Evidence for an apartheid-like social structure in early Anglo-Saxon England
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The role of migration in the Anglo-Saxon transition in England remains controversial. Archaeological and historical evidence is inconclusive, but current estimates of the contribution of migrants to the English population range from less than 10 000 to as many as 200 000. In contrast, recent studies based on Y-chromosome variation posit a considerably higher contribution to the modern English gene pool (50–100%). Historical evidence suggests that following the Anglo-Saxon transition, people of indigenous ethnicity were at an economic and legal disadvantage compared to those having Anglo-Saxon ethnicity. It is likely that such a disadvantage would lead to differential reproductive success. We examine the effect of differential reproductive success, coupled with limited intermarriage between distinct ethnic groups, on the spread of genetic variants. Computer simulations indicate that a social structure limiting intermarriage between indigenous Britons and an initially small Anglo-Saxon immigrant population provide a plausible explanation of the high degree of Continental male-line ancestry in England.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The traditional model of the Anglo-Saxon immigration into fifth-century Britain was based on scanty written sources (Gildas, Bede, Anglo-Saxon Chronicle) and envisaged mass ‘invasion’ from the Continent and large-scale replacement of the natives (Stenton 1947). This model, with minor variations, remained largely undisputed until the late 1980s (Myres 1985). At that time, many historians and archaeologists began to favour the elite replacement model: the immigration of a small elite, which achieved military, political and social ascendancy (Hodges 1989; Higham 1992). Within a decade or so, this had become the majority opinion among Anglo-Saxonist scholars (Hills 2003), with a critical discussion of the new model only emerging in the late 1990s (Härke 1998, 2002). More recently, studies of Y-chromosome variation have indicated substantial migration of Anglo-Saxon men into Central England. Weale et al. (2002) found a striking similarity in the distribution of Y-chromosome haplotypes in Central England and Friesland, but a dissimilarity between Central England and North Wales. Using population-genetic models that incorporated both continuous gene flow and mass migration, they concluded that their data is best explained by a massive migration of Anglo-Saxon men into Central England, but not into North Wales, contributing 50–100% to the male population at that time. Capelli et al. (2003) examined the distribution of Y-chromosomes throughout the British Isles as well as in southern Denmark, northern Germany and Norway. Using an likelihood-based admixture approach (Chikhi et al. 2001) they found a more heterogeneous pattern of Continental input into the
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(Garnett 1985), and intermarriage, where it happened, was predominantly unidirectional, i.e. Norman men marrying English women. In Anglo-Saxon England, elements of an apartheid-like society can also be perceived in a Wessex law code of the seventh century which distinguishes clearly between Saxons and ‘Welsh’ (Britons) and gives the former a significantly higher legal status, some two centuries after the initial immigration (Whitelock 1979). Archaeological and skeletal data (Härke 1990, 1992), as well as textual evidence (Woolf 2004), have been used to suggest a situation of limited intermarriage between immigrant Anglo-Saxons and native Britons until the seventh century when this distinction began to break down.

Here we perform computer simulations to examine the rate of increase in overall frequency of chromosomes that are found initially only among the advantaged group, in the period leading up to genetic homogenization. The use of theoretical models enables us to formulate hypotheses and follow them through rigorously to see if the outcome of a model is commensurate with the observed data. While such models are necessarily simpler than reality, they differ from the popular ‘verbal’ models/hypotheses referred to above in the consistent and logical manner in which assumptions can be tested.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

We apply a model that assumes two distinct ethnic groups (A and B). We let \( N_A \) be the total population size and \( N_B \) and \( N_S \) be the population sizes of the respective ethnic groups. We let \( S \) be the additional reproductive advantage of belonging to the economically privileged ethnic group per generation, such that the ratio of reproductive success in A and B are \( 1 + S \) and 1, respectively. We let \( D \) be the proportion of individuals in A that are available to move to a less reproductively advantaged ethnic category per generation, and we let \( U \) be the proportion of individuals in B that are available to move to a reproductively advantaged ethnic group, per generation.

