The novelist Charles Dickens died of a stroke on June 9, 1870, aged 58 years. He was ill for the last 5 years of his life, although no diagnosis has yet accounted for his varied symptoms. Here, I propose that Dickens had a right parietal or parietal-temporal disorder.

**Dickens’ symptoms**

My hypothesis originates from an unusual and highly specific symptom. In 1868, while walking to the house of his friend and future biographer John Forster, Dickens noticed that “he could read only the halves of the letters of the shop doors that were on his right as he looked”. He had the same problem again on March 21, 1870, as described by Forster:

“He told us that as he came along, walking up the length of Oxford-street, the same incident had recurred as on the day of a former dinner with us, and he had not been able to read, all the way, more than the right-hand half of the names over the shops.”

Dickens’ friends were amazed at the peculiarity of the symptom and by Dickens’ explanation of it. These quotes seem to describe a form of spatial neglect, probably neglect dyslexia, and if this diagnosis is correct, they would constitute the first published description of the syndrome; Forster’s biography of Dickens’ pre-dates Hughling Jackson’s brief and somewhat unclear account of spatial neglect.

As in most people who are right-handed, Dickens’ disorder was left-sided, which suggests right parietal lobe damage, although data also implicate the superior temporal lobe. Some of Dickens’ other symptoms might also have resulted from parietal disease, which often presents in varied and unusual ways.

Dickens’ spatial neglect is unusual only because he recognised it as a problem, which does however occur. The film director, Federico Fellini, for instance had insight into his own spatial neglect. Most patients with spatial neglect are, however, aware of visual segmentation—ie, they know the length of words and they are able to recognise that what they see is not the entire word. Dickens noticed his problem most when reading proper names, perhaps because of the presence of contextual cues. To give modern examples, one could well realise something is wrong if a shop one passes regularly and which still has the same location, logos, and colour schemes, is now seemingly called Bloomsbury’s rather than Sainsbury’s, or Piebald’s rather than McDonald’s. A prediction is that insight might be more frequent in neglect patients who are misreading proper names in context.

**Onset of symptoms**

Dickens had problems with his right cerebral hemisphere. This problem became evident on April 18, 1869, in the middle of a gruelling—albeit lucrative—tour of public readings. After feeling ill he wrote to his doctor, Frank Beard, who rushed to Dickens, cancelled the tour, and brought him back to London, to be seen the next day by Sir Thomas Watson, who wrote:

“After unusual irritability, [Dickens] found himself, last Saturday or Sunday, giddy, with a tendency to go backwards, and to turn round . . . He had some odd feeling of insecurity about his left leg, as if there was something unnatural about his heel; but he could lift, and did not drag,
his leg. Also he spoke of some strangeness of his left hand and arm; missed the spot on which he wished to lay that hand, unless he carefully looked at it; felt an unreadiness to lift his hands towards his head, especially his left hand—when for instance, he was brushing his hair.22

Watson concluded that Dickens “had been on the brink of an attack of paralysis of his left side, and possibly of apoplexy”.23 These fears were confirmed the next year when, at dinner, Dickens stood and collapsed to his left. Apoplexy was diagnosed, and Dickens died the next evening without having regained consciousness. No necropsy took place.

**History of Dickens’ illness**

Dickens had had signs of heart disease, perhaps precipitated by renal disease,11 for several years, and in 1866 he was prescribed iron, quinine, and digitalis. Watson also noted signs of cardiac enlargement. The most confusing aspect of Dickens’ medical history was described by Forster as “that formidable illness in his [left] foot . . . [which] baffled experienced physicians”. Dickens attributed his symptoms to frost-bite, which he first noticed in February, 1865.

> “I got frost-bitten by walking continually in the snow, and getting wet in the feet daily. My boots hardened and softened, hardened and softened, my left foot swollen, and I still forced the boot on; sat in it to write, half the day; walked in it through the snow, the other half; forced the boot on again the next morning; sat and walked again; and being accustomed to all sorts of changes in my feet, took no heed. At length, going out as usual, I fell lame on the walk, and had to limp home dead lame, through the snow, for the last three miles.”1

A month later, Dickens wrote to Frank Beard:

> “This confounded foot [is] as bad as ever again. I suffered tortures all last night, and never closed my eyes. We are now at work at the Poppy[head] fomentations again.”

