causing offence and could not be regarded as bullying or harassment.

- Respecting the right of freedom of speech provided it is within the law.

Awareness and caring for others:

- Being aware of the help and support available at UCL from sources such as [Student Psychological and Counselling Services](#), [the Disability, Mental Health and Wellbeing team in Student Support and Wellbeing \(SSW\)](#) and the [Students' Union Rights and Advice Centre](#).
- Showing consideration for the welfare of your friends and peers and, if appropriate, providing advice on seeking help.

Links to other UCL policies that relate to conduct:

- [Section 2: Student Disciplinary Code and Procedure](#)
- [Equalities](#)
- [Examinations and Awards](#)
- [Guidelines for Fieldwork](#)
- [Harassment and Bullying](#)
- [Health and Safety](#)
- [ISD Regulations](#)
- [Library Regulations](#)
- [Student Accommodation General Regulations](#)
- [Security](#)

Links to sources of advice and assistance:

- [Student Psychological and Counselling Services](#)
- [The Disability, Mental Health and Wellbeing team](#)
- [Students' Union UCL](#)
- [Students' Union Rights and Advice Centre](#)
- [Energy Saving Information](#)

2 Disciplinary Code and Procedure in Respect of Students

Contact: Casework Team, Student and Registry Services (casework@ucl.ac.uk)

UCL is a community and as members of this community students are expected to adhere to UCL's rules and regulations, to show respect for persons and property, and to behave in a way that does not interfere with the normal operations of UCL. Where there is reason to believe that rules and regulations have been broken, and/or when the behaviour of a student falls below the expected standards, as outlined below, the Disciplinary Code and Procedure will be instigated.

Section 1: Disciplinary Code

1. UCL Statute 13 (Jurisdiction over Students) provides as follows:
 - (1) The Council shall be responsible for maintaining good order within the College and its precincts and other buildings and premises owned or occupied by the College and shall have disciplinary powers over the conduct of Students of the College which the Council considers to affect the interests of the College, its Staff or Students.
 - (2) The Council, on the advice of the Academic Board, and after consulting the Students' Union, shall approve a Disciplinary Code and Disciplinary Procedure and determine procedures, including a student complaints and appeals procedure, which shall be published and made available to all Students of the College. The Procedure shall provide for the arrangements governing the hearing of a case of disciplinary action against a Student and of an appeal to the Discipline Review Body prescribed in (3).
 - (3) There shall be a Discipline Review Body to which an appeal shall lie in cases where the punishment imposed by the College is expulsion from the College and its precincts and other buildings and premises owned or occupied by the College, or temporary exclusion for more than four weeks.
2. The Provost has the following disciplinary powers under UCL Statute 11:
 - (4) The Provost shall have power, in accordance with Regulations made by the Council on the advice of the Academic Board, to suspend a Student from any or all of their studies in the College and to exclude a Student from the College and its precincts and other buildings and premises owned or occupied by the College. In the case of suspension or exclusion for reasons other than academic insufficiency the Provost shall report such suspension or exclusion to the Academic Board and to the Council and shall ensure that appropriate action is taken forthwith under disciplinary or other appropriate procedure.
 - (5) The Provost shall have power to delegate any or all of the powers conferred by [paragraph (3)] of this Statute (as above) to such Officer of the College or persons or bodies as they may think fit.

Delegation by the Provost

3. In accordance with Statute 11(4), the Provost has delegated their powers under Statute 11(3) to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar.

Section 2: Jurisdiction and General Principles

(a) Jurisdiction

4. The Disciplinary Code applies to all registered students of UCL.
5. The jurisdiction of this Code will extend, where appropriate, beyond UCL's precincts and will cover, for example, the conduct of students attending another institution or a work placement in the United Kingdom or abroad, or taking part in approved field trips or other authorised external activities.

(b) Relationship and interface with other UCL policies and procedures

6. This Code relates to matters of non-academic misconduct and any action taken under this Code automatically supersedes any disciplinary action being taken under other rules or regulations of UCL.
7. Where the alleged misconduct relates to an academic matter, it should be referred for action in accordance with UCL's Academic Regulations.
8. Where the alleged misconduct relates to research misconduct, it should be referred for action in accordance with UCL's Research Misconduct Procedure.
9. Where the alleged misconduct relates to harassment, bullying and/or intimidation then it should be referred for action under UCL's policy on Harassment and Bullying (Students).
10. Students registered on programmes leading to membership of a professional body should demonstrate appropriate behaviour and standards required for entry into that profession. Alleged misconduct which may be judged to fall short of the professional codes of conduct will be considered under the relevant Fitness to Practise Procedure.
11. Where there is a concern that mental health, illness or disability may have directly affected behaviour and/or conduct, the Director of Student Support and Wellbeing will be consulted as to whether it would be more appropriate to consider the matter under the Support to Study Policy or the Student Mental Health Policy as an alternative to disciplinary action.
12. Where it is not clear how the alleged misconduct should be classified the matter will be determined by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar.

(c) General Principles

13. Students involved in disciplinary procedures shall have the right to be accompanied to any meeting or hearing by a 'friend', who must be a UCL student or member of staff of UCL or [Students' Union UCL](#). The role of the friend is to provide moral support during a meeting or hearing. They cannot make representations nor cross-examine witnesses and must also not be a witness.
14. UCL will wherever possible seek to adhere to the time limits outlined in this procedure; however, in cases where there are special circumstances which require variance from specified time limits, students will be advised of the reasons for this by the Officer handling the investigation or hearing.
15. Students will be given the opportunity to attend disciplinary hearings in person. UCL reserves the right, however, to proceed with any investigation or disciplinary hearing in the absence of a student, subject to the student having been properly notified of the date and time of the hearing, or in cases where criminal proceedings do not allow the student to attend in person.
16. UCL reserves the right not to proceed with any investigation following an allegation against the behaviour of a student if it is considered that there are insufficient grounds or evidence to do so.
17. During the application of this Disciplinary Code and Procedure, UCL reserves the right to adjourn any disciplinary investigation or hearing and reconvene at a later date.
18. The standard of proof to be adopted during the application of this Disciplinary Code and Procedure will be the balance of probability.
19. Where misconduct has occurred, and wherever appropriate, the intention will be to provide a corrective response in addition to any reasonable and proportionate punitive response.

(d) Officers responsible for disciplinary matters

20. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar of UCL has the responsibility for dealing with disciplinary matters referred to her/him under the authority and with the powers granted to them in this procedure. Authority to refer a matter to the Discipline Committee rests with the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may delegate this responsibility to the appropriate members of Student and Registry Services and all references to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar in this Code and Procedure should be understood to include also such nominees.
21. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may also delegate authority for discipline in UCL Houses and Halls of Residence to Wardens of UCL Houses and Halls of Residence.

22. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may also delegate authority for discipline in UCL Libraries to the Director of UCL's Library Service or their nominee.

Section 3: Definition of Misconduct¹

23. Misconduct which may be the subject of disciplinary procedures under this Code is defined as improper interference with the proper functioning or activities of UCL, or of those who work or study in UCL, or action which otherwise damages UCL and/or its staff or students, including, but not limited to, the following:
- (1) disruption or improper interference, whether on UCL premises or elsewhere, with the academic, administrative, sporting, social, cultural or other activities of UCL;
 - (2) obstruction or improper interference on UCL premises or whilst engaged in any UCL activity with the functions, duties or activities of any student, member of staff or other employee of UCL or any authorised visitor of UCL;
 - (3) violent, indecent, disorderly, threatening or offensive behaviour or language on UCL premises or whilst engaged in any UCL activity;
 - (4) fraud, deceit, deception or dishonesty in relation to UCL or its staff or students;
 - (5) action likely to cause injury to, or impair the safety of, either themselves or others on UCL premises or whilst engaged in any UCL activity;
 - (6) sexual, racial or other kind of harassment of any student, member of staff or other employee of UCL or any authorised visitor to UCL;
 - (7) breach of the provisions of UCL's Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech or of any other code or UCL rule or regulation which provides for breaches to constitute misconduct under this code;
 - (8) damage to or defacement, caused intentionally or recklessly, or misappropriation of UCL property² or the property of other members of UCL and/or the UCL community, or any other property³ into which the Student enters, or misappropriation of such property whilst engaged in UCL activities;
 - (9) misuse or unauthorised use of UCL premises or items of property, including computer misuse;
 - (10) distributing or publishing a poster, notice, sign or any publication which is offensive, intimidating, threatening, indecent or illegal, including the broadcasting and electronic distribution of such material;
 - (11) conduct which constitutes a criminal offence where that conduct took place on UCL premises or
 - (a) affected or concerned other members of the UCL community or
 - (b) damages the good name of UCL or

² Examples of 'minor' or 'major' classifications of misconduct can be found at paragraphs 25 and 26 of this Procedure.

³ Within this document property is defined as, but not limited to: computers; software; data; web pages; equipment (e.g. audio-visual equipment; laboratory equipment; instruments; tools); books; furniture; and personal belongings of individuals

- (c) itself constitutes misconduct within the terms of this Code or
 - (d) is an offence of dishonesty, where the Student holds an office of responsibility in UCL, or
 - (e) involves a student registered on a programme leading to membership of a professional body and where that conduct may fall short of the professional codes of conduct such as to render the Student unfit to practise, or
 - (f) resulted in the acquisition of a criminal conviction for an offence not involving members of UCL or its premises, which may affect the safety of a member of UCL or the premises of UCL or which could bring UCL into disrepute;
- (12) failure to declare a criminal conviction to UCL, subject to the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act;
 - (13) behaviour which brings UCL into disrepute;
 - (14) failure to disclose name and other relevant details to an officer or employee of UCL in circumstances where it is reasonable to require that such information be given;
 - (15) failure to comply with a previously imposed penalty under this Code.
24. For the purpose of this Procedure, misconduct as defined at paragraph 23 above will be classified as either minor or major depending on the seriousness of the alleged misconduct. Where it is not clear whether the alleged offence should be classified as a minor or major offence the matter will be determined by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar.
25. Examples of minor offences include but are not limited to:
- Anti-social behaviour
 - Refusal to identify yourself to UCL staff or using false ID
 - Failure to vacate during fire alarms
 - Excessive noise
 - Misuse of the fire equipment
 - Smoking in non-designated areas
26. Examples of major offences include but are not limited to:
- Possession of an offensive weapon
 - Assault
 - Threatening, offensive or indecent behaviour or language that causes distress to others
 - Harassment of any kind
 - Misappropriation of or damage to the property of any student or member of staff of UCL
 - Any action likely to cause injury or impair the safety of others
 - Use, possession, buying or selling of illegal drugs
 - Excessive use of alcohol that is likely to endanger the health and safety of others
 - A serious breach of UCL-approved Regulations

Section 4: Disciplinary Procedure

(a) Disciplinary offences which are also subject to criminal investigation

27. Where a disciplinary offence is also subject to a criminal investigation, UCL may suspend the disciplinary process until the criminal investigation and legal proceedings have been concluded. The fact that the police or other legal authorities might be unable, unwilling or not yet able to proceed will not automatically preclude UCL from instigating its own disciplinary action.
28. The student should be reminded that whilst criminal investigations are underway they will be obliged to keep UCL informed of any progress and/or change in status regarding their case. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will review the case on a monthly basis and will determine if any action is required during this review period.
29. When the criminal proceedings have concluded, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will decide whether UCL should instigate disciplinary action, taking into account the following guidance.
30. Where the student has been convicted of a criminal offence, UCL may seek to take action if there are outstanding matters of concern to UCL that have not been addressed. In this case the conviction in a criminal court shall be taken as conclusive evidence that the alleged offence has occurred, and no further investigation shall be required by UCL.
31. Where a criminal conviction has been made, the focus of UCL disciplinary proceedings may include an assessment of the risk posed to UCL staff or students. It may also include assessment of the material impact caused by reputational damage to UCL, particularly when this impedes or interferes with the normal operations of UCL, or when it affects important relationships between UCL and key individuals, agencies and stakeholders. If disciplinary action is taken, the penalty imposed by the court shall be taken into account when determining any further penalty.
32. In the case of a criminal conviction, where criminal proceedings (including bail conditions, period of time spent on remand, period of time served in custody following conviction) result in a student being absent from their study for a period of less than twelve months it will normally be the case that their studies will be interrupted for this period. In such circumstances it will be the responsibility of the student to notify the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar regarding the date of release from custody. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will then assess whether the nature of the offence committed poses an ongoing risk to the UCL community, and whether there are any outstanding matters of concern that have not been addressed. In the case of either UCL may instigate disciplinary proceedings.
33. In the case of a criminal conviction where criminal proceedings (including bail conditions, period of time spent on remand, period of time served in custody following conviction) result in a student being absent from their study for a period in

excess of twelve months, the student will be deemed withdrawn from UCL. The student may then reapply for admission to UCL, and as part of the standard admissions process will be required to declare criminal convictions to be considered prior to a decision regarding an offer of a place.

34. Where the student has been acquitted UCL will take into account the decision of the court. However, it may be the case that it is reasonable and within UCL's interests to pursue outstanding matters of concern to UCL that have not been addressed.
35. Where, following an initial investigation, a decision has been taken not to proceed to a criminal trial, this does not preclude UCL from conducting further investigations and/or instigating disciplinary proceedings in respect of outstanding matters of concern to UCL that have not been addressed.

(b) Precautionary action in advance of a disciplinary hearing

36. Where the nature of the alleged disciplinary offence suggests that there may be risk to the safety of others, or where the student accused of the offence may be at risk of harm, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar, who may delegate to the Director of Education Services or Head of Casework, will undertake a risk assessment which may result in action as follows:
 - (i) They may require the student to comply with specific conditions, for example agreeing not to contact another student or students. The consequence of any breach of these conditions may result in escalation to the steps outlined below; and/or
 - (ii) The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may choose to exercise the delegated authority granted in accordance with Statute 11(3) and 11(4) to suspend the student from any class or classes and/or to exclude the student from any part or all of UCL's facilities, grounds and premises, until such a time as any criminal proceedings and/or UCL disciplinary proceedings have been concluded. A precautionary suspension and/or exclusion should not be regarded as a penalty and does not indicate that the student is presumed guilty of any offence.
37. For the purposes of this Code and Procedure suspension and exclusion are defined as follows:
 - (i) Suspension involves a total or partial prohibition on attendance at or access to UCL premises and from any participation in UCL activities for a fixed period. The terms of any suspension will be assessed and decided on a case-by-case basis.
 - (ii) Exclusion involves the withdrawal of the right of access to specified land, buildings, facilities or services of UCL for a fixed period or pending the fulfilment of certain conditions.
38. The decision by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar to temporarily suspend and/or exclude the student from all or part of UCL, and the reasons why this action is being taken, may be communicated orally in the first instance. Where this is the case, written confirmation outlining the reasons for this

decision and notice of the right of appeal should be provided within two working days.

39. The student may appeal against the decision to suspend and/or exclude them from all or parts of the UCL by submitting a written request stating the reason for the appeal to the Provost within five working days of the date of issue of the notice of suspension/exclusion.
40. The Provost will consider the appeal and may take action as follows:
 - (i) uphold the original decision and confirm the terms of the suspension and/or exclusion;
 - (ii) uphold the original decision but reduce or restrict the terms of the suspension and/or exclusion;
 - (iii) overturn the original decision and remove the suspension and/or exclusion.
41. In cases where additional information presented as part of the appeal suggests that the level of risk is higher than previously assessed, the Provost may increase or extend the terms of the suspension and/or exclusion.
42. The student will be notified of the decision within ten working days of the receipt of the letter requesting an appeal.
43. Whilst the precautionary suspension and/or exclusion is in place, the student may request a review if there is a relevant change to their circumstances. This request should be made in writing to the Provost, who will respond within ten working days of the receipt of the letter.
44. In accordance with Statute 11(3) all cases of suspension and exclusion for reasons other than academic insufficiency shall be reported by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar to UCL's Academic Board and Council.

