

Many Particle Systems

James Burnett

Mathematics Department
University College London

February 12, 2009

The Classical Problem

How To Model This

Why Doesn't This Work?

How Do We Solve This?

Master Equation

Second Quantization

The Black Box

Conclusion

The Future

The Classical Problem

- ▶ We are for the purposes of this talk interested in the reaction $A + A \rightarrow A$
- ▶ We start with a large, but finite number of dust particles.
- ▶ After a finite time they all react leaving us with a single particle.

How To Model This

- ▶ The usual rate equation for this reaction is the Smoluchowski equation.

How To Model This

- ▶ The usual rate equation for this reaction is the Smoluchowski equation.
- ▶ $\frac{dc}{dt} = -\kappa c^2$

How To Model This

- ▶ The usual rate equation for this reaction is the Smoluchowski equation.
- ▶ $\frac{dc}{dt} = -\kappa c^2$
- ▶ c is the mean particle population and κ is the rate coefficient

Why Doesn't This Work?

- ▶ The Smoluchowski equation is only valid in the thermodynamic limit.

Why Doesn't This Work?

- ▶ The Smoluchowski equation is only valid in the thermodynamic limit.
- ▶ When our particle population starts to fall rapidly we are no longer in this limit.

Why Doesn't This Work?

- ▶ The Smoluchowski equation is only valid in the thermodynamic limit.
- ▶ When our particle population starts to fall rapidly we are no longer in this limit.
- ▶ This gives odd and unrealistic results when modelling.

The Master Equation

- ▶ First we must write a master equation for this reaction.

The Master Equation

- ▶ First we must write a master equation for this reaction.
- ▶
$$\frac{dP(N,t)}{dt} = \frac{\kappa}{2V} [(N+1)NP(N+1,t) - N(N-1)P(N,t)]$$

The Master Equation

- ▶ First we must write a master equation for this reaction.
- ▶
$$\frac{dP(N,t)}{dt} = \frac{\kappa}{2V} [(N+1)NP(N+1,t) - N(N-1)P(N,t)]$$
- ▶ Then we embark on obscure and lengthy process of second quantization.

Second Quantization

- ▶ We create a Hilbert Space, with the usual notion of annihilation and creation operators.

Second Quantization

- ▶ We create a Hilbert Space, with the usual notion of annihilation and creation operators.
- ▶ We define a wave equation to describe our system for any given time

$$|\Psi\rangle_{A+A\rightarrow A} := \sum_N P(N, t)(a^+)^N|0\rangle$$

Second Quantization

- ▶ We create a Hilbert Space, with the usual notion of annihilation and creation operators.
- ▶ We define a wave equation to describe our system for any given time

$$|\Psi\rangle_{A+A\rightarrow A} := \sum_N P(N, t)(a^+)^N|0\rangle$$

- ▶ We can then write down the evolution operator,
 $H_{A+A\rightarrow A}[a^+, a^-] = -\frac{\kappa}{2V}(a^+ - a^{+2})a^{-2}$,
 that satisfies the imaginary time Schrödinger equation.

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\Psi\rangle_{A+A\rightarrow A} = -H_{A+A\rightarrow A}[a^+, a^-]|\Psi\rangle_{A+A\rightarrow A}$$

The Black Box

- ▶ The next stage is to define a general observable.

The Black Box

- ▶ The next stage is to define a general observable.
- ▶ Write down the full expression of the expectation value of this observable

The Black Box

- ▶ The next stage is to define a general observable.
- ▶ Write down the full expression of the expectation value of this observable
- ▶ We then split the time into small compartments, rearrange and finally convert it to a continuous system.

The Black Box

- ▶ The next stage is to define a general observable.
- ▶ Write down the full expression of the expectation value of this observable
- ▶ We then split the time into small compartments, rearrange and finally convert it to a continuous system.
- ▶ This leaves us with a Path Integral to solve.

The Black Box

- ▶ The next stage is to define a general observable.
- ▶ Write down the full expression of the expectation value of this observable
- ▶ We then split the time into small compartments, rearrange and finally convert it to a continuous system.
- ▶ This leaves us with a Path Integral to solve.
- ▶ Solving this partly gives us a constraint equation which looks identical to the original Smoluchowski equation with an additional term.

The Final Result

$$\blacktriangleright \partial_t \bar{\Phi}(t) = -\bar{\kappa} \bar{\Phi}^2(t) + i\eta \sqrt{2\bar{\kappa}} \bar{\Phi}$$

The Final Result

- ▶ $\partial_t \bar{\Phi}(t) = -\bar{\kappa} \bar{\Phi}^2(t) + i\eta \sqrt{2\bar{\kappa}} \bar{\Phi}$
- ▶ The η represents a white noise, which when a simulation is down it should be averaged over.

The Final Result

- ▶ $\partial_t \bar{\Phi}(t) = -\bar{\kappa} \bar{\Phi}^2(t) + i\eta \sqrt{2\bar{\kappa}} \bar{\Phi}$
- ▶ The η represents a white noise, which when a simulation is down it should be averaged over.
- ▶ $\Phi(t)$ is the now complex population variable.

The Final Result

- ▶ $\partial_t \bar{\Phi}(t) = -\bar{\kappa} \bar{\Phi}^2(t) + i\eta \sqrt{2\bar{\kappa}} \bar{\Phi}$
- ▶ The η represents a white noise, which when a simulation is down it should be averaged over.
- ▶ $\Phi(t)$ is the now complex population variable.
- ▶ Taking the averaging over several noises and only looking at the real part of Φ gives a matching to the results expected from Monte Carlo Simulations.



- ▶ The next step is to calculate a reaction where a single “super” dust particle forms.



- ▶ The next step is to calculate a reaction where a single “super” dust particle forms.
- ▶ Maybe we will find a general form of the complex noise term.

$$A + B \rightarrow C$$

- ▶ The next step is to calculate a reaction where a single “super” dust particle forms.
- ▶ Maybe we will find a general form of the complex noise term.
- ▶ Is it possible to show that if we average over the complex term an infinite number of times the $\text{Im}(\Phi(t)) \rightarrow 0$?