By April 22, 1865, Dickens’ foot seemed to have healed, though in September, the symptoms returned; Dickens could not bear the foot to be touched, sat with it up, and had a special extra large boot made. Further painful episodes happened in January, 1866, after which the problem subsided until Aug 2, 1867, when the foot seems again to have swollen.

> “I cannot get a boot on . . . [I was] on the sofa all last night in tortures. I cannot bear to have the fomentations taken off for a moment.”16

Dickens was still lame on Sept 11, 1867, and could not wear shoes. Recurrences continued throughout his life: in early 1868; in February and April, 1869; at Christmas, 1869; and finally in May, 1870, when he was so lame that he could not even go up stairs to meet the Prince of Wales.17

Apart from exquisite pain, the foot was also “very tender . . . as though . . . in hot water”, and showed “extreme indications of serious disease”.18 Dickens’ problem was mostly treated with hot poultices and fomentations. “I have had the poultices constantly changed, hot and hot, day and night”, but the foot still remained “a mere bag of pain” despite having been “viciously bubbled and blistered . . . in all directions”. Dickens’ pain was never properly diagnosed, and he died believing that his illness was localised to his foot, an idea backed up when Sir Henry Thompson suggested that his pain “originates in the action of the shoe, in walking, on an enlargement in the nature of a bunion”. Gout was suggested as a diagnosis, but Dickens denied this possibility. While in Edinburgh, Dickens consulted James Syme, who disputed Thompson’s opinion of gout. Instead, he said the pain originated from the cold, and affected the nerves and muscles. However, other authors,19 Forster, and Edmund Yates, thought Dickens’ pain was gout.

> “There is no one now, I suppose, who does not recognise that this pain in the foot and lameness were gouty expressions of internal disorder.”

Gout, however, was a common Victorian diagnosis.19 Dickens also reported other paroxysmal pains: in 1866 he reported severe pain in his left eye. Forster emphasised the side of the problems:

> “It was his left eye, it will be noted, as it was his left foot and hand.”

In December, 1869, Dickens’ left hand was sporadically painful, by Jan 23, 1870, he had to wear a sling, and throughout February, 1870, his hand was constantly painful.17

**Railway accident**

On June 9, 1865, Dickens was present at the Staplehurst railway disaster. Ten passengers were killed and 40 were injured (figure 2).24 Although some people have suggested Dickens’ health deteriorated after this incident,2 the painful left foot and other symptoms pre-date the accident. Nevertheless Dickens did have post-traumatic flashbacks as his daughter Mamie recalls in her book:

> “My father suddenly clutched the arms of the railway carriage seat, while his face grew ashy pale, and great drops of perspiration stood upon his forehead, and though he tried hard to master the dread, it was so strong that we had to leave the train at the next station.”20

Dickens also acknowledged his fear:

> “I cannot bear railway travelling yet. A perfect conviction, against the sense, that the carriage is down on one side (and generally that is the left, and not the side on which the carriage in the accident really went over) comes upon me with anything like speed, and is inexpressibly distressing.”

**Dickens’ denial**

Despite an interest in medicine—Dickens was apparently a regular reader of The Lancet,21 and his novels often described medical conditions22–25—and despite repeated, unpleasant indications of serious disease, Dickens denied his symptoms. On Sept 2, 1867, he wrote to The Times denying rumours of illness, and the next day wrote to a friend:

> “I never was in my life—doubt if any body ever was or can be better—and have not had anything the matter with me but that squeezed foot, which was an affair of a few days [sic].”16

Dickens’ friends, such as Yates,3 could not understand his attitude:

> “Never did [a] man wishing to deceive himself carry out his object so thoroughly as Dickens . . . What would he have thought, what would he have said, of any other man who could only read half the letters of the names over the shop-doors, who ‘found himself extremely giddy and extremely uncertain of the sense of touch, both in the left leg and the left hand and arm’, and who ascribed those symptoms ‘to the effect of medicine’? With what caustic touches would he have described a man who, suffering under all those symptoms, and under many others equally significant, harassed, worn out, yet travels and reads and works until he falls dead on the roadside!”
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Effect on Dickens’ work

Dickens’ last complete novel was published in 1865. The first monthly instalment of *Our Mutual Friend*, which Dickens started writing in 1863, was published in May, 1864. Dickens had part of the manuscript with him in the railway accident, and had to go back to the carriage for it. After the accident, Dickens’ previously prolific and effortless writing ceased:

“Although I have not been wanting in industry, I have been wanting in invention . . .”

