Electron spin ensemble strongly coupled to a three-dimensional microwave cavity
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We demonstrate the strong coupling between an electron spin ensemble and a three-dimensional cavity in a reflection geometry. We also find that an anticrossing in the cavity/spin spectrum can be observed under conditions that the collective coupling strength $g_c$ is smaller than the spin linewidth $\gamma_s$ or the cavity linewidth. We identify a ratio of $g_c$ to $\gamma_s$ ($g_c/\gamma_s > 0.64$) as a condition to observe a splitting in the cavity frequency. Finally, we confirm that $g_c$ scales with $\sqrt{N}$, where $N$ is the number of polarized spins. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3601930]

When two oscillators couple strongly, their otherwise degenerate modes repel each other to develop an anticrossing in the spectrum. A canonical example is the interaction between a single photon and a single atom, and this phenomenon is called the vacuum Rabi splitting. The strong light-matter coupling has been a central subject in cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED), and has attracted increasing attention as a means to coherently transfer information between a flying (photonic) and stationary (atomic) qubits in the field of quantum information processing. So far, the strong coupling regime has been realized in various systems. Even when the cavity-atom coupling strength $g_c$ is small, as in the case of natural spins, the interaction can be collectively enhanced, by utilizing an ensemble of $N$ identical two-level systems, to replace $g_c$ with a new parameter $g_c = g_s \sqrt{N}$. More recently, the strong coupling between an electron spin ensemble and a superconducting coplanar waveguide resonator has been demonstrated for impurity spins in ruby and diamond. A rough estimation of $g_c$, in the spin system is given as $g_c = m_0 \mu_0 \omega_c / 2 h V_c$, where $m_0$ is the magnetic dipole moment of the spin, $\omega_c$ is the cavity frequency, and $V_c$ is the cavity mode volume. There, the small $V_c$ of the order of $10^{-12}$ m$^3$ helped to enhance the cavity-spin coupling. These experiments are motivated by the goal of using an electron spin ensemble as a medium for quantum memory, which will potentially benefit from the capability of storing multiple qubits in different modes of the ensemble.

In this letter, we study the coupling between an electron spin ensemble and a microwave resonator with much larger $V_c$, a three-dimensional cavity that is commonly used for bulk electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and demonstrate that by increasing the number of spins the spectrum experiences the transition from the weak to strong coupling regimes. Our result provides an opportunity for studying the strong coupling effect with readily accessible setups and wider tunabilities in the experimental parameters. In addition, it has been recently observed that such a three-dimensional cavity allows a superconducting qubit to preserve its coherence longer than the case of a two-dimensional counterpart. Together with this observation, our result makes a three-dimensional cavity a promising component for hybrid-qubit architectures. We note that Chiorescu et al. have made a similar observation in an electron spin ensemble utilizing a cavity ringing effect but did not address the $\sqrt{N}$-dependence of $g_c$ nor the parameter regime we report here.

The microwave cavity we used is an X-band cylindrical dielectric ring resonator from Bruker (ER 4118X-MD5). $V_c$ of this resonator is about $2 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^3$, giving an expected $g_c/2\pi$ of 0.06 Hz. The reflection spectrum ($S_{11}$) from the resonator was measured with a network analyzer (HP 8722D). As will be discussed later, in all the measurements, the sample position inside the cavity was carefully adjusted to maximize the coupling.

Two materials were examined in this study; the first material is 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) powder, a radical commonly used for field calibration of EPR spectrometers. It has an EPR linewidth of 2.1 G at room temperature. In this material, the spin concentration can be made high; our samples had a total number of spins $N_{tot}$ ranging from $1.0 \times 10^{18}$ to $2.4 \times 10^{19}$. As the spins are in thermal equilibrium at room temperature, the number of polarized spins, which gives $N$ in the present study, is given as $N_{tot} \times (h/9.8 \text{GHz})/2k_B \text{K}$, and varies from $7.8 \times 10^{14}$ to $1.9 \times 10^{16}$. The second material is X-form lithium phthalocyanine (LiPc) powder electrochemically prepared from Li$_2$Pc. By reducing the partial pressure of oxygen, this radical has a very narrow EPR linewidth, in our case around 83 mG at room temperature. On the other hand, $N$ in these samples was much smaller than that of DPPH—less than $7.4 \times 10^{14}$ (including the polarization factor). The number of spins was determined with an X-band cw EPR spectrometer (Bruker EMX), independently from the measurements described below.

