**ARTHRODENDRON MAGURICUM** n. sp., A NEW LARGER AGGlutinated Foraminifer from the Eocene Magura Flysch of the Polish Carpathians and Its Relationship to Komokiaceans and Trace Fossils
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**ABSTRACT**—Arthrodendron maguricum n. sp. is described from deep-sea flysch of the lower Eocene Życzanów Conglomerate Member of the Szczawnica Formation (Magura Unit) in the Polish Carpathians. Arthrodendron maguricum is a larger agglutinated foraminifer showing regular, tubular chambers that may branch dichotomously. Its wall is tripartite and composed of an outer organic-rich layer, a main agglutinated layer, and an internal organic-rich layer. The organism evidently lived as epibenthos on the muddy sea floor. Because of their branching morphology and comparatively large dimensions, larger agglutinated foraminifera of the genus *Arthrodendron* have previously been confused with algae and trace fossils. Care should be taken in such cases to resolve the agglutinated wall and chambers of this deep-water agglutinated foraminifer. *Arthrodendron maguricum* displays superficial similarities to some modern komokiaceans, especially to *Septumpa*. Further investigations are needed for clarification of their affinities and possible taxonomic consequences.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

For over 150 yr, representatives of the genus *Arthrodendron* Ulrich, 1904 have been described under a variety of names, as either marine plants or trace fossils from deep-marine clastic sediments (Kaminski et al., 2008). In Europe, these fossils have been recognized as belonging to the agglutinated foraminifera since the 1960s and have been widely referred to the genera “Aschemonella Brady, 1879” or “Aschemocella Vialov, 1966.” These larger agglutinated foraminifera have been found in Upper Cretaceous and Palaeogene flysch sediments from the northern Alps in Austria and Switzerland (Unger, 1841; Heer, 1877; Fuchs, 1894), and the whole of the Carpathian arc in Poland, Slovakia, the Ukraine, and Romania (Świdzinski, 1934; Geroch, 1960; Neagu, 1964; Vialov, 1966; Kaminski and Geroch, 1993; Kaminski and Gradstein, 2005; Kaminski et al., 2008), as well as Alaska (Ulrich, 1904).

Specimens of *Arthrodendron* recovered by normal micropaleontological methods are usually fragmentary, and such specimens are often not assigned to any particular species or are simply regarded as belonging to the type species of the genus *Aschemocella* (*Aschemonella carpathica* Neagu, 1964). Fortunately, occasional specimens are recovered from turbidite sandstone layers in the Carpathian flysch deposits that can provide unique insight into the morphology and habitat of the whole organism. This paper documents a single well-preserved specimen of a new species of *Arthrodendron* from the lower Eocene Magura flysch of the Polish Carpathians, which we here describe as *Arthrodendron maguricum* n. sp.

Geological setting.—The Magura Nappe is the southernmost large tectonic unit of the Polish Outer Carpathians. Deposits of this unit accumulated from the mid-Cretaceous to the early Oligocene in the long-lived, flysch-dominated Magura Basin (e.g., Oszczypko, 1992). The studied section lies in the southernmost facies zone of the Magura Basin, i.e., in the Krynica Zone. The section, 70 m thick, is exposed along the terminal part of Życzanów stream, a tributary of the Poprad River (Fig. 1). This is the type section of the Życzanów Conglomerate Member of the Szczawnica Formation (Birkenmajer and Oszczypko, 1989; Oszczypko et al., 1990). The section is composed of two packages dominated by thick-bedded conglomerates and sandstones separated by a package of thin- to medium-bedded silicilastic flysch (Fig. 2). The lower package is interpreted as a channel fill, the middle “normal” flysch package as “one of non-channelized fan facies or basin plain deposits,” and the upper package as a “depositional lobe followed by channel incision and filling” (Oszczypko and Porebski, 1985, 1986). Within the middle package, the cited authors indicated that the “local divergence of flow directions by as much as 90° is suggestive of overbank spilling of flow” (Oszczypko and Porebski, 1985), which is rather typical of interchannel areas. The age of the Życzanów Conglomerate Member has been determined as early Eocene based on calcareous nannoplankton (Oszczypko et al., 1990) and agglutinated benthic foraminifera examined in this study. The accompanying assemblage of smaller agglutinated benthic foraminifera points to deposition in a lower bathyal environment beneath the CCD.

The described larger agglutinated foraminifer derives from the middle part of the section (Fig. 2) from the base of a 10-cm thick, fine-grained sandstone bed covered by a 3.5-cm thick layer of grey mudstone. The sandstone bed displays parallel lamination (Tb Bouma interval) in its lower part and cross-bedded lamination (Tc Bouma interval) in its upper part.

**SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY**

In this study we use the agglutinated foraminiferal classification of Kaminski (2004). The genus *Arthrodendron* and its synonyms and type species have recently been transferred to the Foraminifera and revised by Kaminski et al. (2008), who regarded the genus *Aschemocella* Vialov, 1966 as a junior synonym of *Arthrodendron* Ulrich, 1904.
Class *FORAMINIFEREA* d’Orbigny, 1826  
Subclass *TEXTULARIIA* Mikhalevich, 1980  
Order *LITUOLIDA* Lankester, 1885  
Suborder *HORMOSININA* Mikhalevich, 1980  
Superfamily *HORMOSINACEA* Haeckel, 1894  
Family *ASCHEMOCELLIDAE* Vialov, 1966  
Genus *ARTHRODENDRON* Ulrich, 1904

**Diagnosis.**—Branched chains of large chambers with an imperforate agglutinated wall that lacks biogenic barite crystals (Kaminski et al., 2008).

**Remarks.**—*Arthrodendron* [= *Aschemocella* Vialov, 1966] differs from other hormosinaceans in its large dimensions and in having a branching septate test. The test consists of many chambers in a meandering series that may branch from a central area. These tubular chambers may branch further. The various species of *Arthrodendron* are distinguished by the predominant chamber shape.

*ARTHRODENDRON MAGURICUM* n. sp.  
Figures 3.1–3.2; 4.1–4.2

**Diagnosis.**—A large *Arthrodendron* with sinuous tubular chambers that branch mainly from the outbows of curves, generally only for a short distance outward from a septum.

**Description.**—Test large for the genus, dichotomously branched, composed of large tubular chambers constructed of fine-grained material, compacted convex-upward along shale-sandstone interface. The test is flattened and was probably originally tubular. The visible part of the test is subparallel to bedding, partly concealed within the sandstone; the central area or proloculus is not seen. Chambers are embracing, constricted slightly at the septa. Chambers are of nearly constant width throughout, ranging from a minimum of 1.2 mm at the septa to a maximum of 2.6 mm across the widest chamber, with a maximum length of about 7 mm. Chambers are 3–4 times as long as wide, separated by externally raised septa, and are bordered by a raised rim owing to compression of the chamber. Branches are sparse, positioned a short distance outward from a septum, mainly at outbows of curves, resulting in superficially symmetrical dichotomy. Tips of branches are bluntly rounded, smooth in most cases; possibly perforate with two or three small apertural openings on raised mound-like collars in some cases. Radius of the specimen is 50 to 70 mm. The test wall is thick and distinctly trilamellar (Fig. 4). The external organic-rich layer is 50 to 100 µm thick. It contains small quartz grains up to 30 µm in diameter dispersed among dark organic matter. Locally, the layer displays a discontinuous external zone, about 10–20 µm thick, which contains more organic matter than in the main part of the layer. The layer is sharply bound with the main agglutinated layer, which is 100–150 µm thick, contains quartz grains 10–60 µm in diameter, and much less organic matter than in the external organic-rich layer. The internal organic-rich layer is up to 50 µm thick; it contains dispersed quartz grains up to 10 µm in diameter floating in a dark organic matrix. Its boundary with the main agglutinated wall is diffuse. According to the microprobe analysis, there is no evidence of barite.

**Types.**—Deposited in the Geological Museum, Jagiellonian University, Kraków. The holotype is listed under catalogue nr. INGUJ-175Pla, b (two complementary slabs); a paratype is catalogued as INGUJ-175P2. Type locality: Życzanów stream, a tributary of the Poprad River, between Rytro and Stary Sącz, Poland (Figs. 1, 2).

**Etymology.**—From the Magura Unit of the Carpathian Flysch.

**Occurrence.**—Lower Eocene Życzanów Conglomerate Member of the Magura Unit, Polish Carpathians.

**Discussion.**—The holotype specimen is likely to be incomplete, as it is located near the edge of the sandstone slab. The specimen gives the impression of branching away from one corner of the slab, but no proloculus or center of organization is visible.

The visible part of the test occurs at a shale-sandstone interface, the shale having weathered away, but the well-organized branching was apparently undisturbed, indicating that the foraminifer is in life position. Part of the test is concealed within the sandstone, which is a turbidite layer 13 cm thick. The foraminifer thus probably grew at or close to...
FIGURE 2—Stratigraphic column of the studied section with indication of the bed bearing Arthrodendron maguricum sp. n. The left column is redrawn from Oszczypko and Porębski (1986).
the sediment-water interface before the turbidity current deposited the sand, but disturbance by the turbidity current was minimal in this case. Another specimen was fragmented, suggesting that the test was relatively tough during life. The convex-upward compaction of the test is a striking feature, requiring that the tube was pressed upward against the sand layer while being deflated. This may have occurred as clay was compacted and deformed upward beneath the sand.

