A Literacy Intervention for Young Offenders and Subsequent Changes in Resilience: A Case-Series

**Background**

**Context:** There is a high prevalence of speech, language and literacy difficulties within the Young Offender population. The Ministry of Justice (MOJ; 2016) has proposed that ‘secure colleges’ replace Young Offenders Institutes (YOIs); thereby placing education at the heart of treatment for young offenders.

**The Intervention:** Rapid English (RE) is a computerised intervention used in many YOIs and Youth Offending Services (YOS). It targets speaking, listening, reading and writing skills.

**Resilience:** YOS assessment is based on risk and protective factors. Interventions at the YOS aim to increase young offenders’ resilience in order to prevent reoffending.

**Methodology**

**Design:** A case-series design; several cases within a case-study approach (McLeod, 2010; Yin, 2013). **Participants:** Four young offenders with literacy needs (pseudonyms Deepa, Joe, Ricky & Scott) aged 11-17 attending two YOS in the South-East of England.

**Sources of Evidence:** Interventionist diary, case summaries, YOS database records, session observations, standardised measures - Gray Oral Reading Test 5th Edition (GORT-5) and the Resiliency Scales for Children and Adolescents (RSCA).

**Quantitative Analysis:** Reliable Change Index (RCI; Jacobson & Truax, 1991).

**Qualitative Analysis:** Conventional Content Analysis (CCA; Schreier, 2012)

**Cross-Case Analysis**

**Pattern matching logic (Almutairi, Gardner & McCarthy, 2014) was used to draw the following conclusions:**

**P1.** Partially met. CCA indicated that improvements were not linear. Rival explanations: more sessions required. Specific learning difficulties (SpLD) may have affected response to intervention rate.

**P2.** Partially met. CCA highlighted positive relationships between participants and the interventionist. Rival explanation: Therapeutic relationship with interventionist improved resilience.

**P3.** Partially met. Rival explanation: external events also impacted on resilience.

**Implications**

**Programme Duration:** RE sessions carried out regularly over at least a term (13 weeks) are recommended to produce greater gains in literacy scores.

**Assessment:** An in-depth assessment (e.g. by the Educational Psychologist) may help to identify SpLD/ areas of need.

**Relationships:** A positive relationship with YOS staff may increase young offenders’ resilience. External events may also impact on resilience; the EP could deliver training to support YOS staff.

**Theoretical Propositions**

**P1.** Improvements in reading: due to RE including five principles of instruction (Merrill, 2002)

**P2.** Improvements in resilience: due to making progress and forming relationships through learning (Rutter, 1987)

**P3.** Improvements in resilience in accordance with improvements in reading: due to having an increased sense of control over their achievements (Rotter, 1966)

**Results**

- Improvements in reading were not significant after six RE sessions (GORT-5)
- Significant improvements in Relatedness and Resource (RSCA)
- Improvements in reading were not significant after six RE sessions (GORT-5)
- Significant improvement in Emotional Reactivity (RSCA)
- Significant improvements in Mastery, Relatedness, Resource and Vulnerability (RSCA)
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