We first discuss the result from DPPH. Figure 1(a) shows the reflection spectrum for the $N=7.8 \times 10^{14}$ sample. Here we observe features familiar in conventional EPR. As is well-known, the dispersion and absorption components of the EPR signals are mathematically connected each other by the Kramers–Kronig relations. The former appears as the shift of the cavity frequency across the resonance (at $B_r = 3470.9$ G) while the change in the cavity linewidth or cavity quality factor $Q_c$ is a consequence of the latter. A model with two coupled oscillators describes the changes in
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cavity frequency $\omega$ and cavity half-width $\kappa$ as

$$\omega = \omega_c - g_c^2 \Delta/\left(\Delta^2 + \gamma_s^2\right),$$

$$\kappa = \kappa_c + g_c^2 \gamma_s/\left(\Delta^2 + \gamma_s^2\right),$$

where $\kappa_c$ is the cavity half-width far from the resonance, $\gamma_s$ is the spin half-width, and $\Delta = m_0 (B - B_s)/\hbar$ is the field detuning. A fit to the cavity dip positions in Fig. 1(a) gives $g_c/2\pi = 1.15$ MHz and $\gamma_s/2\pi = 2.85$ MHz. Figure 1(c) plots $\kappa$ as a function of external magnetic fields. A fit using Eq. (2) gives $g_c/2\pi = 1.12$ MHz, $\gamma_s/2\pi = 2.00$ MHz, and $\kappa_c/2\pi = 0.73$ MHz. The values of $g_c$, obtained from the two fits agree well as expected. Those of $\gamma_s$ slightly differ from each other but are consistent with that from cw EPR, in which the Gaussian full-width of 2.1 G corresponds $\gamma_s/2\pi = 3.5$ MHz.

As we increase $N$, the spectrum changes dramatically. When $N$ is increased to four times that of the previous measurement, we start to observe two clearly separated dips, and the splitting becomes larger as we increase $N$. Figure 1(b) shows the case for $N = 1.9 \times 10^{16}$. The upper and lower branches $\omega_{\pm}$ are fitted by the expression for the vacuum Rabi splitting

$$\omega_{\pm} = \omega_c + \Delta/2 \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4g_c^2},$$

from which we deduce $g_c/2\pi = 5.9$ MHz. Figure 1(d) shows the $\sqrt{N}$-dependence of $g_c$. The circular points represent samples in which the splitting was evident and from the fit we obtain $g_c/2\pi = 0.043$ Hz, which is in reasonable agreement with our estimation of 0.06 Hz. The triangular point is for the $N = 7.8 \times 10^{14}$ sample that did not show splitting but it is apparent that the value of $g_c$ extracted from the change in $Q$-factor also falls onto the fitted line to obey the $\sqrt{N}$-dependence.

In theory, the observation of vacuum Rabi splitting and the realization of the strong coupling regime require the condition $g_c > \kappa_c, \gamma_s$ or, similarly, the cooperativity $C = g_c^2 / 2 \kappa_c \gamma_s \gg 1$. This is barely satisfied in the $N = 1.2 \times 10^{16}$ and $1.9 \times 10^{16}$ samples, where $g_c/2\pi = 4.9$ MHz and 5.9 MHz are larger than both $\gamma_s/2\pi = 3.5$ MHz and $\kappa_c/2\pi < 1$ MHz (giving $C = 4$ and 7, respectively). On the other hand the $N = 7.8 \times 10^{14}$ sample has $g_c$ larger than $\kappa_c$ but smaller than $\gamma_s$, and $C = 0.2$, consistent with the absence of the anticoressing. A question arises for the $N = 3.1 \times 10^{15}$ sample, where $g_c/2\pi = 2.0$ MHz is comparable with, but smaller than $\gamma_c/2\pi (C < 0.8)$ and yet we observed the splitting. This observation motivates us to explore a different parameter regime, where $g_c$ is much smaller than either $\kappa_c$ or $\gamma_s$. This is possible with LiPc, in which, according to $N$ available, $g_c/2\pi$ will be less than 1 MHz while $\gamma_s/2\pi$ can be larger than 1 MHz since this material is more lossy than DPPH. Owing to its narrow EPR linewidth, $\gamma_s/2\pi$ is only 0.14 MHz, which may compensate the reductions in the other two parameters.