This species differs from previously known species of *Arthrodendron* in having regular branching morphology and in possessing overlapping tubular chambers that have only minor constrictions at the sutures. The type species, *Arthrodendron diffusum*, which Ulrich (1904) described from the Upper Cretaceous flysch of "Kadiak" (now Kodiak) Island, Alaska, differs in having more clearly defined, oval chambers. Kaminski et al. (2008) regard the Carpathian species *Aschemonella carpathica* Neagu, 1964 as a junior synonym of *A. diffusum*. *Arthrodendron subnodosiformis* (= *Hyperammina sub nodosiformis* Grzybowski, 1898) differs in its smaller dimensions, thinner wall, and more irregular chamber shape (Kaminski and Geroch, 1993).

*Associated small agglutinated foraminifers.*—Two samples of mudstone underlyling (sample Życzanów 1) and overlying (sample Życzanów 2) the *Arthrodendron*-bearing sandstone bed were examined for small foraminifera. Sample Życzanów 1 contains unidentifiable fragments of *Arthrodendron* sp., and *Arthrodendron grandis* (Grzybowski, 1898), as well as *Nothia robusta* (Grzybowski, 1898), *Nothia excelsa* (Grzybowski, 1898), and...
of the lower Eocene in the North Atlantic and western Tethys (Kaminski and Gradstein, 2005). As a whole, they characterize the very oligotrophic areas of the oceanic abyssal plains. One genus described as a komokiacean (*Globipelorhiza*) has been described from depths as shallow as 60 m in the Kosterfjord in Sweden (Cedhagen and Mattson, 1991) but its affinity to the superfamily Komokiacea is now thought to be questionable (A. Gooday, personal commun. to MAK, 2008). Their bathymetric range is thus bathyal to hadal.

The modern komokiacean *Catena* Schröder, Medioli and Scott, 1989 is externally very similar to *Arthrodendron* and the question of their synonymy requires further investigation. The type species, *Catena piriformis* Schröder, Medioli and Scott, 1989, is a branched chain of elongate or pyriform chambers that may have an additional aperture produced on a neck, as in *Arthrodendron*. Because of the presence of internal stercomata, Schröder et al. (1989) placed their new genus in the family Baculellidae Tendal and Hessler, 1977 within the Komokiacea. Whether *Catena* is a junior synonym of *Arthrodendron* remains an open question.

Another modern komokiacean, *Septuma* Tendal and Hessler, 1977, has a bushy arborescent test up to 3 mm across, with sparse dichotomously branching tubules of nearly constant diameter throughout. The interior of tubules have regularly spaced transverse septa, each with a simple circular foramen, and the terminations of branches are rounded. The wall is flexible but stiff, with a thin inner organic layer and thicker outer layer of agglutinated clay- to silt-sized particles; its surface may appear wrinkled and its color is tan. *Septuma* differs from our new species of *Arthrodendron* in its much smaller dimensions and in displaying branching that chiefly occurs near the base. The holotype of the type species, *Septuma ocutillo*, recently re-illustrated by Gooday et al. (2007), would easily fit inside a single chamber of our new *Arthrodendron*. The wall of *Septuma* and other komokiaceans is flexible, thin, and distinctly argillaceous, whereas the wall of *Arthrodendron maguricum* is thick and composed of well-sorted, silt-sized quartz grains. The septa of *Septuma* are formed by simple invaginations of the inner organic layer, defining pseudochambers, whereas *Arthrodendron maguricum* possesses true overlapping chambers. In summary, because it possesses a solid wall and true overlapping chambers (instead of pseudochambers) we retain *Arthrodendron* within the Hormosinina, but the question of synonymy with forms described as komokiaceans requires further investigation.

