

# Limiting Behavior of Surface Measures on Spaces of Trajectories

N. A. Sidorova

Received May 28, 2003

KEY WORDS: *Smolyanov surface measure, Wiener process, Girsanov formula, Feynman–Kac formula, stochastic equation.*

## INTRODUCTION

We consider surface measures on the space of trajectories  $C([0, T], M)$  on a compact Riemann manifold  $M$  embedded in an enveloping space (Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or a manifold). These measures (also called the *Smolyanov surface measures*) were first introduced in [1]; later, they were studied in the case  $T = 1$  (see [2]). In this paper, we consider these measures for arbitrary  $T$  and study their convergence on a one-dimensional manifold  $M$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 1. SURFACE MEASURES FOR ARBITRARY TIME INTERVALS

Suppose that  $M$  is a compact Riemann manifold embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $a_0 \in M$  is a fixed point. Suppose that  $M_\varepsilon = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(a, M) \leq \varepsilon\}$  is a tube  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the manifold  $M$ , where  $\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Euclidean metric in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is one of the sets  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $M$ , or  $M_\varepsilon$ . Denote by  $C_{a_0}([0, T], A)$  the space of continuous functions  $[0, T] \rightarrow A$  which equal  $a_0$  at the origin.

Suppose that  $(b_t)$  is the Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  starting at the point  $a_0$ . By the symbol  $\mathbb{W}_{\varepsilon, T}$ , let us denote the probability measure on the space  $C_{a_0}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  (supported by the set  $C_{a_0}([0, T], M_\varepsilon)$ ), which is the conditional distribution of the random process  $(b_t)$ , given the set of trajectories that do not exit the tube  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the manifold during time  $T$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{W}_{\varepsilon, T} = \frac{\mathbb{W}_T|_{C_{a_0}([0, T], M_\varepsilon)}}{\mathbb{W}_T(C_{a_0}([0, T], M_\varepsilon))},$$

where  $\mathbb{W}_T$  is the standard Wiener measure on  $C_{a_0}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 1.** The weak limit  $\mathbb{S}_T$  of the family of measures  $\mathbb{W}_{\varepsilon, T}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  is called a *surface measure* on the space  $C_{a_0}([0, T], M)$ .

By generalizing the result [2] for arbitrary  $T$ , we show that this measure exists, is absolutely continuous with respect to the Wiener measure  $\mathbb{W}_T^M$  on the space of trajectories on the manifold during time  $T$ , and its Radon–Nikodym density is given by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{S}_T}{d\mathbb{W}_T^M}(\omega) = \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^T R(\omega_s) ds + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \|\sigma\|^2(\omega_s) ds\right\}}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{W}_T^M} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^T R(\omega_s) ds + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \|\sigma\|^2(\omega_s) ds\right\}},$$

where  $R$  is the scalar curvature and  $\sigma$  is the vector of average curvature of the manifold multiplied by its dimension. If  $M$  is a compact one-dimensional manifold (i.e., a closed curve) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , this formula can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{S}_T}{d\mathbb{W}_T^M}(\omega) = \frac{\exp\left[\int_0^T v(\omega_s) ds\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{W}_T^M} \exp\left[\int_0^T v(\omega_s) ds\right]}, \quad \text{where } v(a) = \frac{1}{8}\kappa^2(a),$$

where  $\kappa$  is the curvature of  $M$ .

Consider the case  $\dim M = 1$ . Let  $(y_t^T)$ ,  $t \leq T$ , be the stochastic process in  $M$  starting at  $a_0$  and corresponding to the measure  $\mathbb{S}_T$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For each  $T$ , the stochastic process  $(y_t^T)$ ,  $t \leq T$ , satisfies the stochastic differential equation*

$$dy_t^T = db_t + (\nabla \log u)(T - t, y_t^T) dt \tag{1}$$

with initial condition  $y_0^T = a_0$ , where  $u$  is the solution of the equation

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + vu \tag{2}$$

with initial condition  $u(0, x) = 1$  for all  $x$ .