Figure 2(a) demonstrates an anticrossing behavior in LiPc, where $g_c/2\pi = 0.71$ MHz and $\kappa_c/2\pi = 5.4$ MHz are experimentally obtained. The appearance of two dips is ob-
vious, although even at the resonance they are not fully separated (note that the data is given in decibel units).

As shown in Fig. 2(c), the $\sqrt{N}$-dependence of $g_c$ is confirmed again with nearly the same $g_c$ as in the case of DPPH (0.043 Hz for DPPH and 0.049 Hz for LiPc). As for the $N$ = 7.4 × 10^14 sample, which was not used for the fitting, $g_c$ deviates from the $\sqrt{N}$-dependence. We attribute this due to its very large sample volume compared with other samples, and the ac magnetic field the sample experiences is no longer uniform. We can estimate the distribution of the ac magnetic field by simply moving the sample across the cavity [Fig. 2(d)]. Indeed, it was necessary to check the position dependence in all samples to ensure that the coupling was maximized. Fitting the data with a sinusoidal function and assuming the same distribution in the cavity, we observe that two branches merge into one as in the case of DPPH [Fig. 3(b)]. This reproduces well the experimental spectrum, which was not used for the fitting, $g_N =$ 0.3. The reason we still observe the splitting is understood through a straightforward algebraic analysis of Eq. (4). Note that in this simulation the only adjustable parameter was $c_s$ and experimentally obtained values were used for $g_c$, $\kappa_s$, and $\gamma_s$. Here, we see $g_s > \gamma_s$ and $C$ is only 0.3. The reason we still observe the splitting is understood through a straightforward algebraic analysis of Eq. (4). We differentiate it with respect to $s$ to find local minima at $\Delta = 0$. Assuming $\kappa_s / \kappa_s =$ 1, we observe that two minima exist if $g_s^2 - \gamma_s^2 (1 + 4C) (\gamma_s^2 - 2g_s^2) > 0$ is satisfied. For $\kappa_c$ large compared with $g_c$ and $\gamma_s$, the condition is simplified to $g_s / \gamma_s > \sqrt{2} - 1 = 0.64$. This indicates that the splitting may be observable even if $g_s$ is smaller than both $\gamma_c$ and $\kappa_c$, as confirmed by additional simulations [Fig. 3].

As reducing only $g_c$, while all the other parameters fixed from Fig. 2(b), we observe that two branches merge into one at around $g_c / \gamma_s = 0.64$. We emphasize that this does not mean that the light-matter coherence transfer is possible in this parameter regime. For the coherent transfer to be possible, the condition $g_s > \kappa_c$, $\gamma_s$ remains a compelling physical requirement.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the strong coupling between an electron spin ensemble and a three-dimensional cavity ($g_s > \kappa_c$, $\gamma_s$) and confirmed the $\sqrt{N}$-dependence of the collective coupling strength $g_c$. For such a spin ensemble to be useful as a quantum memory, strong coupling and consequently entanglement with a single photon must be achieved while the present experiments required many photons (10^{13} or less) to spectroscopically resolve the anticrossing. In this respect DPPH suffers a relatively large inhomogeneous linewidth, which results in a short storage time unless multiple microwave pulses for decoupling/refocusing are applied. We have also observed an anticrossing behavior in the spectrum with the cooperativity $C$ smaller than 1, and derived the condition for observing the splitting. An advantage of our system over a cavity QED counterpart lies in the simplicity in the experimental setup; we may readily choose other spin systems with different EPR linewidths (to control $\gamma_s$) and spin densities (to control $N$), and $N$ may also be controllable by the temperature or by means of dynamic polarization schemes. Therefore, we believe that our observation will broaden the applicability of the physics of collective light-matter coupling to wider parameter regimes.
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18. In commercial EPR spectrometers, only the absorption signal is extracted while the dispersion signal is eliminated by the automatic frequency control circuitry, which locks the source frequency at the cavity dip position.