

1. Certificates are: (i) subsets of A and (ii) paths.
2. Suppose $L_i \in NP$ and can be decided by the TM M_i in time p_i where p_i is a polynomial for $i = 1, 2$.

We give a new TM as follows. Given input x and certificates of the form (C_1, C_2) we first run M_1 on the input ignoring C_1 and then run M_2 on it ignoring C_1 . For ‘ \cup ’ we need that one of the machines accepts, for ‘ \cap ’ we need that both do for our new TM to accept. The machine runs in $p_1 + p_2$ steps, which is still polynomial.

3. The problem is in NP because the accepting computation is a certificate. In order to show it is complete we have to show that if $L \in NP$ we have $L \leq_p BH$.

Let $L \in NP$, M be a Turing machine for L and p a polynomial. Now consider the function $f(x) = (M, x, 1^{p(|x|)})$. Then f is computable in polynomial time because M is independent of x , x can be written in time $|x|$ and the string of 1s in time $O(p(|x|))$.

Also, $x \in L$ if and only if there is a certificate $y \in \Sigma^*$ such that M accepts the input (x, y) in time $p(|x|)$. But by the definition of BH this is true if and only if $(M, x, 1^{p(|z|)}) \in BH$. So $x \in L$ if and only if $f(x) \in BH$.

4. Let $\phi = \bigwedge_i \bigvee_j l_{ij}$ be in DNF and for each i set $C_i = \bigvee_j l_{ij}$. Now ϕ is satisfiable iff (at least) one C_i is satisfiable. But each C_i is satisfiable iff it does not contain literals l and l' with $l' = \neg l$. For any clause C_i , this can be checked in polynomial time. To check satisfiability of ϕ , it is hence enough to check satisfiability of the C_i separately. This can be done in polynomial time.

(Explanation of the difference with CNF: Since we know that SAT is NP -complete and we don't know whether $P = NP$ this shows us that we do not have a polynomial time algorithm to convert between CNF and DNF.)