Section 5: Minor Offences

(a) Misconduct Involving a UCL House or Hall of Residence

45. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may delegate to Wardens of UCL Houses or Halls of Residence power to deal with disciplinary matters within their house/hall of residence and to impose penalties up to and including any one or more of the following:
 - (i) an oral or written reprimand;
 - (ii) an order for service for a specified period to the house or hall of residence to be scheduled outside of teaching time;
 - (iii) an order for payment or compensation for damage to property;
 - (iv) withdrawal of house/hall of residence privileges e.g. no guests allowed, exclusion from bars;
 - (v) a fine of up to £100;
 - (vi) a recommendation to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar that residence in UCL accommodation in future years be denied;

- (vii) a recommendation to the Executive Director of Student Services Registrar that the student's misconduct be referred to the Discipline Committee and/or that UCL takes proceedings through the courts for eviction.
46. In a case where the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar decides there are grounds for eviction from a UCL House or Hall of Residence they will request that the Director of Student Accommodation initiate court proceedings and will inform the student that the matter has been referred to the Director of Student Accommodation. The case may also be referred to the Discipline Committee.
47. In dealing with an allegation of misconduct the Warden will interview the student. The Warden will inform the student that they may appeal to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar against any penalty imposed by the Warden on the following grounds:
- (i) that the disciplinary process was not conducted in accordance with the above procedures;
 - (ii) that new evidence has become available which was not, and which could not reasonably have been made available at the time the case was considered;
 - (iii) the penalty imposed was disproportionate to the offence.
48. The appeal will be considered by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar by a review of the relevant paperwork and must be submitted within ten working days of the date of notification of the penalty.

(b) Misconduct Involving UCL Library Services

49. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may delegate to the Director of UCL Library Services (or their nominee) power to deal with disciplinary matters within UCL Library Services and to impose penalties up to and including any one or more of the following:
- (i) an oral or written reprimand;
 - (ii) an order for service for a specified period to be scheduled outside of teaching time;
 - (iii) an order for payment or compensation for damage to property
 - (iv) withdrawal of borrowing privileges
 - (v) a fine of up to £100;
 - (vi) a recommendation to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar that the Student's misconduct be referred to the Discipline Committee.
50. In dealing with an allegation of misconduct the Director of UCL's Library Services will interview the student. The Director will inform the student that they may appeal to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar against any penalty imposed by the Director on the following grounds:
- (i) that the disciplinary process was not conducted in accordance with the above procedures;
 - (ii) that new evidence has become available which was not, and which could not reasonably have been made available at the time the case was considered;
 - (iii) the penalty imposed was disproportionate to the offence.

51. The appeal will be considered by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar by a review of the relevant paperwork and must be submitted within ten working days of the date of notification of the penalty.

(c) Disciplinary Action taken by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar

52. Where the alleged offence is referred to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will decide upon the following course of action:
- (i) that the complaint be dismissed;
 - (ii) that the complaint should not be the subject of further action under the Disciplinary Code, but such a ruling will not preclude informal action by way of a caution or otherwise if appropriate which may be undertaken without reference to the person submitting the complaint;
 - (iii) that the alleged offence be classified as a minor offence and is a matter for the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar to deal with;
 - (iv) that the alleged offence be classified as major offence and should be referred to the Discipline Committee.
53. At all times the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will be mindful of any ongoing risk posed to students and staff relating to the alleged offence. In cases where a risk assessment suggests this may be the case, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may consult the Director of Student Support and Wellbeing (or nominee) in relation to the management of risk, and where appropriate may take precautionary action as outlined in Section 4(b). Amongst other possible actions, it may be necessary to agree a behavioural contract or a limited exclusion from a specific facility or service.
54. Where a complaint of misconduct has been made, and unless it is immediately dismissed, dealt with by way of informal action or referred to the Discipline Committee, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will hold a meeting with the student(s) concerned. The student(s) should receive an invitation to the meeting and should be advised of the nature of the alleged offence. Where possible this invitation should be written and should give the student(s) at least two working days' notice of the meeting. There may, however, be circumstances where an urgent meeting is required and in this case a verbal invitation with less than two days' notice will be given. Failure to attend without good cause may in itself be considered a disciplinary offence.
55. The student will be given an opportunity at the meeting to explain their behaviour and/or offer information in mitigation or explanation.
56. The Executive Director of Student Services Registrar will advise the student in writing of the outcome, within five working days, and will confirm the imposition of any sanction, which may include any one or more of the following:
- (v) an oral reprimand recorded by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar;
 - (vi) a written reprimand recorded by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar;

- (iii) a written reprimand recorded on the Student's UCL file and copied to the Head of the Student's Department;
 - (iv) a payment of compensation for damages to person or property;
 - (v) a fine of up to £300;
 - (vi) an order of service to UCL for a specified period;
 - (vii) require a student to enter into a 'Good Behaviour' agreement;
 - (viii) exclusion from a part or parts of UCL for a specified period provided that such exclusion does not directly interfere with the student's academic work;
 - (ix) imposing conditions on a student's access to UCL facilities where the student has been convicted of a criminal conviction and where such conditions are deemed necessary for the safety and security of members of UCL provided that such conditions do not directly interfere with the student's academic work.
- In the case where the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar decides there are grounds for eviction from a UCL House or hall of Residence s/he will request that the Director of Student Accommodation initiate court proceedings and will inform the student that the matter has been referred to the Director of Student Accommodation.
57. In the event that, after the meeting, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar decides that the matter is too serious to warrant one of the penalties set out above, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may instead refer the matter to the Discipline Committee.
 58. A student wishing to appeal against the decision of the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar in relation to a penalty for a disciplinary offence shall write to the Secretary of the Discipline Committee giving the grounds for the appeal within ten working days from the date of receipt of the letter from the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar imposing the penalty.
 59. An appeal against a sanction or sanctions imposed by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar may be made on one or more of the following grounds:
 - (i) that the disciplinary process was not conducted in accordance with the above procedures;
 - (ii) that new evidence has become available which was not, and which could not reasonably have been made available at the time the case was considered;
 - (iii) the penalty imposed was disproportionate to the offence.
 60. The Discipline Committee will consider appeals under these provisions by a review of the relevant paperwork.
 61. In determining an appeal against a decision of the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar the Discipline Committee may confirm, amend or dismiss the penalty imposed by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar.

Section 6: Major Offences

62. Where the alleged offence is referred to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar and they believe that it should be classified as a major offence in line with the guidance provided above, the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will refer the case to the Secretary of UCL's Discipline Committee.
63. The membership of the Discipline Committee shall comprise the following:
 - (i) a Chair, who will be a senior member of staff (or their nominee) drawn from a list approved by Academic Committee, usually a Faculty Tutor from a Faculty other than that in which the student is registered;
 - (ii) a senior member of staff usually a Director or Head from a Professional Services Division, Department or Faculty other than in which the student is registered;
 - (iii) a Sabbatical Officer of UCL Student's Union
64. The secretary of the Committee will be a member of staff of UCL Student and Registry Services.
65. A single recording of the proceedings will be made by the Secretary to the Committee except when the Committee withdraws for private discussion.
66. In cases which involve alleged reputational damage to UCL, the Committee will also include an additional member who is independent from UCL, whose role will be to ensure the Committee adheres to the principles of impartiality in consideration of the alleged offence.
67. The student will be advised in writing of the nature of the alleged offence and the date of the hearing. This written notification will usually be provided not less than ten working days in advance of the hearing. Where the Chair deems there are special circumstances which require a shorter period of notice to be given, the reasons for this will be explained to the student.
68. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar and/or the Student may wish to invite witnesses to appear before the Committee and/or to provide written statements. If this is the case the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar and/or the student should notify the Secretary of the Committee at least seven working days before the hearing of the details of any witnesses. Such notification will include any written statements.
69. Statements and other documentation will be circulated to the Committee and the student not less than five working days in advance of the hearing, although later circulation may be allowed at the discretion of the Chair.
70. The procedure of the meeting will be as follows:
 - (i) Committee members meet without the student present to consider matters of process.
 - (ii) The student and 'friend' (where accompanied) will join the meeting.

- (iii) The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar will join the meeting and outline the offence.
- (iv) The student will be asked to make a statement in response to the alleged offence.
- (v) The Committee may question the student and/or the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar.
- (vi) Witnesses may be called by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar.
- (vii) Witnesses may be called by the student
- (viii) In each case the witnesses will be asked to make a statement or confirm the contents of a written statement, and may be questioned by the Committee, the student and/or the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar.
- (ix) Witness will be asked to withdraw.
- (x) The Committee will hear further statements from the student regarding evidence submitted, witness statements etc.
- (xi) The Committee will hear further statements and concluding remarks from the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar and the student respectively.
- (xii) The student (and friend), along with the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar, will be asked to withdraw to allow the Committee to consider its decision.

71. At the conclusion of the hearing the Committee will determine the matter before it. The Committee may either dismiss the complaint on the ground that no disciplinary offence has been made out or may find on the evidence that a disciplinary offence has been committed. If the Discipline Committee determines that a disciplinary offence has been committed, it will have the power to impose one or more of the following penalties:

- (i) a reprimand to be administered by the Discipline Committee and conveyed to and recorded by the Head of the Student's Department;
- (ii) a fine not exceeding £600;
- (iii) an order of service to UCL to be determined by the Discipline Committee;
- (iv) exclusion, for such period or periods and subject to such conditions as the Committee shall think fit, from UCL or its precincts or other buildings or premises owned or occupied by UCL;
- (v) payment of compensation for any damage to person or property which the Committee may find to have been occasioned by or in the course of the disciplinary offence;
- (vi) requirement that a Student agree to specific conditions, determined by the Committee, to be necessary for their continued progress on their programme of study at UCL;
- (vii) permanent expulsion from UCL. Expulsion involves depriving a student permanently of her or his membership of UCL.

72. If the Discipline Committee finds that a disciplinary offence has been committed, the penalty to be imposed will be determined by a majority vote. If there is an equality of votes, the Chair shall have a casting vote.

73. The decision of the Committee shall normally be communicated orally by the Chair to the student and the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar immediately at the conclusion of the hearing. The decision of the Committee will be communicated in writing to the student within five working days after the date of the hearing.
74. The implementation and consequences of a Discipline Committee hearing for the student will be monitored by the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar in consultation with whomever the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar considers appropriate.

Section 7: Discipline Review Body

75. UCL Statute 13(3) provides as follows:

'There shall be a Discipline Review Body to which an appeal shall lie in cases where the punishment imposed by the College is expulsion from the College and its precincts and other buildings and premises owned or occupied by the College, or temporary exclusion for more than four weeks.'

76. Appeals against other penalties imposed by the Discipline Committee may also be made to the Discipline Review Body.
77. An appeal to the Discipline Review Body must be received by the Casework Team via the 'UCL Student Disciplinary - Appeal Form' within twenty-eight days of the date of notification of the written communication of the decision of the Discipline Committee to the student concerned.
78. An appeal against a sanction or sanctions imposed by the Discipline Committee may be made on one or more of the following grounds:
 - (i) that the disciplinary process was not conducted in accordance with the above procedures;
 - (ii) that new evidence has become available which was not, and which could not reasonably have been made available at the time the case was considered;
 - (iii) that the penalty imposed was disproportionate to the offence.
79. The membership of the Discipline Review Body will comprise:
 - (i) a Chair, who shall be the Vice-Provost (Education and Student Experience) or nominee;
 - (ii) a senior member of staff from a list approved by the Academic Committee with no previous involvement in the case being considered, nor shall be from a Faculty in which the student is registered;
 - (iii) a Sabbatical Officer of UCL Students' Union, with no previous involvement in the case being considered to be appointed by the Vice- Provost as and when the Discipline Review Body needs to be convened.

80. The Secretary of the Discipline Review Body will be an appropriate officer nominated by the Director of Education Services or Director of Student Operations as appropriate. Such officer shall not have acted as Secretary to the Discipline Committee in the case concerned.
81. Consideration of an appeal by the Discipline Review Body will be by way of a review of the material/evidence considered by the Discipline Committee, along with its findings and subsequent decision and/or penalties and will include the following, to be supplied by the Secretary of the Discipline Committee:
 - (i) a note of evidence taken before the Discipline Committee;
 - (ii) any documents submitted to the Discipline Committee as documentary evidence;
 - (iii) a note of the submissions made by or on behalf of each party;
 - (iv) a note of the findings and decision of the Discipline Committee.
82. The Discipline Review Body will normally consider appeals by review of the relevant paperwork, although it may, exceptionally, decide to arrange a hearing of the appeal.

Procedure for a meeting of the Discipline Review Body

83. In the event of a hearing all parties will be notified of the date not less than seven working days before the date of the hearing.
84. The Discipline Review Body will call the student and the chair of the Discipline Committee as witnesses. Other witnesses may also be called by the Discipline Review Body.
85. Copies of all documentation will be circulated to all parties not less than five working days in advance of the hearing, although later circulation may be allowed at the discretion of the Chair.
86. At the start of the hearing the Chair of the Discipline Review Body will invite the student to summarise the grounds for their appeal against the decision of the Discipline Committee.
87. The Chair of the Discipline Review Body will then invite the Chair of the Discipline Committee to state the case for upholding the findings and decisions of the Discipline Committee.
88. In each case any witnesses will be asked to make a statement or confirm the contents of a written statement.
89. After all parties have made their statements the Chair and other members of the Discipline Review Body may ask the student and/or the Chair of the Discipline Committee and any witnesses any such questions as the Chair deems appropriate.
90. Having completed their questioning the Chair of the Discipline Review Body will ask the parties to withdraw.
91. The Discipline Review Body shall reach decisions by a simple majority.

92. The Discipline Review Body, having completed its discussion of the appeal and having reached a decision on the matter, the Chair shall recall the student and the Chair of the Discipline Committee to the meeting.
93. The Chair shall then announce the decision of the Discipline Review Body to the student and Chair of the Discipline Committee.
94. The Chair shall then declare the meeting of the Discipline Review Body closed.

Decision of the Discipline Review Body

95. The Discipline Review Body may allow the appeal wholly or in part or substitute its own findings and impose one or more of the penalties mentioned in paragraph 71 as the Discipline Review Body thinks appropriate. Otherwise the decision of the Discipline Committee will stand.
96. The Secretary to the Discipline Review Body will notify the student in writing of the outcome of the appeal within ten working days of the decision of the Discipline Review Body. The Secretary to the Discipline Review Body will also issue a Completion of Procedures letter to the student.

3 Academic Insufficiency Procedure

3.1 Learning Agreements

1. If a student is experiencing significant, persistent or long-term difficulties, and UCL's normal support mechanisms (such as Extenuating Circumstances, Reasonable Adjustments or Interruptions) are not able to provide the right level of support, the Department should normally refer the student for a Support Plan under the UCL Support to Study Procedure (see Chapter 2, Section 7).
2. Alternatively, if a Department identifies an isolated case of poor attendance or engagement, and the student does not appear to be having any underlying difficulties, the Department may put in place a Learning Agreement.
3. Learning Agreements can be agreed by Departmental Tutors, Departmental Graduate Tutors, Faculty Tutors¹, Faculty Graduate Tutors and the Vice-Provost (Education & Student Experience).
4. Special arrangements for a student and/or measures subject to approval can be made conditional on concluding and abiding by the conditions of a Learning Agreement.
5. The Learning Agreement will set out what UCL will do to support the student as well as the conditions which the student agrees to meet. These conditions will usually cover some or all of, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Completion and timely submission of coursework and other forms of work, both summative and/or formative, assessed and/or unassessed.
 - Responding appropriately and in a timely manner to UCL communications and attending meetings with UCL staff as requested.
 - Completion of additional work to ensure sufficient coverage of the syllabus.
 - Providing requested information within a specified time period.
 - Supplying proof of continuing medical or specialist treatment.
6. Breach of a Learning Agreement will result in the revocation of any special arrangements made and/or measures approved and in the application of any penalties specified in the Learning Agreement.
7. Learning Agreements should specify the duration of the agreement and when compliance with the agreement will be reviewed. Compliance should be reviewed at least once a year.

3.2 Barring Students from Assessment

Barring students from assessment on the basis of attendance has been suspended for 2023-24.

3.3 Suspension of Studies on Grounds of Academic Insufficiency

1. Faculty Tutors/ Faculty Graduate Tutors can suspend the studies of students in their Faculty on grounds of academic insufficiency as per paragraphs 14.1 and 14.2 of UCL's Regulations for Management.
2. Academic insufficiency occurs when a student's overall attendance and/or engagement is deemed to be unsatisfactory, so that it is not viable for the student to continue with their studies for the remainder of the session.

¹ Throughout this procedure, this includes equivalent posts such as Directors of Education which formally incorporate the role of Faculty Tutor and/ or Faculty Graduate Tutor.

