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|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Examiners’ Joint Report for a DPA Candidate** | | | |
| **Student’s Full Name:** |  | | |
| **Student Number:** |  | **Examination for:** | DPA |
| **Thesis Title:** *(Please enter complete thesis title)* |  | | |
| **Date of Viva Examination:** | |  | |
| **Supervisor present?** (If yes, please enter the supervisor’s name in box below) | | | |
| **Yes** | | **No** | |

**Please complete all sections of this report.**

If you have not already submitted your preliminary report(s), please submit them with this signed joint report to [Research Degrees](mailto:researchdegrees@ucl.ac.uk).

These reports will be forwarded by Research Degrees to the candidate, their supervisor and the Faculty Graduate Tutor.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTION A:** Summary of Examination Result | | | |
| **The examiners confirm** that they have examined the thesis submitted by the candidate and have also examined the candidate orally on the subject of the thesis and on subjects relevant to the thesis | | | |
| Yes | No | | |
| **The examiners confirm** that they have satisfied themselves that the candidate, as evidenced by the thesis and the viva, can communicate with the scholarly community about their areas of expertise | | | |
| Yes | No | | |
| **The examiners confirm that the thesis:** | | | |
| is genuinely the work of the candidate: | | Yes | No |
| forms a distinct and significant contribution to knowledge of the subject: | | Yes | No |
| affords evidence of originality:   1. by the discovery of new facts and/or 2. by the exercise of independent critical power | | Yes | No |
| is an integrated whole and presents a coherent argument: | | Yes | No |
| gives a critical assessment of the relevant literature: | | Yes | No |
| gives the method of research and its findings: | | Yes | No |
| gives discussion of those findings and how they advance the study of the subject: | | Yes | No |
| demonstrates deep and synoptic understanding of the field of study, including objectivity, autonomy and the capacity for judgement in a complex situation: | | Yes | No |
| is satisfactory as regards literary presentation: | | Yes | No |
| includes a satisfactory bibliography and references: | | Yes | No |
| demonstrates research skills relevant to the thesis: | | Yes | No |
| is of a standard to merit publication in whole, in part or in revised form: | | Yes | No |

|  |
| --- |
| **SECTION B:** The examiners confirm one of the following outcomes (please tick) |
| **Outcomes if the candidate will meet the required standard for a DPA award:** |
| 1. The candidate has met the criteria for a **DPA** without the need for corrections and **can be awarded the DPA** |
|  |
| 1. The candidate **is required** to make **specified amendments to the examiners’ satisfaction within three months** |
| 1. The examiners confirm that the candidate has been provided with **a list of minor amendments or an annotated thesis** either immediately after the oral or within two weeks of the oral examination and has been asked to **send the amended thesis for confirmation to the person nominated to check the corrections:**   **OR**   1. The candidate has **already** made the minor amendments required to the satisfaction of **designated checker:** |
| If outcome **(i)** has been selected, please nominate an individual to check the amendments. The person nominated should confirm these have been made satisfactorily by email to [Research Degrees](mailto:researchdegrees@ucl.ac.uk) |
| Name of corrections checker: |
| Email address: |
|  |
| 1. The candidate must **re-enter** for the examination and **resubmit the thesis** **in a revised form** **within a period not exceeding eighteen months.** (The examiners may require a further viva examination)   NB If examining a resubmitted thesis, this result is not applicable  Further viva examination required:  **Yes**  **No  To be confirmed** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Outcomes if candidate does NOT meet required standard for a DPA award:** |
| 1. Thecandidate has met the criteria as outlined in the regulations and guidelines for examiners and can be **awarded the degree of MRes** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The candidate has **not fulfilled the requirements for an DPA or MRes.** The candidate may not re-enter this thesis for examination. |

|  |
| --- |
| **SECTION C:** Examiners’ Joint Report of the Viva |
| This section should be used to provide your opinion of the thesis and the candidate’s performance in the viva.  Please use this section to list any minor corrections or major revisions required. |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SECTION D: Examiners’ Signature Confirming Result of the Examination | |
| **Declaration:** We confirm this is the joint examiners’ report for the candidate named above. | |
|  | |
| **Signed:** |  |
| Please print name: |  |
| Date: |  |
|  |  |
| **Signed:** |  |
| Please print name: |  |
| Date: |  |
|  |  |
| **Signed: \*** |  |
| Please print name: |  |
| Date: |  |
| ( \* Only for use in the exceptional cases when UCL has approved the appointment of a third examiner) | |

If electronic signatures are to be used, these must be the image of a hand written signature. **We cannot accept a typed name in place of a signature.**