



## UCL Academic Manual 2018-19

### Chapter 6: Student Casework Framework

## Section 6: Material Irregularity Procedure

1. A Material Irregularity is an administrative or procedural error which has a significant, negative impact on a student's performance at summative assessment. A Material Irregularity may affect one student or a group of students. It does not include disagreement with an academic judgement about the quality of student work submitted for assessment.
2. Where a student has a concern about a Material Irregularity, they *must* follow the procedure set out in [Section 10: Student Complaints Procedure](#).
3. Where a UCL member of staff identifies a potential irregularity in the assessment process, it *must* be investigated to establish whether the circumstances are material, which students have been affected and how UCL will address the irregularity.
4. Where Industrial Action affects assessment, progression, and award, guidance for staff can be found within [Annex 6.3: Managing the Impact of the Industrial Action on Assessment](#).
5. Where the investigation concludes that a material irregularity has occurred, the Faculty Board of Examiners is responsible for determining an appropriate course of action in consultation with [UCL Academic Services](#). This can be done by Chair's Action if necessary.
6. The options open to the Faculty Board of Examiners include:
  - a) Where possible, rectifying or mitigating the irregularity before the assessment takes place, or
  - b) Offering a coursework extension, OR
  - c) Condoning the late submission of coursework, OR
  - d) Adjusting an existing exam paper or assessment (the External Examiner must be informed), OR
  - e) Offering an alternative method of assessment (approved by the External Examiner), OR
  - f) Offering the student another opportunity to take the assessment as if for the first time and without penalty (Deferral), OR
  - g) Exclusion of the affected component/ module from Completion, Progression, Award or Classification decisions, OR
  - h) A combination of the above mitigations.
  - i) Making a recommendation to the Director of [UCL Academic Services](#) on behalf of the Vice-Provost (Education & Student Affairs) for a Suspension of Regulations.
  - j) Where the Programme Board of Examiners has already made a decision on Progression or Award, recommending to the Director of [UCL Academic Services](#) on

behalf of the Vice-Provost (Education & Student Affairs) that the decision is overturned and a new decision is put in its place, in consultation with the relevant External Examiner.

7. In no circumstances should a student be awarded additional marks to compensate for the irregularity.
8. All cases *must* be clearly recorded in the Faculty Board of Examiners minutes and any changes to student results recorded on Portico.