3. All such cases must be referred to the Faculty Tutor/Faculty Graduate Tutor. If the Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor confirms academic insufficiency, the expected outcome is that the student's enrolment is suspended for up to one year, specifying at the beginning of which term the student will be allowed to return to repeat.
4. Students must have had due warning prior to a suspension of studies.
5. Prior to a suspension on grounds of academic insufficiency, the Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor must have invited the student to a Mandatory Faculty Interview (see Section 4) to allow for an explanation of the case.
6. To suspend a student on grounds of academic insufficiency, the Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor must send a letter of notification to the student that includes details of the appeals mechanism (see below), copying the Home Department, relevant Teaching Departments and UCL Student Records.
7. Suspensions of studies forfeit an attempt at assessment for all affected modules. A suspended student must repeat either the year or the term(s) in which the insufficiency occurred in the next academic year, repeating in attendance all modules for which the student has second attempts remaining. (Additional interruptions can be applied for, but are subject to scrutiny and approval.) If a suspension would permanently prevent progression or award of degree due to one or several forfeited second attempts at assessment, suspensions become terminations of study, unless UCL Education Services on behalf of the Vice-Provost (Education & Student Experience) suspends regulations to allow further attempts.
8. International students with a Student Visa may find that their visa status is impacted if their studies at UCL are suspended. The law means that UCL must inform UK Visas and Immigration (UKVI) of any changes to a student's status. Students who are on a Student Visa must read the [Student Visa responsibilities guidance](#). If students need any further advice, they should get in touch with UCL's [Student Immigration Advice and Compliance Team](#) who can assist.
9. As a condition of returning to repeat after the suspension, the student must sign a Learning Agreement. Refusal to sign the Learning Agreement or breach of the Learning Agreement following an earlier suspension will usually result in Termination of Studies. If the student is having persistent, long-term difficulties, it may also be beneficial for the Department to refer the student for a Support Plan upon their return to UCL, under the Support to Study Procedure (see [Chapter 2, Section 7](#)).
10. In cases involving poor performance in Postgraduate Research Students, separate guidance applies (see [Research Degrees: Guidance on Addressing Poor Performance in Research Students](#)).

3.4 Termination of Study on Grounds of Academic Insufficiency

1. Faculty Tutors Faculty Graduate Tutors can also terminate the studies of students on grounds of academic insufficiency as per [paragraphs 14.1 and 14.2 of UCL's Regulations for Management](#).
2. In such cases the academic insufficiency must be deemed to be irretrievable.
3. For any student whose studies are to be terminated in this way, it is essential that the student has previously been referred to the Faculty Tutor/Faculty Graduate Tutor for a Mandatory Faculty Interview ([Section 4](#)) and received a written warning that their studies are at risk of being terminated should the insufficiency continue.
4. Should the insufficiency continue or should any conditions that were made for the continuation of the student's studies at the interview and/or in the written termination warning not have been met, the Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor must interview the student again.
5. If the termination of studies is confirmed, the Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor must issue a formal written notification of the termination of studies and the reasons for it, including a reference to the appeals procedure below.

6. International students with a Student Visa may find that their visa status is impacted if their studies at UCL are terminated. The law means that UCL must inform UK Visas and Immigration (UKVI) of any changes to a student's status. Students who are on a Student Visa must read the [Student Visa responsibilities guidance](#). If students need any further advice, they should get in touch with UCL's [Student Immigration Advice and Compliance Team](#) who can assist.

3.5 Appealing a Suspension or Termination of Studies

1. If a student is dissatisfied with a decision to suspend or terminate their studies, appeals may be considered on one of the following grounds:
 - a) There was a significant procedural irregularity in the conduct of the procedure, that had a material impact on the fairness of the outcome.
 - b) The Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor did not act in a way that was reasonable and the decision reached was not a possible conclusion that a similar process of consideration might have reached.
2. Appeals must be submitted to the Casework Team via the 'UCL Student Disciplinary - Appeal Form' within ten working days of the official notification of the decision.
3. Appeals will be considered by a senior member of staff nominated by the Vice-Provost (Education & Student Experience)/ Director of Education Services or Director of Student Operations as appropriate. The Appeal Assessor must not have been involved in the case previously.
4. Where an appeal is upheld in part or in full the Appeal Assessor will either:
 - a) Request that the Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor reviews their decision, with recommendations as required.
 - b) Appoint a Faculty Tutor/ Faculty Graduate Tutor, who may be from another Faculty and who was not involved in the original decision, to look at the case and make a new decision.
5. Where an appeal is upheld, an outcome letter will be issued explaining what actions will be taken. A Completion of Procedures letter can be issued upon request.
6. Where an appeal is not upheld and is rejected the Appeal Assessor must provide a clear reason for any decisions reached and confirm that the original decision being appealed against will stand.
7. The outcome of an appeal that is not upheld will be issued in the form of a Completion of Procedures letter.
8. If the student remains dissatisfied, once a Completion of Procedures letter has been issued confirming that the internal procedures of UCL have been concluded, students have the right to submit a complaint for review to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA). OIA complaints must be submitted no more than 12 months from the date of the Completion of Procedures letter, using the OIA's Scheme Application form. The procedures and form are available from the [OIA website](#).

4 Mandatory Faculty Interviews

1. Faculty Tutors and Faculty Graduate Tutors can summon students in their Faculty to mandatory Faculty interviews, requiring the student either to attend the interview at a set date and time or to make and keep an appointment by a set deadline.
2. If a student fails to do so, they will be written to by the Faculty Tutor or Faculty Graduate Tutor with a suspension warning, informing the student that failure to make and/or attend an alternative appointment by a set deadline will result in a suspension of studies.
3. If a student fails to make or attend the alternative appointment, the Faculty must send the student a formal letter confirming the suspension of studies and issuing a termination warning. The student must be informed that failure to make and/or attend a final appointment by a set deadline will result in a permanent termination of studies.
4. If a student fails to make or attend the final appointment, the Faculty must send the student a formal letter confirming the termination of studies and informing the student of the right to appeal.
5. Students have a right of appeal against a suspension or termination of studies at Mandatory Faculty Interviews through the procedures set out in Section 3.5: Appealing a Suspension or Termination of Studies.

5 Suspension of Regulations Procedure

1. In exceptional circumstances it *may* be necessary to suspend the regulations temporarily for one or more students. Requests for suspensions *must* be made on a case-by-case basis via Student & Registry Services.
 - a) Suspensions of the Admissions regulations must be formally approved by the Director of Access and Admissions on behalf of the Vice Provost (Education & Student Experience). Requests should be submitted to [Admissions in Student & Registry Services](#)
 - b) Suspensions of the Taught Programme regulations must be formally approved by the Director of Education Services or Director of Student Operations as appropriate on behalf of the Vice Provost (Education & Student Experience). Requests should be submitted to [Education Services](#).
 - c) Suspensions of the Postgraduate Research Degree regulations must be formally approved by the Pro-Vice Provost (Doctoral School). Requests should be submitted to [Research Degrees](#).
2. Suspensions of regulations are reported to the next meeting of Education Committee or Research Degrees Committee as appropriate, and an annual analysis conducted to identify common issues that should be incorporated into the main regulations.

6 Material Irregularity Procedure

1. A Material Irregularity is an administrative or procedural error which has a significant, negative impact on a student's performance at summative assessment or a situation in which the integrity of summative assessment has been compromised. A Material Irregularity may affect one student or a group of students. It does not include disagreement with an academic judgement about the quality of student work submitted for assessment.
2. Where a student has a concern about a Material Irregularity, they *must* follow the procedure set out in Section 7: Academic Appeals Procedure.
3. Where a UCL member of staff identifies a potential irregularity in the assessment process, it *must* be investigated to establish whether the circumstances are material, which students have been affected and how UCL will address the irregularity.
4. Where Industrial Action affects assessment, progression, and award, guidance for staff can be found within Annex 6.6.2: Managing the Impact of the Industrial Action on Assessment.
5. Where the investigation concludes that a material irregularity has occurred, the Faculty Board of Examiners is responsible for determining an appropriate course of action in consultation with UCL Education Services. This can be done by Chair's Action if necessary.
6. The options open to the Faculty Board of Examiners include:
 - a) Where possible, rectifying or mitigating the irregularity before the assessment takes place, OR
 - b) Offering a coursework extension, OR
 - c) Suspending the late submission penalties, OR
 - d) Adjusting an existing exam paper or assessment (the External Examiner must be informed), OR
 - e) Offering an alternative method of assessment (approved by the External Examiner), OR
 - f) Offering the student another opportunity to take the assessment as if for the first time and without penalty (Deferral with or without Tuition), OR
 - g) Exclusion of the affected component/ module from Completion, Progression, Award or Classification decisions, OR
 - h) Making a recommendation to the Director of Education Services or Director of Student Operations as appropriate on behalf of the Vice-Provost (Education & Student Experience) for a Suspension of Regulations, OR
 - i) Where the Board of Examiners has already made a decision on Progression or Award, recommending to the Director of Education Services or Director of Student Operations as appropriate on behalf of the Vice-Provost (Education & Student Experience) that the decision is overturned and a new decision is put in its place, in consultation with the relevant External Examiner, OR
 - j) A combination of the above mitigations.
7. In no circumstances should a student be awarded additional marks to compensate for the irregularity.
8. All cases *must* be clearly recorded in the Faculty Board of Examiners minutes and any changes to student results recorded on Portico.

7 Academic Appeals Procedure

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Academic Appeals Procedure is a formal process in which students can request a review of a decision made by the Board of Examiners or an equivalent academic body (for Postgraduate Research Students) responsible for making decisions about student assessment, progression or award. A review of a decision may include the results of examinations including confirmation of PhD status from MPhil to PhD, marks, viva outcome, award or degree classification.
- 1.2 If a student wishes to express their dissatisfaction about an action of UCL, lack of action, or about the standard of service provided by UCL. The Student Complaints Procedure should be used.
- 1.3 This procedure applies to all registered students at UCL taking a programme of study leading to a formal award. Recent graduates may also submit an appeal within **10 working days** of the date of the official notification of the decision.
- 1.4 Students on programmes delivered with an external partnership institution should use the procedures referred to in their programme-specific terms and conditions, where these apply to the programme, or refer to the additional terms of the Memorandum of Agreement of the partnership.
- 1.5 The purpose of the Procedure is not to dispute academic judgement, that is the decision made by academic staff on the quality of the work or the criteria applied to the work or disagree with a professional judgement, that is a decision reached in due process of an extenuating circumstances panel. It is to ensure that consideration can be given to whether UCL applied its Regulations and Procedures correctly and whether any decision was reasonable and proportionate.
- 1.6 It is the responsibility of a student to draw to the attention of UCL any factors which they consider may have adversely affected the decision of a Board of Examiners or an equivalent academic body (Postgraduate Research).
- 1.7 Students are expected to set out their concerns clearly, succinctly and in accordance with the grounds that are relevant to their appeal.
- 1.8 The appeals process is evidence-based and circumstances mentioned in the statement including the impact on the students studies should be supported by independent evidence. It is the student's responsibility to provide evidence to support their appeal. The Casework Team cannot obtain evidence on a student's behalf. A translation (undertaken by an accredited translator) must be provided for any material not in English – untranslated documents cannot be considered. Faculty responses requested at any stage of this procedure must also be corroborated by evidence when a decision is made not to uphold an appeal.
- 1.9 UCL may further investigate any evidence provided by the student to confirm its authenticity, including (but not limited to) conducting internet searches or third-party verification checks. Where there is evidence that a student or their representative may have provided false evidence or misinformation to deceive or influence the outcome of an appeal the student will normally be informed by an Appeal Assessor in writing within 10 working days of such concerns and of any action that may be taken, such as referring the matter for consideration under another Procedure. UCL reserves the right to pause the Academic Appeals Procedure pending conclusion of such other Procedure.

- 1.10 An appeal raised by a third party on behalf of a student, (this includes parents, guardians, relatives, the spouse or partner of a student or other third parties) will only be considered in exceptional circumstances. Written authorisation and valid reasons for the third party acting as the student's representative must be provided by the student. UCL's consent to such arrangements may be withdrawn at any time if the behaviour of a third party is not appropriate. A third party supporting, advising, or representing the student should not delay the process. This provision does not extend to legal representation. For the position with regards to legal representation, see Section 6.3.
- 1.11 Students and their representatives are expected to conduct themselves in a respectful and courteous manner. UCL may apply restrictions to the Procedure, a student's access to staff or terminate consideration of an appeal where their behaviour is considered unacceptable as set out in Appendix 3. A student can appeal a decision to terminate the consideration of an appeal in writing to the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar by email to casework@ucl.ac.uk within **10 working days** of the date of the decision.
- 1.12 An appeal that is raised by several students on the same issue can be considered as one collective appeal. In such circumstances, the names of all students must be included on the Appeal Form. The group are advised to appoint a representative (no more than two students) to facilitate communication for the purposes of the formal Procedure.
- 1.13 Where an appeal has been submitted, the original decision of the relevant Board of Examiners or an equivalent academic body (Postgraduate Research) shall stand until the outcome of this Procedure reached.
- 1.14 A student can submit an appeal without risk of disadvantage as a result of their submission of an academic appeal. Equally, this Procedure shall not form or be seen to form a disciplinary process against the member(s) of staff concerned in the initial decision being appealed.
- 1.15 A student considering submitting an appeal may seek advice from Students' Union. The Casework Team can provide procedural advice but cannot advise on the substance of an appeal.
- 1.16 The Casework team are responsible for the day-to-day operation of this Procedure and can be contacted at casework@ucl.ac.uk.

2. Grounds for Academic Appeal

- 2.1 An appeal can be submitted on one or more of the following grounds:
- there were circumstances that significantly affected the student's academic performance, where for compelling reasons, the relevant Extenuating Circumstances Panel was not made aware of the circumstances through the Short-term Illness and other Extenuating Circumstances Procedure;
 - there occurred a material irregularity (an administrative or procedural error) that had a significant impact on a student's performance and which had not been determined prior to a Board of Examiners;
 - there is substantive evidence that one or more of the examiners can be shown to have been biased or prejudiced against the student in one or more specific assessments.

- 2.2 Medical and other circumstances that should have been reported to UCL at the time of their occurrence will not normally be considered. If a student submits an appeal on the ground that the relevant Extenuating Circumstances Panel were not made aware of circumstances through the Short-term Illness and other Extenuating Circumstances Procedure, there must be compelling reasons with independent supporting evidence, explaining why the student was unable to previously disclose the information through the appropriate Procedure. There is a strong expectation that the circumstances would have been considered through the Short-term Illness and Extenuating Circumstances Procedure prior to the meeting of a Board of Examiners or equivalent academic body for Postgraduate Research Students.

Matters that cannot be addressed under this Procedure

- 2.3 The following matters cannot be considered under this Procedure:

- i. appeals regarding admission decisions;
- ii. appeals relating to a student's Fitness to Practise where an appeal relates to external assessment by a Professional Statutory or Regulatory Body (PSRB). Appeals in these cases will be considered in its own Fitness to Practice Procedure;
- iii. decisions relating to Support to Study, Appeals in these cases will be considered via UCL's Support to Study Procedure;
- iv. appeals from third parties without written consent from the registered student;
- v. complaints relating to the quality of teaching, supervision, service provision or other circumstances that relate to the delivery of a programme of study. Such matters should be considered via UCL's Student Complaint Procedure;
- vi. issues relating to allegations of harassment and bullying. Allegations of this nature will be dealt with under the [UCL Prevention of Bullying, Harassment and Sexual Misconduct Policy](#) and should be submitted to HR via [Report and Support](#);
- vii. appeals that are more appropriately addressed by third parties, for example the Home Office or Student Finance England. Such organisations generally have their own Procedures and it is their organisational procedure that must be followed where UCL has no role in the decision-making process;
- viii. disagreement with academic judgement, the examiners' assessment of the performance of a student in an assessment, or against academic decisions properly arrived at in accordance with approved Procedures. In this context, disparities in a student's performance between assessments (or between examinations and a student's expectations) are not necessarily evidence of procedural irregularity or bias in the assessment process.

- 2.4 There may be circumstances where, for good reason, UCL needs to extend this timeframe. If this occurs the student will be informed of the extended timeframe. Circumstances that may delay completion of the Procedure at any stage (Appeal and Appeal Review) include but are not limited to:

- i. incomplete forms;
- ii. lack of clarity regarding the grounds relied upon;
- iii. UCL Closure days;

- iv. the absence of key staff members;
 - v. an appeal is put on hold to allow for matters to be considered as part of another Procedure, for instance a student complaint or student disciplinary process.
- 2.5 A student can normally expect an appeal at Stage 1 to be completed within **30 working days** of the date of receipt by the Casework Team. A further Stage 2 Appeal Review, if pursued, will normally be completed within a further **30 working days**. Overall, both processes should normally be concluded within **60 working days** of the initial receipt by the Casework Team of the original Stage 1 Appeal.
 - 2.6 Any period during which an appeal is put on hold to allow for consideration of another procedure shall not be included in the timeframe referred to in paragraph 2.5 above.
 - 2.7 Before deciding whether to submit a Stage 1 Appeal, students are encouraged to seek clarification of an assessment or a Board of Examiners decision following the publication of results from their Department, or Faculty.