The total number of individuals that move from one ethnic group to another per generation is picked randomly from a binomial distribution, \( \text{Binomial}(n, p) \), where the number of trials, \( n \), is equal to the number of individuals in the losing ethnic group, and the probability \( p \) is given by either \( U \) or \( D \) scaled by the proportion of individuals in the total population that belong to the gaining ethnic group. Finally, we reset \( N_A \) and \( N_B \) to sum to \( N_T \) by picking from a random multinomial with probabilities \( (1+S)/N_A \) and \( N_B \). Note that it is possible to specify \( N_T \) for all times \( T \) and we can thus examine the effect of variable population size on the genetic/social makeup of the mixed population. The chromosomes (one per individual) are ‘painted’ either ‘incoming’ or ‘indigenous’ at the start of simulations such that all chromosomes in A are ‘incoming’ and all chromosomes in B are ‘indigenous’. The initial size of A is given by \( N_A p \) (where \( p \) represents the proportion of the total population that is made up of incomers that establish the privileged ethnic group, A). The distribution of chromosome types in each ethnic group does not contribute to the reproductive advantage of that group.

Simulations are run over a range of values of \( U, D, S \) (in most simulations \( U = D \) and \( S \) were set to be equal as we consider this to be the most parsimonious assumption). We tested values of \( p = 0.05, 0.1 \) and 0.2. Note that these are considerably smaller and in better agreement with known historical and archaeological information than the estimates obtained from the analysis of Y-chromosome data (Weale et al. 2002; Capelli et al. 2003).

All simulations are carried out using the statistical package ‘R’ (see http://www.R-project.org/). Simulation code is available from the authors.

3. RESULTS

Initially we explored the effects of different combinations of selective advantage and intermarriage rate \( (U=D; \) see §2) on the proportion of ‘incoming’ Y-chromosomes in the total population after 15 generations. Values for the proportion of individuals available to marry out of their ethnic group ranged from 0 to 10% per generation in steps of 0.1%. Values of selective advantage ranged from 1 to 2 per generation in steps of 0.01. Figure 1 shows the results assuming the population was made up of (a) 5%; (b) 10% and (c) 20% immigrants immediately following migration. Next we examined the rate of increase in the proportion of ‘incoming’ Y-chromosomes under different values of selective advantage and intermarriage \( (U=D; \) see §2), starting with 10% immigrants immediately following migration. Figure 2 shows this increase assuming selective advantage values of (a) 1.2, (b) 1.5 and (c) 1.8. For each
From figure 1 it can be seen that under a range of plausible parameter values (figure 2), the proportion of Y-chromosomes that are 'Anglo-Saxon' increases rapidly following migration (figure 3).

**4. DISCUSSION**

From figure 1 it can be seen that under a range of plausible combinations of selective advantage and intermarriage rate (see below), the proportion of ‘immigrant’ Y-chromosomes rises from 20% or less immediately following migration to greater than 50% in 15 generations. We consider 50% to be a conservative estimate of the proportion of Y-chromosomes in the present-day English gene pool that originate among Anglo-Saxon migrants in the fifth century (Weale et al. 2002; Capelli et al. 2003). Fifteen generations marks the upper limit for the duration of an Anglo-Saxon/British apartheid-like social structure since, by assuming an intergenerational time of between 25 and 30 years, this is the approximate time span between the initial immigration in the middle of the fifth century and the laws of Alfred the Great (issued around AD 890), which do not contain any indications of legal status differences between Britons and Anglo-Saxons (Whitelock 1979). Although others have reported a male-specific intergeneration time of around 35 years (Tremblay & Vezina 2000), this estimate is based on genealogical records from a rapidly growing population between the seventeenth and the twentieth centuries. We reason that in Anglo-Saxon England, life expectancy, and as a consequence, intergenerational time would be shorter. However, 15 generations cannot be considered as a conservative estimate of the duration of such an apartheid-like social structure. For this reason we also examined the rate of increase in the proportion of ‘immigrant’ Y-chromosomes over time under a range of parameter values (figure 2). The proportion of ‘immigrant’ Y-chromosomes rises rapidly under a range of parameter values (figure 2), starting with 10% immigrants immediately following migration. Selective advantage values of 1.2, 1.5 and 1.8 were modelled. For each value of selective advantage, values of intermarriage of 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08 and 0.10 were used, as indicated above lines.

