## ON THE SIMPLEX AND INTERIOR POINT APPROACHES TO MULTI-OBJECTIVE LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Paschal Bisong Nyiam Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Essex, United Kingdom

Abdellah Salhi Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Essex, United Kingdom

## Abstract

In the last four to five decades a number of algorithms have been suggested for Multiple Objective Linear Programming (MOLP). Most are based on the simplex algorithm and interior-point methods for Linear Programming. However, objective space based methods are becoming more and more prominent. This paper investigates three algorithms namely the Multiobjective Simplex Algorithm (MSA), Arbel's Affine Scaling Interior-point (ASIMOLP) algorithm and Benson's objective space Outer Approximation (BOA) algorithm. Numerical results on non-trivial MOLP problems show that MSA works only on small scale problems. BOA, on the other hand, is superior in terms of the quality of the solutions it returns to both MSA and ASIMOLP, while ASIMOLP more than holds its own in terms of computing efficiency. Matlab implementations of these algorithms and the experimental results will be explained and discussed.

**Keywords**: Multiple Objective Linear Programming, Arbel's affine scaling interior MOLP algorithm, Benson's outer approximation algorithm.

Algorithms				MSA		ASIMOLP		BOA	
Prob.	m	n	k	Most preferred		Most preferred	-	Most preferred	
				Solutions	CPU time (s)	Solutions	CPU time (s)	Solutions	CPU time (s)
1	4	2	2	f1 = 12	0.028	f1 = 4.86	0.035	f1 = 12	0.127
				f2 = -9		f2 = -6.60		f2 = -9	
2	6	3	3	f1 = -2	0.046	f1 = -1.75	0.037	f1 = -2	0.143
				f2 = 10		f2 = 5.56		f2 = 10	
				f3 = -5		f3 = -2.76		f3 = -5	
3	8	4	3	f1 = -42.50	0.244	f1 = -28.64	0.076	f1 = -42.50	0.190
				f2 = -42.50		f2 = -26.45		f2 = -42.50	
				f3 = -10		f3 = -48.81		f3 = -10	
4	15	10	3	f1 = -51.37	0.388	f1 = 33.65	0.187	f1 = -51.37	0.239
				f2 = -357.40		f2 = -285.72		f2 = -357.40	
				f3 = -313.84		f3 = -383.71		f3 = -313.84	
5	25	15	3	f1 = -160.86	91.450	f1 = -107.15	0.211	f1 = -363.82	0.586
				f2 = -114.00		f2 = -169.94		f2 = -33.70	
				f3 = -188.83		f3 = -166.26		f3 = -136.71	
6	30	15	3	f1 = -360.62	17.225	f1 = -150.44	0.357	f1 = -362.78	0.619
				f2 = -33.70		f2 = -203.65		f2 = -32.75	
				f3 = -136.71		f3 = -295.21		f3 = -138.88	
7	35	15	3		forcefully	f1 = -354.93	0.896	f1 = -363.82	1.051
					terminated	f2 = -220.10		f2 = -47.30	
					after 7days	f3 = -269.35		f3 = -136.71	
8	40	20	3		forcefully	f1 = -52.86	0.257	f1 = -101.54	0.727
					terminated	f2 = -62.94		f2 = -61.59	
					after 7days	f3 = -40.83		f3 = -7.99	
9	50	30	3		forcefully	f1 = -93.29	0.305	f1 = -134.00	1.001
					terminated	f2 = -114.10		f2 = -89.28	
					after 7days	f3 = -49.79		f3 = -14.01	
10	60	40	3		forcefully	f1 = -159.59	0.339	f1 = -248.59	1.557
					terminated	f2 = -114.21		f2 = -98.70	
					after 7days	f3 = -101.03		f3 = -37.65	
11	65	45	3		forcefully	f1 = 135.45	0.546	f1 = -169.34	2.000
					terminated	f2 = -118.84		f2 = -128.37	
					after 7days	f3 = -75.78		f3 = -70.97	
12	70	50	3		forcefully	f1 = -265.42	0.763	f1 = -414.48	2.825
					terminated	f2 = -192.32		f2 = -206.73	
					after 7days	f3 = -181.14		f3 = -85.49	
13	75	55	3		forcefully	f1 = -232.17	2.450	f1 = -274.86	12.631
					terminated	f2 = -241.12		f2 = -226.87	
					after 7days	f3 = -412.42		f3 = -515.13	
14	80	60	3		forcefully	f1 = -217.55	2.616	f1 = -45.47	7.540
					terminated	f2 = -375.40		f2 = -290.74	
					after 7days	f3 = -624.05		f3 = -757.53	

Table 1: Computational results for individual problem

As we can see from Table 1, the CPU times for all algorithms increase from problem 1 down to problem 14 as the problem size increases. We notice that from problem 7 to 14, the MSA could not produce result even after running for 7 days, it was forcefully terminated. Looking at the quality of solutions returned for all problems, and considering that we are solving minimization problems, we observed that BOA is superior to both ASIMOLP and MSA in terms of the quality of solution it returns while ASIMOLP outperforms the simplex and objective space algorithms in terms of computing efficiency.

## Some relevant references

- Ami Arbel. A weighted-gradient approach to multiobjective linear programming problems using the analytic hierarchy process. Mathematical and computer modelling, 17(4):27-39, 1993.
- 2. Andreas Lohne. Vector optimization with infimum and supremum. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- Harold P Benson. An outer approximation algorithm for generating all efficient extreme points in the outcome set of a multiple objective linear programming problem. Journal of Global Optimization, 13(1):1-24, 1998.
- 4. J Po Evans and RE Steuer. A revised simplex method for linear multiple objective programs. Mathematical Programming, 5(1):54-72, 1973.
- 5. Matthias Ehrgott. Multicriteria optimization. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.