**Proof.** Note that, instead of measures, processes, and equations corresponding to the closed curve, one can consider their periodic analogs corresponding to  $\mathbb{R}$ . By the Feynman–Kac formula, we have

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{W_T^x} \exp\left[\int_0^t v(\omega_s) ds\right],$$

where  $W_T^x$  is the Wiener measure on  $C_x([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ . Consider  $(y_t^T)$  as a coordinate process  $(\omega_t)$  on the probability space  $(C_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{S}_T)$ . Since  $(\omega_t)$  is a Brownian motion with respect to the Wiener measure  $\mathbb{W}_T^0$ , which is equivalent to the measure  $\mathbb{S}_T$ , Girsanov’s theorem yields the equation  $d\omega_t = db_t + dr_t d\omega_t/r_t$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mathbb{E}[d\mathbb{S}_T/d\mathbb{W}_T^0 | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_0^T v(\omega_s) ds\right) | \mathcal{F}_t\right]}{u(T, 0)} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(\int_0^t v(\omega_s) ds\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_t^T v(\omega_s) ds\right) | \mathcal{F}_t\right]}{u(T, 0)} = \frac{\exp\left[\int_0^t v(\omega_s) ds\right] u(T - t, \omega_t)}{u(T, 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{dr_t d\omega_t}{r_t} = \frac{(\exp\left[\int_0^t v(\omega_s) ds\right] \nabla u(T - t, \omega_t) dt) / u(T, 0)}{(\exp\left[\int_0^t v(\omega_s) ds\right] u(T - t, \omega_t)) / u(T, 0)} = \nabla \log u(T - t, \omega_t) dt,$$

which gives the desired drift coefficient in Eq. (1).  $\square$

## 2. CONVERGENCE AS $T \rightarrow \infty$

Note that for different  $T$ , the measures  $\mathbb{S}_T$  are defined on different spaces  $C_{a_0}([0, T], M)$ ; let us extend them arbitrarily as  $\sigma$ -additive measures to the joint space  $C_{a_0}([0, \infty], M)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The linear operator  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta + v$  has exactly one eigenvalue  $c$  such that the corresponding eigenfunction  $\varphi$  is positive. In this case,  $\varphi$  is unique up to a positive multiplier.*

**Proof.** Let us consider the periodic interpretation of the problem in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this case, the required eigenfunction must be not only positive, but also periodic.

Set

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\Delta + v \quad \text{and} \quad C_L(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) : Lu = 0 \text{ and } u > 0\}.$$

Following [3] (see also [4]), for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\lambda &= \{\nu \in \mathbb{R} : \exists u \in C_{L-\lambda}(\mathbb{R}), \text{ of the form } u(t) = e^{\nu t}\psi_\nu(t), \\ &\quad \text{where } \psi_\nu \text{ is a periodic function } \}, \\ K_\lambda &= \{\nu \in \mathbb{R} : \exists u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } (L - \lambda)u \leq 0 \text{ and } u > 0, \\ &\quad \text{of the form } u(t) = e^{\nu t}\psi_\nu(t), \text{ where } \psi_\nu \text{ is a periodic function } \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem A1 from [3], there exists a real number  $\lambda^*$  such that

- (1) if  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , then  $\Gamma_\lambda = K_\lambda = \{\nu_0\}$  with some  $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (2) if  $\lambda < \lambda^*$ , then  $\Gamma_\lambda = K_\lambda = \emptyset$ ;
- (3) if  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , then  $K_\lambda$  is strictly convex and compact, and  $\Gamma_\lambda = \partial K_\lambda$ ;
- (4)  $K_{\lambda_1} \subsetneq K_{\lambda_2}$  for all  $\lambda^* \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ ;
- (5)  $K_\lambda^* = -K_\lambda$ , where  $K^*$  corresponds to the dual operator  $L^*$ .

Now, according to Corollary A3 from [3], for each function  $u \in C_{L-\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$  there exists a unique finite measure  $\mu_u$  on the set  $\Gamma_\lambda$  such that

$$u(t) = \int_{\Gamma_\lambda} e^{\nu t}\psi_\nu(t) \mu_u(d\nu), \quad (3)$$

where the corresponding function  $\psi_\nu$  is periodic for each  $\nu \in \Gamma_\lambda$ . Since in this case the operator  $L$  is self-adjoint, we have  $K_{\lambda^*} = -K_{\lambda^*}$ ; hence  $\nu_0 = 0$ , according to Property (5).