Given a conservative estimate of 50% for the proportion of Y-chromosomes in central England that originate among Anglo-Saxon migrants (Weale et al. 2002; Capelli et al. 2003), we next explored the effects of different combinations of selective advantage and intermarriage (U = D, see §2) on the number of generations that would be required to reach 50% ‘incoming’ Y-chromosomes, assuming the population was made up of (a) 5%, (b) 10% and (c) 20% immigrants immediately following migration (figure 3).

Finally, we examined the change through time in the proportion of individuals belonging to the ‘Anglo-Saxon’ ethnic group assuming a selective advantage of (a) 1.2; (b) 1.5 and (c) 1.8 to being Anglo-Saxon (figure 4). This rate is unaffected by the intermarriage rate given that U = D, but is strongly affected by the selection parameter.
illustrate some of the effects of differential reproductive migration is a highly influential parameter here. It is evident that the initial size of the population, as well as the reproductive parameter and the intermarriage rate, significantly affects the proportion of ‘immigrant’ Y-chromosomes in the whole population. Comparing the number of generations required to reach 50% ‘incoming’ individuals belonging to the ‘Anglo-Saxon’ ethnic group assuming a selective advantage of (a) 1.2, (b) 1.5 and (c) 1.8 to being Anglo-Saxon.

at first then levels off to its ceiling value. It is notable that the time taken to reach a near-ceiling value is largely determined by the reproductive parameter and is unaffected by the intermarriage rate, although the ceiling value itself is strongly affected by the by the intermarriage rate. Most importantly, the proportion of ‘immigrant’ Y-chromosomes can rise from 10% to in excess of 50% in considerably fewer than 15 generations under plausible parameter values. This is best illustrated in figure 3, where we have explored the effects of different parameter values on the number of generations required to reach 50% ‘incoming’ Y-chromosomes in the whole population. Comparing figure 3a–c (representing 5, 10 and 20% Anglo-Saxon migration, respectively), it is evident that the initial size of the migration is a highly influential parameter here.

The model we present is necessarily simple but serves to illustrate some of the effects of differential reproductive success among groups, with a degree of reproductive isolation, on patterns genetic variation (Woolf 2004). We have only presented the results of simulations assuming symmetric intermarriage rates ($U=D$, see §2). We also tested asymmetric intermarriage rates whereby we multiplied $U$ by 0.5 and $D$ by 1.5 or vice versa and obtained very similar results to those presented (data not shown; figures available from the authors).

We reason that an apartheid-like social structure is a likely outcome of the Anglo-Saxon immigration into post-Roman Britain on theoretical and evidential grounds. The theoretical argument derives from the migration context and the relative sizes of the two groups. The immigration led to an encounter of two blocks of different ethnic groups with different, mutually incomprehensible languages (Celtic and some Latin on the part of the natives, Germanic languages on the part of the immigrants) and probably rather different degrees of social complexity and military mobilization (the native population having been largely unarmed and untrained in Roman times). At the same time, the natives are likely to have been in the majority: current population estimates for late third-century Roman Britain are as high as 3.7 million (Millett 1990), and allowing for a population decline in the fourth and early fifth century, one would still have to assume a native population in the region of 2 million. By contrast, estimates for migrating populations in the early middle ages are between tens and low hundreds of thousands; for example, Heather (1991) has estimated that the Ostrogoths moving from the Balkans into Italy in the late fifth century numbered more than 100 000. As a consequence, the minority immigrants faced the danger of losing their identity and their political and military control by assimilating in the much larger autochthonous population. The imposition of an apartheid-like social structure is one of the strategies open to a dominant ethnic minority, and it is one which is historically and ethnographically documented under such conditions (Thurnwald 1931).