The Procedure

3. Stage 1 Appeal

Student Submission and Eligibility

- 3.1 An appeal must be submitted within **10 working days** of the date of the official notification of a Board of Examiners decision or outcome of an equivalent academic body for Postgraduate Research students. Appeals received beyond this timeframe will be deemed out of time and will not be taken forward for consideration unless the student has demonstrated good reason supported by independent evidence, for any period of delay.
- 3.2 An appeal submitted by a student more than 12 months after the date of the official notification of a decision will not be considered under any circumstances. A Completion of Procedures (COP) Letter can be issued on request, noting the reason the appeal was not taken forward for consideration. The student may be able to submit a complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) for Higher Education.
- 3.3 An appeal must be submitted via the Stage 1 Appeal Form completing all relevant sections. Students should indicate the date of the official notification of the decision and the ground(s) which they are appealing. A clear and succinct explanation as to why they are dissatisfied with the decision should be provided. The appeal should encompass all matters within the scope of this procedure that the student would like to be considered. New aspects of an appeal cannot be introduced later in the procedure.
- 3.4 Students must submit any relevant documentation and independent evidence as appropriate when submitting an appeal. Where the documentation includes data relating to third parties, the Casework Team reserves the right to redact or remove such material before proceeding, so far as is practicable without undermining the substance of the appeal.
- 3.5 The Casework Team cannot initiate this Procedure until a completed Stage 1 Appeal Form is received. Incomplete, missing information or unclear statements may be returned for completion or clarification.

- 3.6 Notice of an intention to appeal within the Procedural timeframe shall not be deemed to constitute submission of an appeal and will not be accepted as such. The timescales outlined in this Procedure shall only begin once a fully completed Stage 1 Appeal Form has been received.
- 3.7 The Casework Team will acknowledge receipt and will conduct an initial assessment of the appeal against the ground(s) in Section 2 and the criteria set out in the student submission and eligibility paragraphs 3.1-3.6 above.
- 3.8 If after the initial assessment, it is determined that the grounds and criteria for an appeal have not been met the Casework Team will normally reject the appeal within **10 working days** of receipt of the appeal, giving reasons for the decision. A student who is dissatisfied with this decision may request a review under Stage 2 Appeal Review based on the relevant grounds in Section 4.1 of the Procedure.
- 3.9 The list below (which is not exhaustive) are not considered valid grounds for an appeal and are reasons why an appeal is not upheld and will be rejected:
- i. disagreement with the academic judgement of the examiners as defined in paragraphs 1.5 and 2.3 viii. Academic judgement includes the assessment mark or the pass/fail decision awarded by the markers of an assessment;
 - ii. marginal failure to attain progression or a higher class of award (where such classifications are non-discretionary and made according to published arithmetic formulae);
 - iii. the retrospective reporting of Extenuating Circumstances which could reasonably have been made known at the time of those circumstances;
 - iv. lack of awareness of the relevant UCL Regulations and/or Procedures and their associated timescales;
 - v. the appeal was received outside the deadline without good reason and evidence for the delay. In cases where a delay is unavoidable, the appeal must be submitted as soon as possible after the deadline and must include an explanation and independent supporting evidence covering the entire period affected;
 - vi. appealing unofficial results, an academic appeal can only be submitted once the decision is confirmed by a Board of Examiners or an equivalent academic body (Postgraduate Research).
- 3.10 Where matters raised do not wholly fall within the scope of this Procedure the student will be informed that the appeal will not be progressed. By agreement with the student, the matter may be referred for consideration under the relevant Procedure.
- 3.11 Where matters are multi-issues relating to decisions made by the Board of Examiners or an equivalent academic body (Postgraduate Research) and dissatisfaction with a service or other aspects of provision. UCL reserves the right to issue an outcome at Stage 1 addressing the grounds for an academic appeal and inform the student that matters that constitute a complaint cannot be considered within this procedure.
- 3.12 If, after the initial assessment it is determined that the grounds and the criteria may have been met the appeal will usually be considered at Stage 1 within **30 working days**. There may be circumstances where, for good reason, UCL needs to extend this timeframe; if this occurs the Casework Team will inform the student and provide an indication of the extended timeframe.

Consideration of Appeal

- 3.13 The Casework Team will forward the Stage 1 Appeal Form and any supporting documentation to the relevant Faculty for consideration. This will normally be submitted to an Appeal Assessor who can be a Faculty Tutor (or nominee), a member of the Casework Team or a Faculty Tutor within another Faculty. The appeal will be considered by an Appeal Assessor who has not previously been involved in matters relating to the appeal nor has been involved in the teaching or assessment of the student to avoid actual or potential conflicts of interest.
- 3.14 The paper-based appeal will be considered on the basis of the student's written statement and supporting evidence. Relevant information from staff, other students or external agencies may be sought by an Appeals Assessor by way of response when considering the appeal. A student submitting an appeal can respond to the information sought by way of a written statement at the Review Stage. The Appeal Assessor will normally inform the Casework Team of the decision within **10 working days** of the appeal being sent to the Appeal Assessor for consideration.
- 3.15 Exceptionally, the Appeal Assessor may decide that further consideration of the appeal is necessary. That it is not possible to reach a suitably informed decision alone due to the complexities of the appeal, or it is considered reasonable on a case-by-case basis to make reasonable adjustments to the procedure to take account of the individual needs of the student. In such instances, the Appeal Assessor will refer the matter to an Appeals Panel for consideration.
- 3.16 The membership of the Appeals Panel shall comprise no fewer than two and no more than three members of academic staff with the provision of a nominee where necessary, and will be as follows:
- a senior member of staff (Chair) drawn from a list approved by Academic Committee, usually a Faculty Tutor from a Faculty other than that in which the student is registered;
 - a Departmental Graduate Tutor from a Department other than that in which the student is registered and/or
 - an elected member of Research Degrees Committee
- 3.17 The paper-based Appeals Panel does not require the student to attend. The Panel is permitted to conduct its discussions electronically or virtually to ensure the case is considered in a timely manner. Exceptionally, when the Appeals Panel considers it appropriate, a hearing will be required, to which the student will be invited.
- 3.18 In the event of an Appeal Panel hearing, the proceedings will be conducted in accordance with Section 6. The student will be informed no later than **10 working days** in advance of the hearing taking place. It is expected that the student will attend in person. UCL may, at its discretion approve alternative arrangements for the student to attend virtually online where it is not possible for the student to attend in person.

Appeal Outcome

- 3.19 Where an appeal is accepted and upheld in part or in full, dependent upon the grounds that the appeal was submitted, the Appeal Assessor, or exceptionally, an Appeals Panel shall reach one of the following decisions:
- i. request that the Board of Examiners review its decision, with a recommendation to provide a deferred assessment or reassessment in accordance with the Academic Manual;

- ii. request the Board of Examiners to review or revise its decision where the grounds of appeal are considered to have had a material impact on the performance or progression of a student, with recommendations as required;
 - iii. request the Board of Examiners or relevant Faculty Extenuating Circumstances Panel to implement the outcome or mitigation that the student is seeking in accordance with the relevant Procedure;
 - iv. refer the decision back to the relevant Faculty Extenuating Circumstances Panel or the Vice-Provost Education and Student Experience (or nominee) for reconsideration to determine a revised outcome in accordance with the Short-term Illness and other Extenuating Circumstances Procedure;
 - v. require the equivalent academic body for Postgraduate Research Students to consider an appropriate outcome. In cases where a candidate has been denied upgrade or a thesis has been examined (for Postgraduate Research students) this may include but is not limited to:
 - a. the Upgrade Panel/Examiners being invited to reconsider their decision;
 - b. the appointment of a new Upgrade Panel/new Examiners.
- 3.20 Where an appeal is not upheld and is rejected the Appeal Assessor or Appeals Panel shall provide a clear reason for any decisions reached and confirm that the original decision being appealed against shall stand;
- 3.21 An Appeal Assessor or Appeals Panel cannot change marks, change a degree classification or recommend award.
- 3.22 If an appeal outcome leads to a revised decision of a Board of Examiners that changes a degree or award following due process and the student has received their certificate, the student will be required to return any original certificate within **10 working days** before a revised certificate is reissued.
- 3.23 A revised decision of a Board of Examiners or equivalent academic body (for Postgraduate Research) resulting from this procedure shall be final and may not be subject to further appeal, unless it can be evidenced that the appeal relates to the implementation of the earlier appeal outcome.
- 3.24 Evidence should also be provided when a decision is made not to uphold an appeal to support the reason for any decision reached. In appropriate cases the Appeals Assessor or Appeals Panel may provide a single decision in response to a group appeal.
- 3.25 Where it is not possible to provide the outcome of the Stage 1 Appeal within **30 working days** the Casework Team will notify the student of the progress of the consideration of the appeal.
- 3.26 Students who are dissatisfied with the outcome reached at Stage1 may request a review of the decision under Stage 2. This stage is a paper-based review which the student does not attend. No new issues may be introduced at Stage 2.
- 3.27 Appeals that do not proceed to the Stage 2 Appeal Review process after the elapse of **10 working days** after the notification of the Stage 1 decision will be considered as closed. A Completions of Procedure (COP) letter can be provided upon request, although students should be aware that the University's internal processes are not complete at this stage.

- 3.28 An Appeal Review Form received beyond this timeframe will be deemed out of time and will not be taken forward for consideration unless the student has demonstrated good reason supported by independent evidence for any period of delay. A COP Letter will be issued upon request noting the reason the appeal review not taken forward for consideration. The student may be able to submit a complaint to the OIA.

4. Stage 2 Appeal Review

Grounds for Appeal Review

- 4.1 A student may request a review of the Stage 1 Appeal decision on the following grounds:
- i. that there is a material irregularity (an administrative or procedural error) in the handling of the appeal at Stage 1;
 - ii. there is new and relevant evidence to support the appeal which for good reason was not available and could not reasonably have been provided at the time the appeal was submitted;
 - iii. there is a response by way of written representation to the information sought by the Appeal Assessor at the appeal stage that demonstrates that the decision reached at the earlier stage was not reasonable given the circumstances of the case.

Student Submission and Eligibility

- 4.2 A request for a review can only be submitted following the completion of the Stage 1 Appeal. A request for a review will not be considered if Stage 1 of the procedure has not been completed.
- 4.3 A request for a review must be submitted within **10 working days** of the date of the notification of the Stage 1 decision. An appeal review received beyond this timeframe will be deemed out of time and will not be taken forward for consideration unless the student has demonstrated good reason supported by independent evidence, for any period of delay.
- 4.4 A request for a review must be submitted via the Stage 2 Appeal Review Form completing all relevant sections. Students should indicate the date of the official notification of the decision and the ground(s) which they are appealing. A clear and succinct explanation as to why they are dissatisfied with the decision should be provided.
- 4.5 Students must submit any relevant documentation and independent evidence where relevant at this stage when submitting an appeal review. Where the documentation includes data relating to third parties, before proceeding, the Casework Team reserves the right to redact or remove such material, so far as is practicable without undermining the substance of the appeal.
- 4.6 The Casework Team cannot initiate this Procedure until a completed Stage 2 Appeal Review Form is received. Incomplete, missing information or unclear statements may be returned for completion or clarification. The timescales outlined in this Procedure for the Stage 2 Appeal Review will only begin once a fully completed Stage 2 Appeal Review Form has been received.

- 4.7 Notice of an intention to appeal within the Procedural timeframe shall not be deemed to constitute submission of a request for a review and will not be accepted as such.
- 4.8 The Casework Team will acknowledge receipt and will conduct an initial assessment of the appeal review against the ground(s) in Section 4 and the criteria set out in the student submission and eligibility paragraphs 4.2-4.6 above.
- 4.9 If after the initial assessment, it is determined that the grounds and criteria for an appeal review have not been met, the Casework Team will normally reject the appeal review within **10 working days** of receipt, giving reasons for the decision. A COP Letter will be issued upon request noting the reason the appeal review was not taken forward for consideration. The student may be able to submit a complaint to the OIA.
- 4.10 If after the initial assessment, it is determined that the grounds and the criteria may have been met, the request for a review will usually be considered at Stage 2 within **30 working days**. There may be circumstances where, for good reason, UCL needs to extend this timeframe; if this occurs the Casework Team will inform the student and provide an indication of the extended timeframe.

Consideration of Appeal Review

- 4.11 Where it is determined that the grounds and criteria may have been met, the Casework Team shall accept the request for a review and refer the matter for consideration by an Appeal Review Panel.
- 4.12 The Review will not entail a reconsideration of the appeal. Instead, it will consider the matters raised about the Stage 1 decision and the grounds for the request of review.
- 4.13 The Review Panel will conduct a paper-based review and shall base its decision on the information provided by the Student and the Faculty, if applicable.
- 4.14 Neither the student nor the Faculty will normally be permitted to introduce new further material at the Stage 2 Appeal Review stage unless it was not known to the student or Faculty at Stage 1 and the Chair deems it permissible.
- 4.15 The membership of the Appeal Review Panel with the provision of a nominee where necessary will be as follows:
- the Vice-Provost (Education and Student Experience) (Chair);
 - a senior member of staff from a list approved by the Academic Committee, usually a Faculty Tutor or Faculty Graduate Tutor, as appropriate to the status of the appellant;
 - a senior member of staff usually a Director or Head from a Professional Services Division, Department or Faculty;
 - a Students' Union Sabbatical Officer, UCL.
- 4.16 The quorum of an Appeal Review Panel is three, including the Chair. At the discretion of the Chair the Panel may proceed in the event of no Sabbatical Officer being in attendance.
- 4.17 No member of the Panel shall have had any previous involvement in the case being considered, nor shall be from the Faculty in which the student is registered.
- 4.18 The paper-based Review Panel does not require the student to attend. The Panel is permitted to conduct its discussions electronically or virtually to ensure the case is considered in a timely manner. Exceptionally, when the Review Panel considers it appropriate, a hearing will be required to which the student will be invited.

- 4.19 A member of staff from UCL Student and Registry Services will be appointed to act as Secretary to the Review Panel. The Secretary will not act as a member of the Panel but will provide guidance on the Procedure where appropriate.
- 4.20 In the event of a hearing, the proceedings will be conducted in accordance with Section 6. The student will be informed no later than **10 working days** in advance of the hearing taking place. It is expected that the student will attend in person. UCL may, at its discretion approve alternative arrangements for the student to attend virtually online where it is not possible for the student to attend in person.

Appeal Review Outcome

- 4.21 Where an appeal is accepted and upheld in part or in full, dependent upon the grounds on which the appeal was submitted, the Appeal Review Panel shall reach one of the following decisions:
- i. request that the Board of Examiners review its decision, with a recommendation to provide a deferred assessment or reassessment in accordance with the Academic Manual;
 - ii. request the Board of Examiners to review or revise its decision where the grounds of appeal are considered to have had a material impact on the performance or progression of a student, with recommendations as required;
 - iii. recommend the Board of Examiners or relevant Faculty Extenuating Circumstances Panel to implement the outcome or mitigation that the student is seeking in accordance with the relevant Procedure;
 - iv. refer the decision back to the relevant Faculty Extenuating Circumstances Panel or the Vice-Provost Education and Student Experience (or nominee) for reconsideration to determine a revised outcome in accordance with the Short-term Illness and other Extenuating Circumstances Procedure;
 - v. require the equivalent academic body for Postgraduate Research Students to consider an appropriate outcome. In cases where a candidate has been denied upgrade or a thesis has been examined (for Postgraduate Research students) this may include but is not limited to:
 - a) the Upgrade Panel/Examiners being invited to reconsider their decision; or
 - b) the appointment of a new Upgrade Panel/Examiners.
- 4.22 Where a request for a review is not upheld and is rejected, the Appeal Review Panel shall provide a clear reason for any decisions reached and confirm that the original decision being appealed against shall stand.
- 4.23 The student will normally be informed of the outcome of the Review Panel within **30 working days** of the Appeal Review Form being received by the Casework Team. There may be circumstances where, for good reason, UCL needs to extend this timeframe; if this occurs the Casework Team will inform the student and provide an indication of the extended timeframe.