Suppose that  $c = \lambda^*$  and  $u \in C_{L-c}(\mathbb{R})$ ; formula (3) and properties (1) and (5) imply that  $u(x) = K\psi_{\nu_0}(x)$ , where  $K > 0$  is a constant. Hence the set of positive eigenfunctions of the operator  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta + v$  with eigenvalue  $c = \lambda^*$  consists of a unique function (up to multiplication by a positive number) which, moreover, is periodic.

If  $c < \lambda^*$ , then  $\Gamma_c = \emptyset$  according to property (2), and  $C_{L-c}(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$  because of (3). If  $c > \lambda^*$ , then Lemma 3 from [3] implies that  $\Gamma_\lambda = \{\nu \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda_0(\nu) = \lambda\}$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is a continuous function. Hence  $0 = \nu_0 \notin \Gamma_c$ . Using properties (3) and (4), we can write  $K_c = [a_c, b_c]$ , where  $a_c < 0 < b_c$ , and  $\Gamma_c = \{a_c, b_c\}$ . Suppose that  $u \in C_{L-c}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$u(t) = e^{a_c t}\psi_{a_c}(t)\mu(\{a_c\}) + e^{b_c t}\psi_{b_c}(t)\mu(\{b_c\})$$

because of Eq. (3), and hence  $u$  cannot be periodic. Thus, the operator  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta + v$  does not have positive periodic eigenfunctions with eigenvalues  $c \neq \lambda^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *The following equality holds:*

$$u(t, x) = e^{ct}\varphi(x)w(t, x);$$

here  $w(t, x)$  is a solution of the equation

$$\partial_t w = Lw \quad \text{with the operator} \quad L = \frac{1}{2}\Delta + (\nabla \log \varphi)\nabla. \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** It suffices to substitute the function  $u(t, x) = e^{ct}\varphi(x)w(t, x)$  into Eq. (2) and use the fact that  $\varphi$  is an eigenfunction of the operator  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta + v$  with eigenvalue  $c$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $w$  is a solution of Eq. (4) with some continuous initial condition  $f$ . Then

- (1)  $w(t, x) \rightarrow c$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x$ ; if  $f > 0$ , then  $c \neq 0$ ;
- (2)  $\nabla w(t, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x$ ;
- (3) if  $f > 0$ , then  $\nabla \log w(t, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x$ .

**Proof.** (1) Suppose that  $(y_t)$  is a stochastic process on  $M$  generated by  $L$  and starting at the point  $x \in M$ . By the symbol  $P_x$  we denote its probability distribution. Since  $(y_t)$  is a diffusion process on the circle, it possesses an invariant measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(M) = 1$  (see, for example, [3]). Then, by Theorem 3.4 from [5], we have

$$w(t, x) \rightarrow \int_M f(x) \mu(dx) = c,$$

and  $c > 0$  for positive  $f$ , because the measure  $\mu$  is positive.

In order to prove that this convergence is uniform, let us fix a point  $x_0 \in M$ . Suppose that  $\tau$  is the Markov time of the first passage through the point  $x_0$  for the stochastic process  $(y_t)$ .

Set  $g(t, x) = P_x(\tau > t)$  and note that the function  $g$  is continuous and  $g(t_2, x) \leq g(t_1, x)$  if  $t_2 > t_1$ . By Lemma 5.2 from [5], the stochastic process  $(y_t)$  is recurrent, because the equation  $Lu = 0$  has a unique bounded solution on any interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  with given boundary conditions. Hence  $g(t, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and the fact that the function  $g$  is monotone implies that this convergence is uniform in  $x$ .