The evidential argument rests on a textual source and some skeletal evidence. The laws of Ine, the late seventh-century ruler of the Anglo-Saxon kingdom of Wessex, distinguish clearly between Saxons and ‘Welsh’ (native Britons) and accord them different legal status even though the laws imply that the two groups live in close proximity, and often under the same roof (Whitelock 1979). Such a distinction is unlikely to have arisen in the seventh century, two centuries after the initial contact. It is much more likely to have originated in the immigration situation of the fifth and early sixth centuries. On the other hand, this ethnic distinction of two intermingling populations and its formalization in law cannot have survived for such a long period without some mechanism that perpetuated the distinction. Physical segregation could have this effect, but this is not what the laws of Ine imply; therefore an apartheid-like social structure seems to be the most obvious mechanism.

Skeletal evidence for the existence of two populations that are to an extent reproductively isolated is more circumstantial, and rests on the stature differential between men buried with, and those buried without weapons in cemeteries of Anglo-Saxon England. Men with weapons (47% of male adults) have been suggested, on a number of archaeological and skeletal indicators, to be immigrants and descendants of immigrants, while men without weapons (53%) are a more disparate group that are to an extent reproductively isolated is more obvious mechanism.

Figure 4. Change through time in the proportion of individuals belonging to the ‘Anglo-Saxon’ ethnic group assuming a selective advantage of (a) 1.2; (b) 1.5 and (c) 1.8 to being Anglo-Saxon.
that the breakdown of the differential is the consequence of increasing intermarriage.

An apartheid-like social structure may be a more widespread phenomenon in the conquest societies of post-Roman western and southern Europe. Thus, the Visigothic king Euric (AD 466–484) banned intermarriage between his followers and the natives in southern France and Spain (King 1972). And some 600 years later, the eleventh-century Norman conquerors of England created a legal framework for a society in which the native English and Welsh had inferior legal status and in which intermarriage, where it happened at all, involved Norman men marrying English women (Garnett 1985). But here, as in other early historical cases of ethnic isolation in conquest societies, the actual rates of intermarriage are impossible to determine from the surviving documentary evidence. Some data does exist on intermarriage among distinct ethnic groups. For example, the modern rate among the major ethnic groups on Mauritius has been estimated to be ca 6.6% (Nave 2000). However, eighteenth- to twentieth-century demographic data demonstrate a consistently low marriage rate across the linguistic and cultural divide created by the eleventh century settlement of Flemish immigrants in southern Pembrokeshire by the Normans; in the twentieth century this rate was around 4% of all marriages (Woolley 1986). It is likely that pre-modern rates were even lower.

In all historical cases of conquest societies, the politically and militarily dominant ethnic group is known, or can be assumed, to have had a substantial social and economic advantage, but the quantification of this advantage is difficult. In the Anglo-Saxon case, the best evidence may be found in the rates of wergild in seventh century laws. Wergild is the ‘blood money’ payable to the family of any victim of killing in order to prevent a blood feud; this is graded according to the social and ethnic status of the victim. The late seventh century laws of King Ine of Wessex, which differentiate between natives and Saxons, stipulate wergild for the latter which is between two and five times the money payable for a ‘Welshman’ (native Briton) of comparable status (Whitelock 1979). The early seventh century laws of King Ethelbert of Kent mention a distinct social group, the lēot, who have been suggested to be native Britons (Whitelock 1979); their wergild is consistently lower than that payable for a free man, which is between 1.25 and 2.5 times that of the blood money for a lēot (Whitelock 1979). Similar wergild differences between immigrants and natives are found elsewhere in early medieval Europe, for example in the Frankish kingdom (Ward-Perkins 2005).

An additional, but less reliable type of evidence may be the relative wealth expressed in the number of grave-goods. Adult men buried with weapons, suggested to be Germanic immigrants or their descendants (cf. above), have an average of four artefacts in their graves while men buried without weapons have an average of 2.3 artefacts (not counting male graves without any finds; Härke 1990, 1992). This is strongly suggestive of a wealth differential between these two groups, and thus of a marked economic advantage of the immigrant group. However, the burial rite itself may have affected the deposition of artefacts in graves; and the fact that some of the artefacts (the weapons) have been used in the identification of ethnic affiliations (cf. above) means that their further use here to identify an economic differential between Britons and Anglo-Saxons may be close to a circular argument. It is, however, noteworthy that the wealth differential between the two groups is within the bracket of wergild differentials between Saxons and Britons found in the laws.