5. Completion of Procedures (COP)

- 5.1 The decision of an Appeal Review Panel is the final stage of this Procedure for consideration of an academic appeal. At this point, students who remain dissatisfied with the outcome have the right to submit a complaint to the OIA for Higher Education. Students should refer to the [OIA Website](#) to establish whether their complaint is something that the OIA would consider. A complaint must be submitted to the OIA within 12 months of the UCL's final decision.
- 5.2 A Completions of Procedures (COP) letter will be provided where a decision not to uphold (reject) an appeal as an outcome at Stage 2. A COP letter will not automatically be issued where an appeal is deemed out of time, considered to have no grounds, is concluded at Stage 1, or is upheld at any stage of the Procedure. A COP letter can be issued to a student upon request.

6. Proceedings for an Appeals Panel and/or an Appeal Review Panel Hearing

- 6.1 In cases where an in-person hearing is considered necessary, the process relating to the proceedings of a hearing and outcome decisions set out in paragraph 3.19 by the Appeals Panel and/or paragraph 4.21 by the Appeal Review Panel will apply.
- 6.2 The student will be invited to attend the hearing and is entitled to be accompanied by a "Friend". A "Friend" who must be a UCL student or member of staff of UCL or Students' Union UCL by way of support. The accompanying person's capacity at the hearing is to provide moral support and would not normally make representations nor cross-examine on behalf of the student at the panel hearing.
- 6.3 Legal representation is not normally permitted at any stage of the procedure; the Academic Appeals Procedure is internal to UCL and is not considered unduly formal. If the student requests to be legally represented at a panel hearing because of the complexity of the case, UCL will consider this on an exceptional basis. The student must make their request at least 5 working days before the panel hearing and must include details of their proposed representative. UCL reserves the right to arrange its own legal representation in connection with the case. The student remains responsible for ensuring that any statements, evidence, or representations made throughout the Procedure by their legal representative are accurate and are responsible for meeting any costs associated with their own representation.
- 6.4 If a student does not attend a panel hearing, having declared an intention to do so, the Panel has the right to reach a decision in the absence of the student without further notice. In this event, the student shall have no grounds for appeal, or for seeking a further hearing solely based on their non-attendance at the panel hearing.
- 6.5 A representative from the relevant Faculty will be invited to attend the hearing to present the reason for the Board of Examiners of the equivalent academic body (Postgraduate Research) decision, to provide any necessary information which might not have been included in the Faculty response and to respond to any new material introduced, which at the Stage 2 Appeal Review stage is only permissible by the Chair. If the Faculty representative is unable to attend, the panel hearing may proceed in their absence.
- 6.6 The student will be informed no later than **10 working days** in advance of the hearing taking place. A student may object procedurally to any member of the panel hearing on one or more of the criteria specified in paragraphs 3.13, 3.16, 4.15 or 4.17. Any procedural objections must be submitted to the Casework Team within **3 working days** from the notification of the hearing membership being sent. That member may, at the discretion of the Casework Team, be required to withdraw and may be replaced.

- 6.7 All documentation relating to the appeal will normally be received by all parties no later than **5 working days** before the panel hearing. No further documentation will be accepted for consideration by the Panel after this time except at the discretion of the Chair.
- 6.8 A member of staff from UCL Student and Registry Services will be appointed to act as Secretary to the Panel. All documentation will be passed through the Secretary and there will be no direct communication, either written or verbal between the Panel and the student or the Faculty representative.
- 6.9 An additional member of staff from UCL Student and Registry Services may be appointed by the Registrar to support and advise a Panel as necessary in respect of the UCL Academic Regulations for Manual and/or other relevant UCL regulations and procedures, according to the nature of the appeal.
- 6.10 Where necessary, an independent expert in the field of study or related discipline may be appointed, subject to the agreement of all parties, to advise the Panel either verbally or in writing.
- 6.11 At the start of the panel hearing, the Chair shall summarise the procedure and the conduct of the panel hearing. The Chair has the discretion to manage the panel hearing as they consider appropriate to ensure:
- (i) Fairness, allowing each party an equal opportunity to make statements and to be questioned about the appeal, or the appeal review;
 - (ii) seek further clarification of the written evidence or statement from one or both parties, where considered appropriate;
 - (iii) that the student, if present, is given the opportunity to make the final statement before concluding the hearing.
- 6.12 The student and the Faculty representative will each be present when the other is speaking. Only in exceptional circumstances, and with the agreement of all parties, will individuals be heard separately.
- 6.13 Neither party shall be present when the Panel deliberates on its decision. The decision of a Panel will be reached by a majority vote. In the event of a tie, the Chair of the Panel shall have a second or casting vote. The standard of proof for the Panel when reaching a decision is on the balance of probabilities.
- 6.14 The votes of individual Panel members shall always be treated as confidential and there shall be no disclosure either of such votes or of information showing whether the decision was reached by a unanimous or a majority vote.
- 6.15 The Panel members, in the absence of all other parties except the Secretary to the panel hearing, can consider the matter and reach a decision in accordance with this Procedure.
- 6.16 A single written record of the meeting and reasons for any decision reached will normally be made by the Secretary for the purpose of providing a factual record.
- 6.17 The Panel may adjourn for a period not exceeding **5 working days** for the purpose of reaching its decision and/or deciding upon the appropriate action to be taken.

7. Disclosure and Confidentiality

- 7.1 UCL will process all information in accordance with its [Student Privacy Notice](#) the principles the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Appeals will be handled with an appropriate level of confidentiality. Information should only be released for the purposes of consideration or responding to the appeal. No third party should be told more than is necessary about the appeal to obtain the required information from them. The outcome of the Procedure will be communicated to members of staff deemed appropriate by the Casework Team, for instance, to implement upheld appeals, either after, or at the same time as the student has been informed.
- 7.2 The outcomes and recommendations from appeals may be shared across the University for institutional learning and reporting purposes, for instance to Academic Board. However, personal information will be removed and handled in accordance with the Student Privacy Notice.

8 UCL Student Complaints Procedure

Contact: Casework Team, Student and Registry Services (casework@ucl.ac.uk)

CONTENTS

THE PROCEDURE	
1	Introduction
2	Scope and Time Limits
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Who may complain under this Procedure• Matters which may not be considered under this Procedure• Time Limits
3	Advice and Support
4	Disclosure and Confidentiality
5	Stage One: Submission and Consideration of Complaint
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Grounds for Academic Complaint• Submission of the Complaint Form• Consideration of the Complaint
6	The Complaints Panel
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Operation and Membership• Conduct of Panel Meetings• Decision of the Complaints Panel
7	Stage Two: Complaints Review
8	Office of the Independent Adjudicator
APPENDICES	
1	Guide to University procedures
2	Support Provisions
3	Unacceptable complainant behaviour; malicious, vexatious or frivolous complaints

UCL's Student Complaints Procedure ('the Procedure' hereafter) has been prepared in accordance with the QAA's Quality Code on Concerns, Complaints and Appeals (November 2018). It was drafted in consultation with the Students' Union UCL and the current version was approved by UCL Council on 10 June 2022 to apply to student complaints made from 1 September 2022. This version supersedes all previous versions. The Procedure is written and maintained by Student and Registry Services.

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 UCL is committed to providing a high-quality educational experience for all students. The university recognises however that from time to time problems do arise, and welcomes the opportunity to correct mistakes and to respond constructively when students are dissatisfied with a particular service or other aspect of UCL provision. Where a complaint is upheld, we will where possible seek to put things right for a student. If a complaint is not upheld, we will give reasons for the decision.
- 1.2 Many complaints can be resolved at an informal and/or local level. In the first instance, the most effective approach is usually for the student to take the matter directly to the member of staff best able to deal with it. Students are generally expected to have pursued an appropriate early resolution prior to bringing a formal complaint.
- 1.3 Where an early resolution has not resolved the issue, students may enter the formal procedure at Stage One [see Section 5]. If, at the end of Stage One, a student is still not satisfied that a complaint has been adequately addressed, they may be able to request a Stage Two review of the outcome [see Section 7]. UCL's Student Complaints Procedure concludes with Stage Two. Once the UCL Procedure has been exhausted students have the right to submit their complaint for independent external review by the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) [see Section 8].
- 1.4 The Procedure is not a legal process. It serves primarily as the formal mechanism through which consideration can be given to whether or not UCL has applied its regulations and/or procedures correctly and/or delivered its services to students satisfactorily, and whether any decision arrived at was both reasonable and proportionate.
- 1.5 Where there is dispute over the facts of a case, the standard of proof to be applied shall be the balance of probabilities.
- 1.6 Legal representation is not permitted at any meeting held under this Procedure. If a student initiates legal proceedings against UCL, any complaint on the same or a related matter will normally be paused until those proceedings are complete.
- 1.7 Where appropriate, we will make reasonable adjustments at any stage of the proceedings to accommodate the needs of students with protected characteristics. Students with any particular requirements should write to casework@ucl.ac.uk so that we can discuss any adjustments that may be necessary.
- 1.8 Responsibility for the day-to-day operation of this Procedure lies with the Casework Team, who are based in Student and Registry Services. The Casework Team can be contacted at any time at casework@ucl.ac.uk.

2 SCOPE AND TIME LIMITS

Who may complain under this Procedure

- 2.1 This Procedure can be used by all registered students⁵ of UCL. Recent graduates or students on interruption, placement or a year abroad may also submit complaints about UCL provision so long as they are within the timescales set out at Paragraph 2.6.

⁵ For the purposes of this Procedure a 'registered student' is defined as either a full-time, part-time, affiliate or intercollegiate student, including those on a flexible mode of attendance, taking a recognised programme of study leading to a formal award, or undertaking a 'short-course' under UCL's Life Learning Regulatory Framework (Academic Manual, Chapter 10). Complaints about intercollegiate modules are often most appropriately addressed in the first instance through the procedures of the institution responsible for delivering that module. Students on programmes delivered with an external organisation should use the procedures referred to in their

- 2.2 Complaints from students registered at partner institutions relating to provision by UCL, or from registered UCL students relating to the provision of another institution in the context of intercollegiate programmes, should use the procedures referred to in their programme specific terms and conditions, where these apply to the programme or refer to the additional terms of the memorandum of agreement of the partnership.
- 2.3 Complaints from groups of students can be considered under this Procedure. Group complaints should be submitted on a single Complaint Form signed by all complainants, with one individual nominated to act as the point of contact for the process. We will communicate with the nominated student, who will be responsible for keeping the group informed about the progress of the complaint.

Matters which cannot be addressed under this Procedure

- 2.4 The following matters cannot be considered under this Procedure:
- a) complaints about Students' Union UCL. The Students' Union is a separate legal entity from UCL with its own complaints procedure;⁶
 - b) complaints from third parties, ie those who are not included in 2.1–2.3 above. Members of the public may use UCL's Public Complaints Procedure;⁷
 - c) complaints about bullying and/or harassment by members of UCL staff. Allegations of this nature will be dealt with under the [UCL Prevention of Bullying, Harassment and Sexual Misconduct Policy](#) and should be submitted to HR via [Report and Support](#);
 - d) complaints about other UCL students. Where appropriate, evidence of misconduct by other students will be considered under UCL's Disciplinary Code and Procedure in respect of Students⁸ (in the case of non-academic misconduct) or the Student Academic Misconduct Procedure⁹ (in the case of academic misconduct);
 - e) complaints which, by their nature, are more appropriately addressed by third parties, for example a government organisation such as the Home Office or Student Finance England. Such organisations generally have their own complaints procedures and it is their organisational procedure which must be followed where UCL has no role in the decision-making process;
 - f) anonymous complaints. Please refer to UCL's Public Interest Disclosure Policy¹⁰ for information on how to address matters in this way.

Time Limits

programme-specific terms and conditions, where these apply to the programme. Students are invited to contact the Casework Team via casework@ucl.ac.uk.

⁶ <http://studentsunionucl.org/how-to-guides/how-to-make-complaints-about-union>

⁷ <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/academic-manual/chapters/chapter-6-student-casework-framework/section-11-public-complaint-about-ucl>

⁸ <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/academic-manual/chapters/chapter-6-student-casework-framework/section-2-disciplinary-code-and-procedure-respect>

⁹ <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/academic-manual/chapters/chapter-6-student-casework-framework/section-9-student-academic-misconduct-procedure>

¹⁰ https://www.ucl.ac.uk/hr/docs/public_interest_disclosure.php

- 2.5 Students are encouraged to submit a complaint as soon as possible after the event in question, as this facilitates timely investigation and enables UCL to take prompt corrective action where necessary. At the most, complaints *must* be submitted within **three months** of the event giving rise to the complaint. Complaints submitted beyond this timeframe will be deemed to be out of time and will not be considered unless there are compelling reasons supported by independent evidence to demonstrate why the complaint was not raised in a timely manner. Where a complaint is deemed out of time, UCL will issue a Completion of Procedures Letter on request, noting the reason why the complaint was not considered and advising the student that they may be able to make a complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) for Higher Education.
- 2.6 Formal complaints from former students or recent graduates should be submitted to the Casework Team for the attention of the Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar. The Complaint and supporting evidence must be received within **three months** of leaving UCL. Former students or recent graduates must provide compelling reasons for not raising the complaint within three months. Complaints received after this time will not normally be considered. The Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar (or nominee) has the sole discretion to consider complaints more than three months after the matter being complained of and will only be considered in exceptional circumstances.
- 2.7 We are committed to dealing with all complaints as quickly as reasonably possible and will seek to follow the time limits set out in this Procedure. Students can expect that UCL will normally have completed its consideration of a complaint within 60 working days of its submission. However, from time to time there may be valid reason for a delay, particularly in more complex cases. In such circumstances students will be informed of any delay and the reason for it.

3. ADVICE AND SUPPORT

- 3.1 Students are welcome to seek advice or clarification on the operation of the Procedure from the Casework Team. The Casework Team however is not in a position to provide support with the drafting of complaints as this would conflict with their role in the process.
- 3.2 In cases where a student and/or the Department or Faculty may want to seek an early resolution the Department or Faculty will normally liaise with the Casework Team if a resolution is being sought within **three months** of the event giving rise to a possibly of a formal complaint. In exceptional circumstances, the timescales set out in this Procedure may be put on hold usually for no longer than a further 25 working days where the student and/or the Department or Faculty is reasonably satisfied that an early resolution can be sought. At the conclusion of an attempt at an early resolution, and where proportionate, the Department or Faculty should write to the student setting out the outcome. This can then be made accessible to those dealing with any formal complaints at a later stage.
- 3.3 Most students accessing this Procedure are adults, and UCL's contract is with the student. For this reason, we request that students personally liaise with the relevant officers regarding their case unless there is good reason preventing them from doing so. We cannot accept complaints made on behalf of students by UCL staff, staff at partner providers (including providers of work placements), parents, or other third parties. In an exceptional case where a third party does represent a student, written consent is required from the student (except where they are incapacitated and unable to give consent) before any information in connection with the case is disclosed. UCL's consent to such arrangements may be withdrawn at any time if the behaviour of a third party is not appropriate. We will only communicate with one party at a time.
- 3.4 The sole purpose of any inquiries made under this Procedure will be to investigate the complaint by the student; the Procedure will not in any way constitute or be seen to constitute a disciplinary process against the member(s) of staff or UCL department, faculty or service concerned.

4. DISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

- 4.1 We will deal with complaints discreetly and sensitively and students will be treated without discrimination or disadvantage. It is nevertheless necessary to provide aspects of the written complaint and supporting evidence to any relevant members of staff whose response is required in order to address the complaint fully. Where a complaint is taken forward and concerns the actions of an individual member of UCL staff, that individual will be given the opportunity to respond. That individual will also have the right to be accompanied and/or assisted at any related meeting by a 'friend', who must be a member of the UCL community¹¹. The role of the friend is to provide moral support during a meeting or hearing. They cannot make representations nor cross-examine any other party at a meeting of the Complaints Panel.
- 4.2 The outcome of the Procedure will be communicated to anyone who has been deemed the subject of the complaint by the Casework Team, following the issue of the decision to the student.
- 4.3 In some rare cases a duty to breach confidentiality may exist, typically where it is necessary for the safety of the student or of other people. Students will be required, as part of their submission of a complaint, to give explicit consent to the above approach to the operation of disclosure.
- 4.4 Advice has been taken to ensure that this Procedure complies with the standards set out in the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which became effective in May 2018.

