**UCL STUDENT AND REGISTRY SERVICES**

Academic Policy and Quality Assurance

**EXTERNAL SCRUTINEER REPORT**

**For use when reviewing**

1. **A new programme proposal OR**
2. **Any major/moderate amendment to an existing programme**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title and Name of External Scrutineer** |  |
| **Institution (higher education or other)** |  |
| **Date** |  |
| **Any known conflict of interest as an independent External Scrutineer for the programme/module with UCL?**  *Please refer to Annex 7.2.5 Criteria for the Appointment of an External Scrutineer for further information.* | **Yes/ No** *(delete as appropriate).* |
| If yes, please provide details: | |
| **Title of proposed or amended programme** |  |

**SECTION A – COMPLETE FOR NEW PROGRAMME PROPOSALS ONLY**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Please provide comments on the proposed programme title and programme aims.**  *Comment on the appropriateness of the programme title and programme aims. Do the aims cover academic development, academic enquiry and preparation for employment, etc.?* |
| **2. Please comment on the programme learning outcomes.**  *Comment on whether or not the learning outcomes are stated at the appropriate level (as detailed in the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications – FHEQ).* |
| **3. Please comment on the appropriateness of the module title, aims and learning outcomes.**  *Please refer to the Module Proposal forms for each module in making your comments.*  *Comment on whether or not these are appropriate to the module level and, where appropriate, to the proposed programme as a whole.* |
| **4. Please comment on the appropriateness of the programme structure in relation to the proposed constituent modules.**  *Comment on whether or not the proposed modules provide a coherent and appropriate profile for the programme as a whole.* |
| **5. Please comment on the appropriateness of the proposed module curriculum.**  *Please refer to the Module Proposal forms for each module in making your comments.*  *Comment on whether or not the proposed curriculum is appropriate for the module and whether it covers the academic areas you would expect of a module with the proposed module title, aims and learning outcomes. Is the proposed reading list appropriate and up to date?* |
| **6. Please comment on the proposed assessment for the programme.**  *Comment on whether or not*   1. *the assessment strategy is appropriate for the level of the qualification and provides an appropriate level of academic challenge for students.* 2. *the proposed assessment is appropriate for the level of the module and credit value of the module.* |
| **7. Please comment on entry and admissions requirements.**  *Comment on whether or not the entry and admissions requirements are appropriate to this level of qualification.* |
| **8. Other comments.**  *Please provide any other comments you wish to make which have not been covered in the above.* |

**SECTION B – COMPLETE FOR MAJOR/MODERATE PROGRAMME AMENDMENTS ONLY**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Please comment on whether or not the proposed programme amendment**   1. **is appropriate and/or required** 2. **maintains the integrity and academic standards of the programme** 3. **improves the student experience** |
| **2. Other comments**  *Please provide any other comments you wish to make which have not been covered in the above.* |

***University College London wishes to thank you for taking time to provide your extremely valuable and important comments as an independent External Scrutineer.***