By August, 1865, Dickens was in what he called “agonies” after finishing his book, and was embarrassed to find he had “underwritten number sixteen by two and a half pages, a thing I have not done since Dickwrick”!

Contemporary critical acclaim for the book was muted, and Dickens started to concentrate more on his public readings than on writing; *The Mystery of Edwin Drood*, which Dickens began writing in 1869, remains unfinished. No satisfactory resolution of the book’s plot has ever been established, and Sir Angus Wilson has suggested that Dickens:

“. . . altered the plot and found himself hopelessly entangled in a maze of which he could not find the issue.”

Analysis of symptoms

Dickens had a confusing mixture of symptoms, which mostly affected his left side, and which coincided with a decline in his literary productivity. Most striking of symptoms was his inability to see the left half of words, a symptom almost pathognomonic of right parietal damage, and which had not then been described in neurology. Dickens’ unwillingness to move his left hand might also suggest motor neglect.27 Could Dickens’ other symptoms also be due to right parietal disorder?

The foot pain could well have been central in origin. Although usually regarded as thalamic (Dejerine-Roussy syndrome), about half of patients with central post-stroke pain have a non-thalamic lesion, which is often supratentorial.28 Cortical pain usually arises after parietal lobe lesions,29,30 so-called pseudothalamic syndrome, and most patients have right hemispheric lesions and left-sided pain.31

Pain perception is associated with the right hemisphere,31 and thalamic pain arises more often with right-sided damage.32 Central pain can also be associated with changes in skin temperature, trophic skin changes, and oedema in the affected limb.33 Parietal lobe pain is often restricted to the face, hand, lower leg, or foot, sometimes of a paroxysmal quality, with a Jacksonian-like march.34 In Dickens’ case, there is a suggestion of hypoalgesia (the hot poutidues causing tissue damage) and of allodynia or hyperpathia (light touch being unbearable), which are both symptoms that arise in parietal damage.33,34 The pain has been described by others as burning, gnawing, and electric, rather like Dickens’ description of a pain like hot water.

Dickens’ apparent indifference to his problems might be due to anosognosia, a frequent occurrence in right hemisphere damage,35 particularly parietal lesions.36 Anosognosia arises as a result of temporary cortical dysfunction, as in Wada testing, when it affects the left side of the body in particular.37 Dickens’ feeling of railway carriages falling to the left could be due to vestibular symptoms of cortical origin.

Patients with spatial neglect and anosognosia respond favourably to cold caloric stimulation;38 right parietal damage results in absence of normal feelings of self-motion;39 parietal lobe afferents and efferents go to the vestibular system;40 vestibular stimulation activates a part of the cortex adjacent to the parietal cortex damaged in spatial neglect; vertiginous seizures occur in temporoparietal epilepsy;41 and stimulation of parietal cortex can make patients feel as though they are rolling off the operating table.

Figure 2: Charles Dickens helps people at the Staplehurst railway accident

Conclusion

For the last 5 years of his life, Charles Dickens had a range of symptoms, mostly affecting his left side, including hemispatial neglect for words, paroxysmal pains, hyperpathia or allodynia and hypoalgesia in the left foot, and a feeling of the world falling to the left. He also seemed to be unaware of the seriousness of his symptoms, which suggests some form of anosognosia. Dickens was known to have had a definite attack of right-sided cerebral insufficiency, and he died of apoplexy affecting the right side of the brain. Dickens’ left-sided symptoms thus could suggest damage to the right temporoparietal area.

I thank Prof John Marshall, Prof Ian Robertson, and Prof Lauren Harris for their careful comments on a draft manuscript, and Diane Cheung for provision of an obscure reference.
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