5. STAGE ONE: SUBMISSION AND CONSIDERATION OF COMPLAINT

- 5.1 If, following attempts of an early resolution, a student wishes to pursue a formal complaint, they must complete a Complaint Form and submit this with any relevant supporting documentation. We are not able to initiate this Procedure until a completed Complaint Form has been received. Simple notice of an intention to complain given in writing by a student within the prescribed timeframe shall not be deemed to constitute a formal complaint and will not be accepted.
- 5.2 This Procedure relates to complaints about UCL. In submitting a Complaint Form the student is requested to specify the nature of the matter complained about according to the following definition for the purpose of this Procedure:
 - a) a **complaint** relates to an expression of dissatisfaction by one or more student about an action of UCL, or lack of action, or about the standard of service provided by UCL.
- 5.3 Complaints concerning alleged deficiency in teaching/supervision and/or unsatisfactory delivery/administration of a programme of study may not be considered if received after the student's results have been confirmed by UCL's Education Committee or Research Degrees Committee and published on Portico. Students dissatisfied with this aspect of UCL's delivery are expected to raise the issue at the time.

Submission of the Complaint Form

- 5.4 In submitting a Complaint Form, students should state clearly what it is that they are complaining about, why they are dissatisfied, and what it is that they would like to see done to resolve this issue. The complaint should encompass everything that the student would like to see addressed; new elements cannot be introduced later in the process. If a complaint relates to several different issues, students should ensure that these are clearly distinguished.
- 5.5 All sections of the Complaint Form must be completed by the student. If a form is incomplete or is missing any necessary information, it will be returned to the student for completion. The timescales outlined in this Procedure will only begin once a fully completed Complaint Form has been received.

¹¹ Defined as a person who is a currently enrolled student of UCL, a member of staff of UCL, or a member of the Students' Union UCL.

- 5.6 Students are encouraged to submit relevant supporting documentation and evidence with their Complaint Form. The Casework Team is unable to seek evidence on a student's behalf. It is the student's responsibility to provide this with the complaint. A translation (undertaken by an accredited translator) must be provided for any material not in English – untranslated documents cannot be considered. Where the documentation includes data relating to third parties, we reserve the right to redact or remove such material before proceeding, so far as is practicable without undermining the substance of the complaint.
- 5.7 The Casework Team will acknowledge receipt and will conduct an initial assessment of the case against the scope and criteria set out in the Procedure. If, in the view of the Casework Team in consultation with the Chair of the Complaints Panel, this assessment indicates that there are no valid grounds for consideration of the matter under the Procedure, the student will be advised of the reasons for this within ten UCL working days¹² of receipt.
- 5.8 Where it is considered that the matter should more properly be considered under a different Procedure (Paragraph 2.4) the student will be informed of this within ten UCL working days and the complaint will not be progressed. By agreement with the student, the matter may be referred direct to the appropriate UCL office-holder for consideration under the relevant procedure.
- 5.9 In cases involving both academic and non-academic matters, it may be necessary to inform the student that elements of the complaint will not be progressed. By agreement with the student, the matter may be referred for consideration under the relevant procedure. Wherever practicable, however, the complaint will be considered as a single entity and a single Outcome Letter or Completion of Procedures Letter issued addressing the complaint. The student will be informed in the outcome letter or Completion of Procedures letter that elements that constitute academic matters pertaining to an academic appeal cannot be considered within this Procedure.

Consideration of the Complaint

- 5.10 Where the initial assessment of a complaint shows that it is eligible for consideration under this Procedure, the Casework Team will review the complaint. The student will be notified within ten UCL working days of receipt of the complaint whether the matter will be progressed. Where a complaint raises multiple issues, we reserve the right to progress part of a complaint and inform the student that matters that constitute matters outside the scope of this Procedure cannot be considered.
- 5.11 If it is decided not to proceed with the complaint, the Casework Team will inform the student in an Outcome Letter, giving reasons for this decision. A student who is dissatisfied with this decision may request a review under Stage Two (Section 7).
- 5.12 Where a complaint is progressed, the Casework Team will submit the Complaint Form and any supporting documentation to the relevant academic unit or Professional Services division with a request for a written response. This will normally be submitted to the Head of the unit or division concerned with a request that they co-ordinate a response from the relevant colleagues. Where the nature of the complaint is such that in the opinion of the Casework Team a named individual would be most able to respond, the complaint may be sent to that member of staff directly. In developing its response the department may consult any members of staff who are able to provide relevant information. In the case of academic complaints, the relevant Faculty Tutor will also receive a copy of the complaint.
- 5.13 The written response will be requested within ten UCL working days of notification. This timescale may be subject to extension where circumstances require and where the response is felt to be necessary in order to respond to the complaint satisfactorily. The Casework Team reserves the right however to proceed to a decision if the department's response is not forthcoming by the stated deadline.

¹² 'UCL Working Days' means all days except weekends, bank holidays, and periods of UCL closure at Easter and Christmas.

- 5.14 The response from the department concerned will be forwarded to the student, who will be given five UCL working days to comment on any matters of factual accuracy. This does not constitute an opportunity to introduce new elements into the complaint which have not previously been considered and on which the members of staff concerned have not had an opportunity to comment. This timescale may be subject to extension where circumstances require and on the agreement of the Casework Team. The Casework Team may however proceed with taking a decision if the response is not forthcoming by the stated deadline.
- 5.15 The Casework Team will then determine whether a decision on the complaint can be reached on the basis of the written material. In cases where a decision can be taken, the Casework Team will notify all parties, in the form of an Outcome Letter, normally within ten UCL working days of the student's comments on factual accuracy (Paragraph 5.17). The Outcome Letter will confirm whether the complaint has been upheld, partially upheld, or not upheld, and will give reasons for the decision and details of any action UCL proposes to take as a result.
- 5.16 Where a student is dissatisfied with the decision of the Casework Team, they may apply for a review by the Complaints Review Panel (Section 7 below).
- 5.17 Where the Casework Team conclude that further consideration of the complaint is necessary, or where it is not possible to reach a suitably informed decision without discussion with the parties concerned, the Casework Team will refer the matter to a meeting of the Complaints Panel (Section 6 – Complaints Panel refers).

6. THE COMPLAINTS PANEL

Operation and Membership

- 6.1 Where the complaint is referred to a meeting of the Complaints Panel ('the Panel' hereafter), the Panel will consider the complaint and in so doing will:
- (i) accord equal procedural treatment to both or all parties concerned;
 - (ii) seek further clarification of the written evidence from whomever it feels appropriate;
 - (iii) interview the parties concerned.
- 6.2 The membership of the Panel will be as follows:
- the Chief Operations Officer/Executive Director of Student Services and Registrar (or nominee) *[Chair]*
 - a senior manager selected from one of the Professional Services Divisions or Schools/Faculties or
 - a senior member of staff drawn from a list approved by Academic Committee, usually a Faculty Tutor/Faculty Graduate Tutor from a Faculty other than that with the student is registered
 - a student representative (normally a Sabbatical Officer of Students' Union UCL).
- 6.3 The appointment of Panel members will be made with regard to considerations of an appropriate balance of diversity.
- 6.4 A member of staff from UCL Student and Registry Services will be appointed to act as Secretary to the Panel. All documentation will be passed through the Secretary and there will be no direct communication, either written or oral, between the Panel and either the student or the member or members of staff concerned.
- 6.5 An additional member of staff from UCL Student and Registry Services may be appointed by the Registrar to support and advise a Panel as necessary in respect of UCL's Academic Regulations for Students and/or other relevant UCL regulations and procedures, according to the nature of the complaint.

- 6.6 Where necessary, an independent expert in the field of study or related discipline may be appointed, subject to the agreement of all parties, to advise the Panel either orally or in writing.

Conduct of Complaints Panel Meetings

- 6.7 The conduct of a Complaints Panel meeting will be determined by the Chair of the Panel in order to ensure that the complaint is heard in such manner as s/he considers appropriate and fair.
- 6.8 All documentation relating to the complaint will normally be received by all parties no later than ten UCL working days before the meeting of the Panel. No further communications of any sort will be accepted for consideration by the Panel after this time except at the discretion of the Chair.
- 6.9 A single written record of the meeting will normally be made by UCL for the purpose of providing a factual record in the event of the decision going to Review.
- 6.10 Both the student and the member(s) of staff concerned are entitled to be present throughout a Panel meeting except when the Panel deliberates on its decision. Only in exceptional circumstances, and with the agreement of all parties, will individuals be heard separately. Each party will be entitled to be accompanied¹³ during the Panel meeting by a 'friend' as defined at Paragraph 4.1.
- 6.11 Requests by either the student or member(s) of staff concerned to call witnesses will be decided by the Chair of the Panel. Where agreed, the presence of either party (or of witnesses) at a Panel may be through virtual means such as video or telephone conferencing.
- 6.12 If any party fails to attend the Panel meeting, the Panel may proceed and determine the complaint in the absence of that party.

The Decision of the Complaints Panel

- 6.13 The decision of a Panel will be reached by a majority vote of the members of the Panel, and shall be announced as the decision of the Panel.
- 6.14 The votes of individual Panel members shall always be treated as confidential and there shall be no disclosure either of such votes or of information showing whether the decision was reached by a unanimous or a majority vote.
- 6.15 The Panel may adjourn for a period not exceeding five UCL working days for the purpose of reaching its decision and/or deciding upon the appropriate action to be taken.
- 6.16 The Panel will complete a written statement of its findings and decision, in the form of an Outcome Letter, normally to be issued within ten UCL working days of the date of the meeting. The Panel is authorised to impose a solution on the officers of the area of UCL in which the complaint occurred, including where appropriate a recommendation for a Suspension of the Regulations. Any compensating action proposed in the light of the complaint will not be implemented until it is clear if a review of the Panel's findings will be made and, if so, until the outcome of the review is known.
- 6.17 Subject to the rights of review, all decisions made in accordance with these procedures shall be binding on all parties.

7. STAGE TWO: COMPLAINT REVIEW

¹³ Where a complainant is under 18 years of age they *must* be accompanied to any meeting by a parent, guardian or other responsible adult.

- 7.1 Stage Two will only be initiated once Stage One has been completed. The purpose of the review stage is not to reinvestigate the complaint itself but to address concerns about the decision, on any of the grounds set out at 7.4 below.
- 7.2 A student holding an Outcome Letter, either following a meeting of the Complaints Panel or following a decision taken by the Casework Team, may under certain circumstances apply for a review of that decision. In order to apply for a review the student must complete a Complaint Review Form and submit it to the Casework Team within ten UCL working days of the date of the Outcome Letter. A Complaint Review submitted beyond this timeframe will be deemed to be out of time and will not be considered unless there is independent evidence to show compelling reasons as to why the review was not requested in a timely manner. Where a Complaint Review is deemed out of time, UCL will issue a Completion of Procedures Letter on request, noting the reason why the Complaint Review was not considered and advising the student that he/she may be able to make a complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) for Higher Education.
- 7.3 The student must sign and date the Complaint Review Form, and include a statement explaining why they remain dissatisfied, attaching where appropriate any new evidence. All sections of the Complaint Review Form must be completed by the student and include a statement explaining why they remain dissatisfied, attaching where appropriate any new evidence. The Casework Team is unable to seek evidence on a student's behalf. It is the student's responsibility to provide this. A translation (undertaken by an accredited translator) must be provided for any material not in English – untranslated documents cannot be considered.
- 7.4 Students should note that a disagreement with the decision reached on their complaint does not in itself constitute grounds for seeking a review. Requests for a review of a decision can only be submitted on the grounds of:
- (i) *procedural irregularity* in the way in which the decision was reached;
 - (ii) *new evidence* that was not available during consideration of the complaint and where it would have been impossible for that evidence to have been made available at the time;
 - (iii) the decision was not reasonable given the circumstances of the case
- 7.5 If a Complaint Review Form is not received within 10 working days, we will assume that the student does not wish to request a review. Where a student subsequently requests a Completion of Procedures Letter, UCL will issue a Completion of Procedures Letter, noting that the student did not engage with the Complaint Review procedures in a timely manner and advising the student that he/she may be able to make a complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) for Higher Education.
- 7.6 A member of the Casework Team with no previous involvement with the case will acknowledge receipt of the Complaint Review Form and will assess the application to determine whether there are grounds for a review under Paragraph 7.4.
- 7.7 Where, in the view of the Casework Team, the information submitted contains no grounds for further consideration of the complaint by a Complaints Review Panel, the student will be informed of this and will be issued with a Completion of Procedures letter within ten UCL working days of the receipt of the Review Form.
- 7.8 If the facts of the case indicate that the complaint decision did not take into account all the relevant information which had been provided by the student, a member of the Casework Team with no previous involvement with the case, may issue an Amended Outcome Letter which details any new or additional action UCL proposes to take.
- 7.9 There shall be no right to request a further review of the outcome as set out in the amended Outcome Letter. On receipt of the Amended Outcome Letter, if the student is dissatisfied with the outcome, the student may request a Completion of Procedures Letter be issued which will

advise the student that he/she may be able to make a complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) for Higher Education.

7.10 Where the information submitted contains grounds for further consideration of the complaint by a Complaints Review Panel, a member of the Casework Team with no previous involvement with the case will refer the matter to the members of the Complaints Review Panel.

7.11 The constitution of the Panel will be as follows:

- a) a Vice-Provost or the Chief Operating Officer (Chair), or nominee;
- b) a Students' Union UCL Sabbatical Officer;
- c) a senior member of staff from a list approved by the Academic Committee.

Those appointed to the Panel will not have had any prior involvement in the case.

7.12 The Complaints Review Panel will proceed as follows:

- a) Where the Review is granted on the grounds of new evidence, the Chair of the Complaints Review Panel will determine within five UCL working days whether a further response from the Department, Faculty or Service concerned is required. Where such a response is deemed necessary, the review material will be sent to the Department within two UCL working days, together with a full copy of the original complaint and Outcome Letter, with a request for a response within ten UCL working days;
- b) Where the Review is granted on the grounds of procedural irregularity or where a case is made that any compensating action was inadequate, the Review paperwork and original complaint will be sent within two UCL working days to the individual who was Chair of the Complaints Panel under Stage One, with a request for a response within ten UCL working days;
- c) after receipt of any responses under (a) and (b) above, the Complaints Review Panel will consider the review application and the response.

7.13 The Complaints Review Panel may carry out its work by correspondence, or may arrange a meeting by agreement between its members. In either case, a member of staff from UCL Student and Registry Services will be appointed to act as Secretary to the Review Panel.

7.14 Where the Complaints Review Panel will carry out its work by correspondence, the Panel will make a determination which will be communicated to the student and all other relevant parties by the Secretary, normally within three working weeks of the receipt of the Complaints Review Form.

7.15 In cases where a meeting is deemed necessary, the procedures relating to the conduct of meetings and the confirmation of a decision by the Complaints Panel (Paragraphs 6.7 – 6.17) will apply, with the exception that the outcome will be confirmed in a Completion of Procedures letter rather than an Outcome Letter.

7.16 The Complaints Review Panel will have the power to reverse or modify the decision reviewed in any way that it thinks fit, or to direct that the matter be referred back to the original Panel for reconsideration.

7.17 A Completion of Procedures Letter will normally be issued five UCL working days after a decision is reached on the Stage Two review. If this timescale is to be extended for any reason, the student will be advised of this in writing.

8. OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT ADJUDICATOR

8.1 The outcome of the Complaint Review will conclude UCL's consideration of the complaint and will be confirmed in the form of a Completion of Procedures letter.

- 8.2 When a student has received a Completion of Procedures letter confirming that the internal procedures of UCL have been concluded, they have the right to submit their complaint for review to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) if they remain dissatisfied. A student choosing to do this must do so no later than twelve months from the date of the Completion of Procedures letter, using the OIA's Scheme Application form. The procedures and the form are available from the website of the OIA: <https://oiahe.org.uk> or from the UCL Students' Union Advice Service (who will also be able to provide advice and assistance).

9 Student Academic Misconduct Procedure

9.1 Introduction and Scope of Application

1. The Student Academic Integrity and Misconduct Procedure sets out how UCL will investigate and remedy any conduct which is considered to breach UCL's assessment regulations, and which is likely to give an unfair advantage to the candidate and/ or affect the security of assessments and/ or affect the integrity of the degrees awarded by UCL.

Scope

2. These procedures apply to all current and former students of UCL, including:
 - a) Undergraduate students
 - b) Postgraduate taught students
 - c) Postgraduate research students
3. The procedures will not normally apply to UCL students studying modules at other institutions who will be subject to the Host institution's procedures. Investigation of alleged misconduct by students visiting from other institutions (such as intercollegiate or affiliate students) will be conducted by UCL, but the application of penalties will normally be handled by the Home institution.
4. The Student Academic Integrity and Misconduct Procedure applies to summative assessments only. Examples of poor academic practice in formative assessments should be followed up by the module leader but will not be subject to formal penalty under this procedure.
5. Research Misconduct will be investigated under UCL's Procedure for Investigating and Resolving Allegations of Misconduct in Academic Research.