There is a considerable literature on a positive correlation between wealth and reproductive success, based both on empirical evidence (Hull & Hull 1977; Boone 1986; Hughes 1986; Mulder 1987; Mace 1996) and evolutionary theory (Fisher 1958; Beauchamp 1994; Mace 1998). In modern Gabbra pastoralists in Kenya, residual fertility (a measure of the number of children a person has had relative to others in that population of the same age and sex) was found to correlate significantly with wealth: from ca −0.5 for the poorest to ca 1 for the wealthiest. Furthermore, this differential is greater in men than in women (Mace 1996). A similar correlation has been seen late Medieval–early Modern Portugal. A significant, approximate twofold difference in reproductive performance was seen between the highest and lowest status males, whereas no significant difference was seen among women grouped by natal status (Boone 1986).

We have only considered the effects of differences in ethnic reproductive advantage and inter-ethnic marriage rate on patterns of genetic variation. If there were no sex bias in the intermarriage rate, then we would expect these effects to be equal for the different genetic systems (mitochondrial DNA, Y-chromosome, X-chromosome, autosomes). However, part of the motivation for this study was to seek an explanation for the discrepancy between archaeological estimates of the size of the Anglo-Saxon migration (Härke 1998, 2002; Hills 2003) and estimates based on Y-chromosome data (Weale et al. 2002; Capelli et al. 2003). There are three further factors that could exacerbate replacement of indigenous Y-chromosomes. The first is that when intermarriage does occur the offspring may be more likely to assume the identity of the father, thus reducing the effective intermarriage rate, as it would affect patterns of Y-chromosome diversity. The second is that forced extra-marital matings are more likely to occur between Anglo-Saxon men and native British women than the reverse since, as the law codes of Ine indicate, the degree of punishment was determined by the social status of the victim. The third is based on the theory that relatively ‘good condition’ males tend to out-reproduce females of a similar condition, whereas relatively ‘poor condition’ females tend to out-reproduce their male counterparts (Trivers & Willard 1973). From this, a strategy of sex-biased parental investment, whereby relatively wealthy parents favour wealth transfer to their sons, should emerge (Hartung 1976). Such a phenomenon is supported by genealogical data (Boone 1986) and should lead to an asymmetric increase in the population frequency of Y-chromosomes carried by wealthy men, when compared to the other genetic systems.

The motivation for this study was to reconcile the discrepancy between, on the one hand, archaeological and historical ideas about the scale of the Anglo-Saxon immigration (Hills 2003), and on the other, estimates of the genetic contribution of the Anglo-Saxon immigrants to the modern English gene pool (Weale et al. 2002; Capelli et al. 2003). We have shown that this discrepancy can be resolved by the assumption of an apartheid-like social structure within a range of plausible values for
interethnic marriage and socially driven reproductive advantage following immigration (Woolf 2004). Perhaps most strikingly, our model indicates that, by using plausible parameter values, the genetic contribution of an immigrant population can rise from less than 10% to more than 50% in as little as five generations, and certainly less than fifteen generations. Similar processes are likely to have shaped patterns of genetic variation in other ‘contact societies’ of the period, and perhaps more recently (Carvajal-Carmona et al. 2000). The social structures described here may have been of wider significance in processes of language replacement and the interactions of hunter-gatherers and early farmers. This is of particular relevance in cases where genetic data indicate a demographic expansion of farmers, such as the Bantu (Passarino et al. 1998; Scozzari et al. 1999; Thomas et al. 2000; Cruciani et al. 2002; Salas et al. 2002; Luis et al. 2004; Beleza et al. 2005) and Austronesian expansions (Melton et al. 1998; Hagle berg et al. 1999; Hurles et al. 2002; Lum et al. 2002). Future work might include incorporating the model presented here into larger, demic models in order to improve our understanding of the process of colonization in geographic space (Currat & Excoffier 2005).
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