

1 Compositional Semantics

- In PLIN2001 Semantic Theory, we developed a **compositional semantics** for (a fragment of) English. The lecture notes are available here: <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/~ucjtudo/ST.html>.
- We focus on **truth-conditional meaning** (meaning pertinent to truth-conditions).
- In order to account for the fact that native speakers have truth-conditional intuitions about infinitely many grammatical sentences, we assume that natural languages obey the **(Local) Compositionality Principle**.

The (Local) Compositionality Principle

The meaning of a syntactically complex phrase A is determined solely by the meaning of its immediate daughter constituents.

(‘Meaning’ here means truth-conditional meaning)

- We adopt **Frege’s Conjecture** and assume that the primary mode of semantic composition is **function application**: when two meanings combine, one is a function and the other one is its argument. The resulting meaning is the value the function returns for that argument.

2 Model Theory

- We formalize the above idea in **model-theoretic semantics**.
- Every grammatical expression (a single word or a phrase) in English is assigned a model-theoretic object as its **denotation** relative to a **model**.
 - A model M is a mathematical structure that models a particular state of affairs.
 - Formally, M is a pair $\langle D, I \rangle$, where D is a non-empty set of individuals/entities and I is a function that assigns meanings to constants.
 - We write $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^M$ to mean the denotation of a (grammatical) expression α relative to model M .
- Every denotation is one of three kinds:
 - Individuals/Entities
 - Truth-values (0 or 1)
 - Functions
- We use **semantic types** to label different kinds of semantic objects:
 - (1) *Semantic types*
 - a. e is a type.
 - b. t is a type.
 - c. If σ and τ are both types, $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ is also a type.
 - d. Nothing else is a type.
 - (2) *Domains*
 - a. D_e is the set of individuals, D .
 - b. D_t is the set of truth-values, $\{0, 1\}$.

c. $D_{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}$ is the set of functions whose domain is D_σ and whose range is D_τ .

(We sometimes write et for $\langle e, t \rangle$)

- Our semantic theory has two components:
 - **Lexicon**: list of denotations of syntactically simple expressions (alt.: atomic expressions)
 - **Compositional Rules**: rules for how to combine meanings

3 Lexicon

In PLIN2001 Semantic Theory, we covered the following items.

- Proper names denote entities (which are of type e and members of D).
- Sentences denote truth-values (which are of type t and either 0 or 1).
- Intransitive predicates denote functions of type $\langle e, t \rangle$.

(3) For any model M ,

- $\llbracket \text{smokes} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } x \text{ smokes in } M]$
- $\llbracket \text{linguist} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } x \text{ is a linguist in } M]$
- $\llbracket \text{British} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } x \text{ is British in } M]$

- Transitive predicates denote functions of type $\langle e, \langle e, t \rangle \rangle$.

(4) For any model M ,

- $\llbracket \text{likes} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. [\lambda y \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } y \text{ likes } x \text{ in } M]]$
- $\llbracket \text{part} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. [\lambda y \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } y \text{ is part of } x \text{ in } M]]$
- $\llbracket \text{fond} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. [\lambda y \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } y \text{ is fond of } x \text{ in } M]]$
- $\llbracket \text{from} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. [\lambda y \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } y \text{ is from } x \text{ in } M]]$

- Semantically vacuous items denote identity functions.

(5) For any model M ,

- $\llbracket a_{\text{predicative}} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda P \in D_{\langle e, t \rangle}. P]$
- $\llbracket \text{is} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda P \in D_{\langle e, t \rangle}. P]$
- $\llbracket \text{of} \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. x]$

- If you do not remember what functions are or how the lambda notation for functions works, read the following lecture notes:

- [Functions](#)
- [More on functions](#)
- [Lambda notation](#)

4 Compositional Rules

- These meanings combine via various **compositional rules**.
- For branching structures, we have three compositional rules:

Functional Application (FA)

For any model M , if A is a branching node whose immediate daughter constituents are B and C such that $\llbracket C \rrbracket^M \in \text{dom}(\llbracket B \rrbracket^M)$, then $\llbracket A \rrbracket^M = \llbracket B \rrbracket^M(\llbracket C \rrbracket^M)$.

Predicate Modification (PM)

For any model M , if A is a branching node with children B and C such that $\llbracket B \rrbracket^M$ and $\llbracket C \rrbracket^M$ are both of type $\langle e, t \rangle$, then $\llbracket A \rrbracket^M = [\lambda x \in D_e. \llbracket B \rrbracket^M(x) = \llbracket C \rrbracket^M(x) = 1]$.

Non-Branching Node Rule (NB)

For any model M , $\llbracket \begin{array}{c} A \\ | \\ B \end{array} \rrbracket^M = \llbracket B \rrbracket^M$.

- E.g. suppose $\llbracket \text{Cate} \rrbracket^{M_2} = c$ and $\llbracket \text{Denis} \rrbracket^{M_2} = d$:

$$\llbracket \begin{array}{c} S \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \text{Cate} \quad \text{VP} \\ \quad \quad / \quad \backslash \\ \quad \quad \text{saw} \quad \text{Denis} \end{array} \rrbracket^{M_2} = \llbracket \begin{array}{c} \text{VP} \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \text{saw} \quad \text{Denis} \end{array} \rrbracket^{M_2} \left(\llbracket \text{Cate} \rrbracket^{M_2} \right) \quad (\text{BNR})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \llbracket \begin{array}{c} \text{VP} \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \text{saw} \quad \text{Denis} \end{array} \rrbracket^{M_2} (c) && (\text{BNR}) \\ &= \llbracket \text{saw} \rrbracket^{M_2} (\llbracket \text{Denis} \rrbracket^{M_2} (c)) \\ &= \llbracket \text{saw} \rrbracket^{M_2} (d)(c) \\ &= [\lambda x \in D_e. [\lambda y \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } y \text{ saw } x \text{ in } M_2]](d)(c) \\ &= [\lambda y \in D_e. 1 \text{ iff } y \text{ saw } d \text{ in } M_2](c) && (\lambda\text{-conv.}) \\ &= 1 \text{ iff } c \text{ saw } d \text{ in } M_2 && (\lambda\text{-conv.}) \end{aligned}$$