Guiding Principles

6. As outlined in Chapter 4, Section 2, module leaders are responsible for providing accurate and up-to-date information to students about their assessments. This includes information about appropriate referencing, clear instructions about collaborative working, and a link to these academic misconduct regulations.
7. A student suspected of committing Academic Misconduct must be given the opportunity to respond to an allegation before a penalty is confirmed.
8. A student suspected of committing Academic Misconduct must always be directed to sources of advice and support, such as the Students' Union Advice service or Student Support and Wellbeing.
9. A student invited to attend a panel meeting must always be informed of their right to bring a "friend" to provide moral support. In addition, the student must always be invited to inform the panel of any reasonable adjustments that will need to be made to enable them to fairly engage with the meeting.
10. In considering allegations of Academic Misconduct adjudicators should apply "on the balance of probabilities" as the standard of proof.
11. A decision about whether academic misconduct has occurred will not take mitigating factors, including extenuating circumstances, into account.
12. A decision about the appropriate penalty for a confirmed case of academic misconduct must take account of contributing and mitigating factors and should be informed by the relative impact on the individual student.
13. Where allegations of suspected Academic Misconduct are being investigated, Departments should, where possible, check all available summative work the student has submitted within the same assessment period.
14. Under no circumstances during any stage of the Academic Misconduct procedure will a student be granted an additional attempt at assessment beyond those normally permitted. However, they may be required to submit a new or revised version of the assessment as a formative exercise to evidence their understanding of academic integrity.

9.2 Definitions

1. References to the “Adjudicator” made throughout these regulations refer to the appropriate decision-making body outlined in the Adjudication Framework in Section 9.3.
2. Academic Integrity refers to all academic practice, by includes undertaking assessments honestly in a responsible manner and acknowledging other’s ideas.
3. Poor Academic Practice refers to low level academic integrity infringements that are clearly judged to be a result of a lack of understanding on the part of the student, normally because of a lack of training in appropriate academic practice. Students will not normally be penalised for poor academic practice but should be supported to learn from their mistake.
4. Material Irregularity refers to an error in the assessment process that has materially disadvantaged a student. Following investigation, it may become apparent that what was originally reported as misconduct was in fact the result of poor assessment design or preparation. In these instances, the material irregularity procedure may be used (Chapter 6, Section 6).
5. Academic Misconduct is defined as any action or attempted action that is intended to result in a student obtaining an unfair academic advantage. For the purposes of this procedure this includes, but will not necessarily be restricted to, the following:
 - a) Plagiarism: defined as the representation of other people’s work or ideas as the student’s own without appropriate referencing or acknowledgement. **This includes the use of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) tools that exceeds that permitted in the assessment brief.**
 - b) Collusion: defined as the unauthorised collaboration by two or more students on any assessment.
 - c) Any attempt to gain unauthorised access to the assessed coursework of any other candidate with or without the knowledge of the other candidate.
 - d) Falsification: defined as the fraudulent creation, alteration or misrepresentation of data, or any other information, including visual or audio artefacts. This includes but is not limited to:
 - i. falsification of word count.
 - ii. falsification of supporting evidence for an extenuating circumstances application.
 - iii. use of GenAI tools to create false data sets or references.
 - e) Contract Cheating: defined as commissioning a piece of assessment to be carried out by a third party or knowingly using a commissioned piece of assessment. Students should be aware that it is a criminal offence to advertise or provide Contract Cheating services, and UCL would normally report this to the Police.
 - f) Impersonation (including attempted, solicited or attempts at soliciting impersonation) in any form of assessment.
 - g) Examination Room Misconduct, including:
 - i. The use or possession of unauthorised books, notes, software, electronic devices, paper, or other materials in an examination other than those permitted in Chapter 4, Part B: Student Regulations for Exams and Assessments.
 - ii. The unauthorised marking or annotation of any materials authorised for use in an examination (such as the Candidate Card Printout), or writing notes on hands, or other parts of the body.
 - iii. Reading or writing before the start of the examination (apart from student information on the answer book front cover and examination envelope) or writing after the one-minute allowance has passed.
 - iv. The unauthorised removal of an examination script or stationery in any state from the examination room except by a person with the designated authority.
 - v. Any attempt to confer with or gain access to the script of any other candidate during the period of the examination.
 - vi. Any attempt to gain access to or tamper with examination envelopes, answer books, question papers or other examination stationery before or after submission.

- vii. Removing oneself from the examination room during the period of an examination without permission from a member of staff.
 - viii. Causing a disturbance or disrupting the examination process.
 - h) Online Assessment Misconduct, including:
 - i. Any use of unauthorised material.
 - ii. Any unauthorised communication or attempted communication with other students or third parties in relation to the assessment.
 - iii. Discussion or sharing of assessment content with other students or third parties.
 - iv. Unauthorised collaboration with other students or third parties.
 - v. Copying or attempting to copy from another student's work.
 - vi. Any attempt to confer with or gain access to the script of any other candidate during the period of the assessment.
 - i) Any other conduct that would give an unfair academic advantage to a student.
6. For the purposes of this procedure, the following will not be considered Academic Misconduct:
- a) Language and Writing review: defined as having a third-party or software check areas of academic writing such as structure, fluency, presentation, grammar, spelling, punctuation, and language translation. However, this may be considered Academic Misconduct if substantive changes to content have been made by the reviewer or software or at their recommendation, which would suggest that the reviewer had either produced or determined the substantive content of the submission, or, in cases of language translation, if the student is being assessed on their ability to translate or use a language other than English.
 - b) File Sharing: defined as the sharing of files or data between two or more students as part of authorised collaboration. However, this may be considered Academic Misconduct if File Sharing occurs between students where collaboration is not authorised.
 - c) Use of GenAI tools that does not exceed that permitted in the assessment brief: each module leader is responsible for informing students of the extent to which they are permitted to use GenAI tools in each assessment. Evidence of use where there has not been a clear direction from the module leader will not be penalised.

9.3 Adjudication Framework

1. The following framework indicates who should adjudicate the offence being considered., based on its suspected severity
2. Academic Misconduct will be considered a second offence if a penalty has already been received for any prior offence which has taken place during the degree programme on which the student is registered.
3. “Component” in the framework below refers to a Component as defined in Chapter 7, Part A, Section 3.11: Components.

Module Leader Adjudication		
Description of Offence	Extent of Misconduct	Potential Outcomes following Investigation
First Offence Academic Misconduct (Plagiarism, Falsification, Collusion)	Up to and including 10% of the component consists of offending material	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No case – no further action. 2. Poor Academic Practice: follow 9.5.1. 3. Academic Misconduct within adjudication: follow 9.5.2. 4. Academic Misconduct beyond adjudication, refer to appropriate adjudicator.
Exam Board Chair Adjudication		
First Offence Academic Misconduct (Plagiarism, Falsification, Collusion)	More than 10% and up to and including one third of the component consists of offending material	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No case – no further action. 2. Poor Academic Practice: follow 9.5.1. 3. Academic Misconduct within adjudication: follow 9.5.2. 4. Academic Misconduct beyond adjudication, refer to appropriate adjudicator.
Department Academic Misconduct Panel Adjudication		
First Offence Academic Misconduct (Plagiarism, Falsification, Collusion)	More than one third of the component consists of offending material.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No case – no further action. 2. Poor Academic Practice: follow 9.5.1. 3. Academic Misconduct within adjudication: follow 9.5.3. 4. Academic Misconduct beyond adjudication, refer to appropriate adjudicator.

Second Offence Academic Misconduct (Plagiarism, Falsification, Collusion)	Up to and including one third of the component consists of offending material.	
UCL Academic Misconduct Panel Adjudication		
Second Offence Academic Misconduct (Plagiarism, Falsification, Collusion)	More than one third of the component consists of offending material.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No case – no further action. 2. Poor Academic Practice: follow 9.5.1. 3. Academic Misconduct within adjudication: follow 9.5.4.
<p>Contract Cheating</p> <p>Examination Misconduct or Online Assessment Misconduct</p> <p>Any attempt to gain access to or use the assessed work of another candidate without that candidate's knowledge.</p> <p>Any attempt to tamper with coursework after submission.</p> <p>Impersonation</p> <p>Plagiarism, Collusion, or Falsification by a Research student in work presented for upgrade or final examination.</p>		

9.4 Penalties

9.4.1 List of Agreed Penalties

1. The following penalties are approved for use in confirmed cases of academic misconduct by undergraduate, postgraduate taught and postgraduate research students, and alumni of any programme delivered at these levels:
 - a) Module Leaders may use the following penalties for misconduct within their adjudication:
 - i. The original mark stands, but the student is required to resubmit the assessment with the offending material removed. Failure to submit the revisions will result in a non-submission being recorded (0AB).
 - ii. The original mark stands, but the student is required to submit a new assessment task to evidence their understanding of academic integrity principles. Failure to submit the revisions will result in a non-submission being recorded (0AB).
 - iii. A reduction of marks for the component in which the offence took place by 10 percentage points or one letter grade.
 - b) Exam Board Chairs may use the following penalties for misconduct within their adjudication:
 - iv. Any of the penalties listed above.
 - v. The component in which the offence took place is given a mark capped at the appropriate pass threshold for the level of study.
 - vi. The component in which the offence took place is given a mark within the condonable range for the student's level of study.
 - vii. The component in which the offence took place is given a mark of 0.00%/Grade F.
 - c) Department Panels may use the following penalties for misconduct within their adjudication:
 - viii. Any of the penalties listed above.
 - ix. The module in which the offence took place is given a mark capped at the appropriate pass threshold for the level of study.
 - x. The module in which the offence took place is given a mark within the condonable range for the student's level of study.
 - xi. The module in which the offence took place is given a mark of 0.00%/Grade F.
 - d) The UCL Academic Misconduct Panel may use the following penalties for misconduct within their adjudication:
 - xii. Any of the penalties listed above.
 - xiii. Suspension from UCL for the remainder of the Academic Year.
 - xiv. The student is excluded from UCL and is not permitted to re-enrol on any other programme at UCL. The student may be permitted to receive an interim qualification if eligible.
 - xv. The student is excluded from UCL and is not permitted to re-enrol on any other programme at UCL. The student will not be permitted to receive a qualification, even if eligible.
 - xvi. The student fails the upgrade or final examination but may be permitted to resubmit if they are still permitted a further opportunity within the regulations of their research programme.
2. If an Adjudicator finds that poor academic practice, rather than academic misconduct has occurred, they must follow the procedure at 9.5.1.

Misconduct committed by UCL graduates

3. Where a case of academic misconduct is upheld against a graduate, UCL reserves the right to revoke the award and apply the appropriate penalty. This may include the decision not to reissue the award in cases of serious academic misconduct.

9.4.2 Application of Penalties

1. In considering the application of a penalty, an Adjudicator must consider any factors that may contribute to or detract from its suitability to the circumstances of the case at hand.

2. The Adjudicator must also consider why less severe penalties would be inappropriate, and provide a clear rationale for that decision, referring to the factors as outlined above.

Guidance on the Application of Penalties

3. Students should have the opportunity to present any mitigating circumstances or factors that they believe should be considered. Those factors are not relevant to deciding whether a student has committed an offence, but they should normally be considered when deciding on the appropriate penalty. Mitigating factors might include:
 - i. It is a first offence.
 - ii. The student admitted the offence at the earliest opportunity.
 - iii. The student has provided an account of the relevant circumstances that provide appropriate context for their case.
 - iv. There is evidence that the student did not intend their actions to lead to an unfair academic advantage.
 - v. The student has compelling personal circumstances that affected their judgment.
4. A penalty for a disciplinary offence may have more serious implications for particular students. For example, a penalty limiting a student's progression may have an unintended impact on a student with a deteriorating health condition or an international student's visa status.
5. Adjudicators should explain how they have taken these implications into account, as well as the student's extenuating circumstances and other mitigating factors when communicating their decisions.

9.5 Procedures

9.5.1 Poor Academic Practice

1. Where the Adjudicator is presented with evidence of the representation of work or ideas as the student's own without appropriate referencing or acknowledgement, they must determine whether this amounts to Plagiarism or Poor Academic Practice with reference to 9.2.3 above.
2. Where the Adjudicator deems the evidence to amount to Poor Academic Practice, they must:
 - i. Require the student to undertake UCL's Understanding Academic Integrity course; and
 - ii. Require the student to meet with the Departmental Tutor, Departmental Graduate Tutor, or Programme Leader to discuss the Poor Academic Practice and receive warning that any further instances of Poor Academic Practice would be deemed to amount to Academic Misconduct and penalised as outlined in 9.3; and
 - iii. Support the student to present the work in question with corrections to address all the Poor Academic Practice. Presenting the corrected work will not count as another assessment 'attempt'. The original mark awarded to the work prior to the corrections being made will stand but will only be entered formally on the record once the corrected version of the work has been presented.

9.5.2 Academic Misconduct – Module Leader or Exam Board Chair

1. Where an allegation of Academic Misconduct is initially made, the relevant Module Leader or Exam Board Chair as appropriate will conduct investigations. If Academic Misconduct is found to have been committed, an appropriate penalty will be decided, considering any contributing or mitigating factors that have become apparent through the relevant proceedings in line with 9.4.
2. A Module Leader, in discussion with their Exam Board Chair, may decide to resolve a case at a lower level where the extent of misconduct exceeds their jurisdiction where that is felt appropriate to the severity of the actual misconduct committed.
3. Where a case needs to be referred, based on the adjudication framework above, the Module Leader must send the following:
 - i. A completed Student Academic Misconduct Report Form (see annex 6.9.1).
 - ii. A copy of the affected assessment, where applicable annotated or highlighted to identify the extent of the Academic Misconduct.
 - iii. Any other evidence relevant to the case.

4. The Adjudicator should formally notify the student of their decision, or that the case has been referred to a higher decision-making body, within 10 working days of the allegation being made.
5. Where a penalty is applied, the Adjudicator must ensure that this is recorded by adding a minute to the relevant module(s) in Portico.
6. Students may appeal against the decision under the procedures outlined under 9.6 Appeals Process.

9.5.3 Departmental Panel

The Departmental Panel investigates cases of significant academic misconduct that have been escalated by the Exam Board Chair, when it is concerned that it could be appropriate to apply a higher order penalty. It is responsible for assessing the grounds on which an allegation of misconduct has been made; providing the student with an opportunity to explain what may have contributed to the conduct being investigated and deciding on the balance of probabilities, whether Academic Misconduct has occurred and, if so, the appropriate penalty in line with 9.4.

1. Where a case of Academic Misconduct is referred to a Departmental Panel, the Chair of the Board of Examiners for the module concerned should appoint a secretary from the same Department, who will be responsible for all communications, completion of the Student Academic Misconduct Report Form, circulation of documentary evidence, organisation of the panel, and completion of the Student Academic Misconduct Outcome Form.
2. The Secretary must provide the student with the following within ten working days of the referral:
 - i. Confirmation that they are suspected of committing Academic Misconduct, and the specific details of the allegation.
 - ii. A copy of all documentary evidence relating to the case.
 - iii. A completed Student Academic Misconduct Report Form.
 - iv. A link to these Student Academic Misconduct Procedures.
 - v. An invitation to the Departmental Panel, outlining the date, time, and location.
 - vi. A request to the student to submit a statement, which must be received no later than two working days before the Departmental Panel.
 - vii. A statement confirming that the student may bring a 'friend' who must be a member of staff at UCL, a Students' Union Advisor or student representative, or a student currently registered at UCL. The person may be legally qualified, but they will not be acting in a legal capacity. The person must not be a member of the Academic Misconduct decision or panel procedure.
3. A Departmental Panel should be organised within four working weeks of the student being informed of the alleged Academic Misconduct, unless either of the following applies:
 - i. There are reasonable circumstances that make this impractical, in which case the student must be kept informed of this; or
 - ii. The student has reasonable grounds to request a postponement of the panel hearing to a later date; or
 - iii. It has been agreed that the offence will be handled via the expedited procedure.
4. The Departmental Panel should be constituted as follows:
 - i. Chair of the Board of Examiners owning the affected module, or appropriate nominee where they are the Module Leader (acting as Chair).
 - ii. At least two other members of staff from the Department not directly involved in the teaching of the affected module(s).
 - iii. Where deemed necessary, due to the severity or the complexity of the case, the Faculty Tutor, the Faculty Graduate Tutor, or their nominee, may be invited to attend in an advisory capacity.
 - iv. Where determined by the Chair that the disciplinary expertise is required on the panel a member of staff from the Department directly involved in the teaching of the affected module(s) may join in an advisory capacity.
 - v. Where the affected module is delivered by a Department other than the student's own, the Chair of the Board of Examiners for the student's Home Department or their nominee.
5. The quorum for the Departmental Panel must be three including the Chair.

6. The Secretary must provide to the panel all documentary evidence and statements relating to the case.
7. There must be no communication in relation to the allegations, either written or oral, between the Departmental Panel and either the student or the member(s) of staff involved in the affected module.
8. The decision of the Departmental Panel will be by majority vote, and in the case of a tie, the Chair of the Departmental Panel will have the casting vote.
9. Where it is agreed that the student has committed Academic Misconduct, the Panel must ask the student to:
 - i. Undertake UCL's Understanding Academic Integrity course; and
 - ii. Meet with the Departmental Tutor, Departmental Graduate Tutor, or Programme Leader, to discuss the specifics of the misconduct, the steps they should take to avoid repeating this in future and to receive warning that any further instances of Academic Misconduct may have severe consequences as outlined in 9.4.
10. The Secretary should inform the student of the Departmental Panel decision informally within one working day of the hearing, send formal notification using the Student Academic Misconduct Outcome Form (Annex 6.9.5) within one working week and record this on the module minutes in Portico.
11. Students may appeal against the decision of the Departmental Panel under the procedures outlined under 9.6 Appeals Process.

Investigatory Viva (Contract Cheating, Collusion, or unauthorised use of GenAI)

12. Where there is suspicion of Contract Cheating, Collusion, or unsanctioned or unacknowledged use of Generative Artificial Intelligence tools (GenAI), the Exam Board Chair may, in the first instance, initiate an investigatory viva, making reference to the guidance provided at Annex 6.9.6: Guidance on Conducting an Investigatory Viva.
13. The purpose of the viva will be to assess whether, on the balance of probabilities, there is prima facie evidence that would support the conclusion that the work, or sections of it, were not authored by the student.
14. The viva should be conducted by the Chair of the Departmental Panel and at least one colleague who is competent to assess the student's knowledge and understanding of the content of the work that is being investigated (normally the module leader).
15. For cases of Contract Cheating, if prima facie evidence of lack of authorship is found to exist, the team conducting the viva panel must forward the outcome (see annex 6.9.2) and all the relevant documentation to the Secretary of the Academic Misconduct Panel to be considered under the Academic Misconduct Panel procedures (see 9.5.4 below).
16. For cases of Collusion, or the unsanctioned use of GenAI the Departmental Panel must forward the outcome of the Investigatory Viva (see annex 6.9.2) and all the relevant documentation to the appropriate Adjudicator outlined in the Adjudication Framework (see 9.3 above).
17. The Adjudicator is responsible for informing the student of the outcome of the Investigatory Viva within five working days and, in cases where the viva panel believes there is evidence of academic misconduct, for informing the student of that fact and the relevant next steps. At that point, the student may choose to initiate the expedited procedure outlined in 9.5.5.

9.5.4 Academic Misconduct Panel

The Academic Misconduct Panel investigates the most serious cases of academic misconduct and/or repeat offences. It is responsible for assessing the grounds on which an allegation of misconduct has been made; providing the student with an opportunity to explain what may have contributed to the conduct being investigated and deciding on the balance of probabilities, whether Academic Misconduct has occurred and, if so, the appropriate penalty in line with 9.4.

1. Where a case of Academic Misconduct is referred to an Academic Misconduct Panel, the Secretary of the Academic Misconduct Panel (appointed by the Registrar, Student & Registry Services) will be responsible for all communications, circulation of documentary evidence, and organisation of the panel.

2. The Secretary must provide the student with the following:
 - i. A copy of all documentary evidence relating to the case.
 - ii. Where applicable, a completed Student Academic Misconduct Report Form.
 - iii. A link to these Student Academic Misconduct Procedures.
 - iv. An invitation to the Academic Misconduct Panel, outlining the date, time, and location.
 - v. A request to submit a statement, which must be received no later than two working days before the Academic Misconduct Panel.
 - vi. A statement confirming that the student may bring a 'friend' who must be a member of staff at UCL, Students' Union Advisor or student representative, or a student currently registered at UCL. The person may be legally qualified, but they will not be acting in a legal capacity. The person must not be a member of the Academic Misconduct decision or panel procedure.
3. The student's statement, with any other information provided by the student, will be sent to the Chair of the Board of Examiners for the module concerned, who will be invited to reply to any points raised in the statement which have not been covered in the information so far received by the Secretary.
4. An Academic Misconduct Panel should be organised within four working weeks of the student being informed of the alleged Academic Misconduct, unless either of the following applies:
 - i. There are reasonable circumstances that make this impractical, in which case the student must be kept informed of this; or
 - ii. The student has reasonable grounds to request a postponement of the panel hearing to a later date.
5. The Academic Misconduct Panel should be constituted as follows:
 - i. One Pro-Vice-Provost (Education & Student Experience), Faculty Tutor or Vice Dean Education or, in the case of Research Students, the Pro Vice-Provost (Doctoral School and Early Career Researchers) who shall be Chair of the Panel. The Chair may delegate to a nominee; and
 - ii. An Exam Board Chair nominated by the Chair of the Panel who should neither be from any Department involved nor Chair of any Board of Examiners involved, unless it is determined that the disciplinary expertise is required on the panel or a Faculty Graduate Tutor in the case of Research students; and
 - iii. A Sabbatical Officer of the Students' Union UCL; and
 - iv. The Director of Education Services or his/her nominee.
6. The quorum for the Academic Misconduct Panel must be three including the Chair.
7. The Secretary must provide to the panel all documentary evidence relating to the case.
8. There must be no communication in relation to the allegations, either written or oral, between the Academic Misconduct Panel and either the student or the member(s) of staff involved in the affected module. Any such communication by any party directly with members of the Academic Misconduct Panel will not be admitted as part of the case documentation.
9. An audio recording of the hearing will be taken for the purpose of providing a factual record in the event of the hearing going to appeal.
10. The decision of the Academic Misconduct Panel will be by majority vote, and, in the case of a tie, the Chair of Academic Misconduct Panel will have the casting vote.
11. Where a penalty other than expulsion is imposed on a student, the following action must also be taken:
 - i. Require the student to undertake UCL's Understanding Academic Integrity course; and
 - ii. Require the student to meet with the Departmental Tutor, Departmental Graduate Tutor, or Programme Leader, to discuss the specifics of the misconduct, the steps they should take to avoid repeating this in future and to receive warning that any further instances of Academic Misconduct may have severe consequences as outlined in 9.4.
12. The Secretary should inform the student of the Academic Misconduct Panel decision informally within one working day of the hearing, send formal notification using the Student Academic Misconduct Outcome Form (Annex 6.9.5) within one working week and record this on the module minutes in Portico.

13. Students may appeal against the decision of the Academic Misconduct Panel under the procedures outlined under 9.6 Appeals Process.
14. The Secretary of the Academic Misconduct Panel will submit an annual report to the Education Committee outlining the decisions made across UCL at all levels.

Academic Misconduct Panel – In-Person Examination Misconduct special procedure

15. Where an allegation of Examination Misconduct is made, UCL Examinations or the Department organising the examination must provide the Academic Misconduct Panel Secretary with the following:
 - i. For centrally organised examinations, the Supervisor Report Form.
 - ii. For Departmentally organised examinations, a completed Student Academic Misconduct Report Form (see annex 6.9.1).
 - iii. A student statement, taken at the time the misconduct is found.
 - iv. A supervisor statement, taken at the time the misconduct is found.
 - v. Any other evidence relevant to the case.
16. In consultation with the Director of Education Services, some cases of Examination Misconduct may be of a minor or technical nature, and under those circumstances will not be considered under the Academic Misconduct Panel Procedures.
17. The Academic Misconduct Panel Secretary will inform the student of this and remind the student they must strictly to observe the examination regulations.

9.5.5 Expedited Academic Misconduct Procedure

1. When Academic Misconduct is detected, the appropriate adjudicator in 9.3 Adjudication Framework can provide the student with the evidence, and an appropriate recommended penalty as outlined in 9.4 Penalties, that assumes the early admission of guilt.
2. Under these circumstances, the following criteria must be met:
 - a) That the student does not dispute the case of Academic Misconduct.
 - b) That there is no additional evidence to be provided by the student.
 - c) That the student is informed of the penalty that would be applied.
 - d) That the student indicates that they do not wish their case to be heard at a formal panel.
3. If all the criteria are met, the agreed penalty can be applied subject to Faculty approval.
4. If the student does not respond within 15 working days, they will be deemed to have accepted the allegation, and the agreed penalty can be applied subject to Faculty approval.
5. If all the criteria are not met, the case must be considered via the standard procedures set out in 9.5 Procedures.

9.6 Appealing an Academic Misconduct Decision

1. An appeal against an Academic Misconduct decision will only be heard if it is based on one or more of the following grounds:
 - i. The decision or panel process was not conducted in accordance with the procedures.
 - ii. Fresh evidence has become available which was not available and could not reasonably be available for consideration during the decision or panel process.
 - iii. The judgement of misconduct was not reasonable given the circumstances of the case.
 - iv. The penalty will have a significant impact on the student given their specific circumstances (this applies to decisions that lead to non-progression or suspension/termination of studies).
2. Students must, within 10 working days of receiving formal notification of the decision, submit via the 'UCL Student Academic Misconduct Procedure - Appeal Form' to the Casework Team, providing all documentation regarding the appeal, any statements they wish to make, and the grounds on which the appeal is being made.

3. No further communications will be accepted for consideration under an appeal after 10 working days of the contested decision being made, unless the student can evidence that the delay was due to significant poor health.
4. Upon receipt of the appeal the Casework Team will approach the student's Department and make a request for documentation relating to the allegation of Academic Misconduct. Once this documentation has been obtained it should be presented to the Chair of the Academic Misconduct Appeals Panel who will review the casefile. The student making the appeal should also receive the documentation obtained from the Department.
5. The Chair of the Academic Misconduct Appeals Panel will determine whether a decision on the appeal can be reached based on the written material. In cases where a decision can be taken, the student will normally be notified within ten UCL working days of the date the Chair received the appeal. The Chair of the Appeals Panel may decide either to uphold the existing penalty or to overturn or modify the penalty in any way the Chair sees fit. The student will be issued with a Completion of Procedures Letter explaining the reasons for the decision.
6. For allegations of Academic Misconduct considered by a Chair of a Board of Examiners or a Module Leader, the Chair of the Appeals Panel may refer an allegation of Academic Misconduct to 9.5.3. of this Procedure, if it is felt that an allegation of Academic Misconduct requires further consideration by a Departmental Panel.
7. Where the appeal is being made against a Departmental Panel decision or Academic Misconduct Panel decision, the Chair of the Appeals Panel may decide to hold an Academic Misconduct Appeals Panel Hearing if further consideration is deemed necessary. The Casework Team will inform the student and make the necessary arrangements for the Academic Misconduct Appeals Panel Hearing to be held as early as possible, and in any case within three calendar months of receipt of the notification of intention to appeal. The student will be notified of the date of the appeal and will be invited to attend, accompanied by a 'friend' (see paragraph 9.5.4.2 above).
8. The constitution of an Appeals Panel should be as follows:
 - i. the Chair of the UCL Education Committee, or their nominee, who should be Chair of the Appeals Panel; and
 - ii. two members of academic staff to be nominated by the Chair of Academic Board; and
 - iii. a Students' Union UCL Sabbatical Officer or nominee.
9. Members of the Appeals Panel must not have been involved in the decision or panel process against whose decision the appeal is made, nor a member of any Department involved, nor have assisted the student in any way with the presentation of their case at any stage.
10. The Chair of the Appeals Panel must be different to the Chair of the original decision or panel process under consideration.
11. The quorum for the Appeals Panel is three including the Chair.
12. The Secretary of the Appeals Panel will be appointed by the Registrar, Student & Registry Services, and must not have acted in any decision or panel process being appealed.
13. The Registrar, Student & Registry Services, may also appoint a representative to act as an observer during the proceedings of the Appeals Panel and to provide the Panel with all relevant information relating to the student's academic position.
14. The Chair of the original Panel or their nominee will also be invited to attend the Appeal hearing as a witness.
15. The Appeals Panel and all other parties should receive a statement of the grounds for appeal and a copy of the Report of the original proceedings and any other evidence relevant to the allegations in question as is considered appropriate.
16. A sound recording will be made by UCL of each hearing for the purpose of providing a factual record in the event of the matter going to further appeal with the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA).
17. An Appeals Panel has the power to reverse or modify the decision appealed in any way that it thinks fit.
18. In reaching its decision an Appeals Panel should take into consideration the evidence provided.

19. The decision of an Appeals Panel must be reached by a majority vote of the members of the Panel and announced as the decision of the Panel.
20. The votes of individual Panel members must always be treated as confidential and there must be no disclosure either of individual votes or of information showing whether the decision was reached by a unanimous or a majority vote.
21. The Panel may adjourn for a period not exceeding seven days for the purpose of deciding upon the appropriate action to be taken upon the appeal.
22. Within 10 working days of the conclusion of the hearing the Appeals Panel should notify the Casework Team, in writing, of the outcome of the appeal. The Casework Team will in turn notify all parties of the decision of the Appeals Panel and the decision will be included in an annual report on its proceedings submitted to UCL Education Committee.
23. A decision of an Appeals Panel will be final as far as internal UCL procedures are concerned.

9.7 Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education

1. When a student has received a Completion of Procedures letter confirming that the internal procedures of UCL have concluded, they have the right to submit a complaint for review to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) if they are dissatisfied with UCL's decision.
2. A student choosing to do this must do so no later than twelve months from the date that a Completion of Procedures letter is issued, using the OIA's Scheme Application form. Students making a complaint to the OIA can seek advice and support from the Students' Union Advice Service.

10 Procedure for Student Fee Debts

It is a condition of the Student Relationship agreement with UCL that all fees are paid on time, and students are expected to arrange prompt payment to meet the required deadlines. Once an outstanding balance falls overdue, the student is deemed to be a debtor and UCL will undertake the appropriate measures for collection, as set out in the procedures below.

Overdue balances that result in debtor status will include, without limitation: tuition fees, accommodation charges, charges for childcare services, library charges, examination and submission fees, student loans and other fines and penalties.

It should be noted that students are individually responsible for the settlement of all charges, including those invoiced to a sponsor, and that the procedures outlined in this guidance will apply equally to all such amounts.

Tuition Fee Debts

Students with a tuition fee debt to UCL will receive a series of e-mail reminders, via their UCL account, informing them of overdue balances and warning of possible future sanctions. Continued non-payment will lead to the withholding of access to selected services and facilities (library, computer access, building access) and may ultimately result in a suspension of registration. Debtor status relating to outstanding tuition fees will also affect a student's ability to re-enrol, graduate or receive any official UCL documentation. Once the balance of overdue tuition fees has been settled in full, a request is made for the sanctions to be lifted.

Students (current and former) are expected to monitor their Portico accounts for details of any tuition fee debts that might arise and to contact the Student Fees office with any queries, concerns or issues at the earliest opportunity.

Non-Tuition Fee Debts

For the avoidance of doubt non-tuition fees include, without limitation: accommodation charges, charges for childcare services, library charges, examination and submission fees, student loans and other fines and penalties.

Academic sanctions (specifically those referred to in the section above) are not applied to non-tuition fee debts.

For such debts, students are expected to liaise with the relevant UCL Department to resolve outstanding issues and may be subject to other proportionate sanctions applied at a local level.

Further Procedures for the Collection of Debt

Ultimately, UCL reserves the right to engage a third party debt collection agency to facilitate recovery of any overdue balances and to pursue a legal claim where it is deemed appropriate.

11 Public Complaints about UCL

1. Persons other than current staff or students of UCL who wish to make a complaint about their experience of UCL should follow the advice below.
2. Anyone who wishes to make a complaint about her/his experience of UCL should try first to resolve the matter informally, in consultation with either the person who has caused the complaint, or with that person's line manager, or with another appropriate person within the area of UCL where the complaint has arisen. If a complaint cannot be resolved informally, the person wishing to make the complaint should put details of the matter causing complaint in writing to the Chief Operating Officer via casework@ucl.ac.uk. In order for a complaint to be considered, these details must normally be received by the Chief Operating Officer no later than one calendar month after the date on which the event causing the complaint occurred. If the form is received any later, the Chief Operating Officer will exercise discretion as to whether or not to investigate the matter.
3. The complainant is invited to indicate, if he/she wishes, the form of remedy which may be sought. While UCL will take such wishes into consideration in the resolution of the issue, this information is, nevertheless, given without prejudice to the final outcome.