**Pitfalls of interpretations as algae and trace fossils.**—Because of their large size and branched morphology, *Arthrodendron* and similar foraminifers are easily mistaken for trace fossils,
and because they occur along with trace fossils, they were often described together even after their nature was established. This pattern of misinterpretation has continued from the nineteenth century, when trace fossils were commonly interpreted as algae (including fucoïds) and palaeontologists observing chains of chambers ascribed them to red, green and brown algae. Such is the case with *Corallinites halimeda* Unger, 1841 from the “Jurassic” of Austria, *Hormosira moniliformis* Heer, 1877 from the flysch of Freiberg Canton, Switzerland, *Halimeda saportae* Fuchs, 1894 from the Eocene Greifenstein Sandstone of Kritzendorf, Austria, and *Arthrodendron diffusum* Ulrich, 1904 from the Upper Cretaceous Kodiak Formation of Alaska. All these specimens are now thought to be larger agglutinated foraminifers belonging to the genus *Arthrodendron* Ulrich, 1904 (Kaminski et al., 2008).

By the twentieth century, when the burrow nature of “fucoïds” and other so-called fossil seaweeds had been established, these large, branched agglutinated foraminifers were still commonly ascribed to trace fossils or considered as closely related problematica. Göttinger and Becker (1932) considered them as “problematische Lebensspuren.” Swidziński (1934) described *Halysium problematicum* as a form of unknown origin, and in the *Treatise on Invertebrate Palaeontology*, Hántzschel (1975) ascribed the latter to “Body Fossils” and synonymized it with *Halimeda saportae* Fuchs, reservedly with *Hormosira moniliformis* Heer, and with *Arthrodendron Ulrich*. Seilacher (1959, fig. 36) considered *Halimeda saportae* Fuchs as a “Körperliche Gebilde” associated with flysch trace fossils. Kern (1977) described *Halysium problematicum* Swidziński from the Upper Cretaceous Sieveringer Beds of Austria alongside trace fossils, but indicated that the nature of the fossil remained unknown. More recently, Plićka and Thomka (1990) described the foraminifer as a new species of green algae, *Halimedaites carpaticus* (Upper Cretaceous Łupków Beds of Slovakia). Finally, some species originally described as trace fossils appear to be specimens of *Arthrodendron*, including *Chondrites flexilis* from the Upper Cretaceous–Paleocene Ropianka Beds of the Polish Carpathians illustrated by Książkiewicz (1977, pl. 4, fig. 10) (Uchman, 1998). Crimes et al. (1981, pl. 4, fig. 2) presented a similar foraminifer from the upper Paleocene Gurnigel Flysch of Switzerland as the trace fossil *Megagrapton irregularum*.

In contrast to true algae, the large branched foraminifera display a test composed of chambers with an agglutinated wall. The chambered test is the main feature separating the foraminifera from trace fossils. Many trace fossils have a constructed wall (e.g., Bromley, 1996) but the conduit (lumen) bounded by the wall is continuous, without strong constrictions, to allow passage of the organism’s body. Trace fossils never display a thick organic layer on the wall exterior.

The tests of the larger foraminifera behave as rigid bodies and thus are commonly fragmented by bottom currents and transported. Fragments of *Arthrodendron* are present in sample Zyczano–W, below the *Arthrodendron*-bearing sandstone bed. This is scarcely ever the case for soft-bottom trace fossils, which instead display erosion or plastic deformation under like circumstances.

The question arises, why should species of *Arthrodendron* be preserved while the komokiaceans are not? Taphonomic considerations allow us to speculate. Aside from *Arthrodendron*’s relatively large size, its wall was evidently tough enough to withstand erosion and transport by turbidity currents in some cases. The organism’s life position, growing horizontally atop or just below the sediment-water interface, would present minimal resistance to laminar currents compared with the komokiaceans and other large astrorhizids, many of which have an erect habit. In the case of *Arthrodendron maguricum*, some of the branches even extended upward for a short distance into the overlying sand that buried it (Fig. 5), but no branches extended anywhere close to the post-turbiditic seafloor. In addition to 10 cm of sand, 3 cm of compacted mud must be accounted for atop the holotype. It is unlikely that the foraminifer could live at such a depth within the substrate on only the oxygen available in porewater; oxygen levels within the substrate were evidently low, judging from the presence of pyrite. Further taphonomic understanding awaits the discovery of additional specimens.

**CONCLUSIONS**

*Arthrodendron maguricum* is a new larger agglutinated foraminifer from the Eocene part of the Magura flysch that is distinguished externally by its large size and sinuous tubular chambers that branch mainly from the outbows of curves. The species displays a three-layered chamber wall that is composed of an external organic-rich layer, a main agglutinated layer, and an internal organic-rich layer.

*Arthrodendron maguricum* was an epibenthic organism living in a prone position on the muddy seafloor. It displays superficial similarities to some modern komokiaceans, especially to *Septula*, differing in its layered wall and comparatively large dimensions. Further work may shed light on other species of *Arthrodendron* and their possible affinity to the living komokiaceans.
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