Next, we have  $w(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_x f(y_t)$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; the pointwise convergence implies that there exists a number  $T_1 > 0$  such that, for any  $t > T_1$ , we have

$$|w(t, x_0) - c| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

The uniform convergence  $g(t, x) - P_x\{\tau > t\} \rightarrow 0$  implies that there exists a number  $T_2 > 0$  such that

$$P_x\{\tau > \log t\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(c + \|f\|)}$$

for any  $t > T_2$  and for any  $x$ . Finally, there exists a number  $T_3 > 0$  such that  $t - \log t > T_1$  for any  $t > T_3$ . Suppose that  $T_0 = \max\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ . Denote by  $p_x$  the probability density of the random variable  $\tau$ . Then, for all  $t > T_0$  and all  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(t, x) - c| &= \left| \mathbb{E}_x \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > \log t\}} f(y_t) + \int_0^{\log t} p_x(\theta) \mathbb{E}_{x_0} f(x_{t-\theta}) d\theta - c \right| \\ &\leq (\|f\| + c) P_x\{\tau > \log t\} + \int_0^{\log t} p_x(\theta) |w(t - \theta, x_0) - c| d\theta < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate establishes the uniform convergence  $w(t, x) \rightarrow c$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

(2) Using the previous assertion, we can write

$$\partial_t w(t, x) = (Le^{tL}f)(x) = (e^{tL}Lf)(x) \rightarrow c'$$

uniformly in  $x$ . Since, moreover, we have  $w(t, x) \rightarrow c$ , it follows that  $c' = 0$ . By multiplying Eq. (4) by  $2\varphi^2$ , we can rewrite it in the form  $\nabla(\varphi^2 \nabla w) = 2\varphi^2 \partial_t w$ . Hence

$$\nabla w(t, x) = c(t)\varphi^{-2}(x) + 2\varphi^{-2}(x) \int_{x_0}^x \varphi^2(y) \partial_t w(t, y) dy,$$

where  $c(t)$  is a function. By adding the periodicity condition

$$\int_M \nabla w(t, x) dx = 0$$

for each  $t$ , the boundedness of the function  $\varphi$  and its strict positivity, and the uniform convergence  $\partial_t w(t, y) \rightarrow 0$  as well, we obtain  $c(t) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\nabla w(t, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x$ .

(3) This assertion follows from the two previous ones.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *The family of surface measures  $\mathbb{S}_T$  converges weakly as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  to the probability distribution of the Brownian motion with drift  $(y_t)$ , the drift being a solution of the stochastic differential equation*

$$dy_t = db_t + \nabla \log \varphi(y_t) dt \quad (5)$$

with initial condition  $y_0 = a_0$ .

**Proof.** Theorem 1 implies that for each  $T > 0$ , the stochastic process  $(y_t^T)$  corresponding to the measure  $\mathbb{S}_T$  is a solution of Eq. (1). Let us prove that the coefficients of Eq. (1) converge to the coefficients of Eq. (5) in the sense indicated in Theorem 11.1.4 from [6]. According to Lemmas 2 and 3, we obtain

$$\nabla \log u(t, x) = \frac{\nabla [e^{ct} \varphi(x) w(t, x)]}{e^{ct} \varphi(x) w(t, x)} = \nabla \log \varphi(x) + \nabla \log w(t, x) \rightarrow \nabla \log \varphi(x)$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $x$ . Hence the function  $\nabla \log u(t, x)$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t$  and  $x$ . Next, for each  $T_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^{T_0} \sup_{x \in M} |\nabla \log u(T-t, x) - \nabla \log \varphi(x)| dt = \int_{T-T_0}^T \sup_{x \in M} |\nabla \log w(t, x)| dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, both conditions of Theorem 11.1.4 from [6] are fulfilled; hence  $\mathbb{S}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(y)$  in the weak sense.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor O. G. Smolyanov for setting the problem and useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

1. O. G. Smolyanov, *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk [Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math.]*, **345** (1995), no. 4, 455–458.
2. N. A. Sidorova, O. G. Smolyanov, H. von Weizsäcker, and O. Wittich, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **206** (2004), no. 2, 391–413.
3. R. G. Pinsky, “Second-order elliptic operators with periodic coefficients: criticality theory, perturbations, and positive harmonic functions,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, **129** (1995), 80–107.
4. S. Agmon, “On positive solutions of elliptic equations with periodic coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , spectral results and extensions to elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds,” in: *Differential Equations*, Birmingham, 1983.
5. R. Z. Khas'minskii, “Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of solutions of parabolic equations,” *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. [Theory Probab. Appl.]*, **5** (1960), no. 2, 179–196.
6. D. W. Stroock and R. S. R. Varadhan, *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1979.

M. V. LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY