Minhyong Kim

Leiden, May, 2011

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

E: elliptic curve over a number field F.

Kummer theory:

$$E(F) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow H^1_f(G, T_p(E))$$

conjectured to be an isomorphism.

Should allow us, in principle, to compute E(F).

Furthermore, size of $H^1_f(G, T_p(E))$ should be controlled by an *L*-function.

In the theorem

$$L(E/\mathbb{Q},1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow |E(\mathbb{Q})| < \infty,$$

key point is that the image of

$$\mathsf{loc}_p: H^1_f(G, \, T_p(E)) \longrightarrow H^1_f(G_p, \, T_p(E))$$

is annihilated using Poitou-Tate duality by a class

 $c \in H^1(G, T_p(E))$

whose image in

$$H^1(G_p, T_p(E))/H^1_f(G_p, T_p(E))$$

is non-torsion.

An explicit local reciprocity law then translates this into an analytic function on $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ that annihilates $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\mathsf{Exp}^*: H^1(G_p, T_p(E)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} F^1 H^1_{DR}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p);$$
$$c \mapsto \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega(E)} \alpha$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

where α is an invariant differential form on *E*.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─の�@

Wish to investigate an extension of this phenomenon to *hyperbolic curves*. That is, curves of

- -genus zero minus at least three points;
- -genus one minus at least one point;
- -genus at least two.

Notation

- F: Number field.
- S_0 : finite set of primes of F.

 $R := \mathcal{O}_F[1/S_0]$, the ring of S integers in F.

p: odd prime not divisible by primes in $S_0; v$: a prime of F above p with $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_p$..

$$G := \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F); \ G_v = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v).$$

 $G_S := \text{Gal}(F_S/F)$, where F_S is the maximal extension of F unramified outside $S = S_0 \cup \{v|p\}$.

 \mathcal{X} : smooth curve over Spec(R) with good compactification. (Might be compact itself.)

X: generic fiber of \mathcal{X} , assumed to be hyperbolic.

 $b \in \mathcal{X}(R)$, possibly tangential.

Grothendieck's section conjecture

Suppose \mathcal{X} is compact. Then the map

$$\hat{j}: X(R) \longrightarrow H^1(G, \hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}, b));$$
$$x \mapsto [\hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}; b, x)]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

is a bijection.



・ロト ・個ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 $U = ``\hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}, b) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p"$, is the \mathbb{Q}_p -pro-unipotent étale fundamental group of

$$ar{X} = X imes_{\operatorname{\mathsf{Spec}}(F)} \operatorname{\mathsf{Spec}}(ar{F})$$

with base-point b.

The universal pro-unipotent pro-algebraic group over \mathbb{Q}_p equipped with a map from $\hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}, b)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 $U = ``\hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}, b) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p"$, is the \mathbb{Q}_p -pro-unipotent étale fundamental group of

$$ar{X} = X imes_{\operatorname{\mathsf{Spec}}(F)} \operatorname{\mathsf{Spec}}(ar{F})$$

with base-point b.

The universal pro-unipotent pro-algebraic group over \mathbb{Q}_p equipped with a map from $\hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}, b)$.

The map

$$j: x \in \mathcal{X}(R) \mapsto [P(x)] \in H^1_f(G, U),$$

associates to a point x, the U-torsor

$$P(x) := \hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}; b, x) imes_{\hat{\pi}_1(\bar{X}, b)} U$$

of \mathbb{Q}_p -unipotent étale paths from b to x.

 $U_n := U^{n+1} \setminus U$, where U^n is the lower central series with $U^1 = U$. So $U_1 = U^{ab} = T_p J_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 $U_n := U^{n+1} \setminus U$, where U^n is the lower central series with $U^1 = U$. So $U_1 = U^{ab} = T_p J_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

All these objects have natural actions of G so that P(x) defines a class in

$$H^1_f(G, U),$$

the continuous non-abelian cohomology of G with coefficients in U satisfying local 'Selmer conditions', the *Selmer variety* of X, which must be controlled in order to control the points of X.

Algebraic localization



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Algebraic localization





Algebraic localization

One essential fact is that the local map

$$\mathcal{X}(R_v) \xrightarrow{j_v} H^1_f(G_v, U_n)$$

can be computed via a diagram



where U_n^{DR}/F^0 is a homogeneous space for the *De Rham-crystalline fundamental group*, and the map j^{DR} can be described explicitly using *p*-adic iterated integrals.

Meanwhile, the localization map is an algebraic map of varieties over \mathbb{Q}_p making it feasible, in principle, to discuss its computation.

Meanwhile, the localization map is an algebraic map of varieties over \mathbb{Q}_p making it feasible, in principle, to discuss its computation. Knowledge of

$$\mathit{Im}(\mathsf{loc}_v) \subset H^1_f(G_v, U_n)$$

will lead to knowledge of

$$\mathcal{X}(R) \subset [j_{v}]^{-1}(\mathit{Im}(\mathsf{loc}_{v})) \subset \mathcal{X}(R_{v}).$$

For example, when $Im(loc_v)$ is not Zariski dense, immediately deduce finiteness of $\mathcal{X}(R)$.

This deduction is captured by the diagram

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

such that $\psi \circ j_{\nu}^{et}$ kills $\mathcal{X}(R)$.

Can use this to give a new proof of finiteness of points in some cases:

 $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and the Jacobian of X has potential CM. (joint with John Coates)

 $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and X, elliptic curve minus one point.

F totally real and X of genus zero.

Can use this to give a new proof of finiteness of points in some cases:

 $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and the Jacobian of X has potential CM. (joint with John Coates)

 $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and X, elliptic curve minus one point.

F totally real and X of genus zero.

In each of these cases, non-vanishing of a p-adic L-function seems to play a key role.

By analogy with the abelian case:

Non-vanishing of L-function \Rightarrow control of Selmer group \Rightarrow finiteness of points;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

By analogy with the abelian case:

Non-vanishing of L-function \Rightarrow control of Selmer group \Rightarrow finiteness of points;

one has

Non-vanishing of L-function \Rightarrow control of Selmer variety \Rightarrow finiteness of points.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

By analogy with the abelian case:

Non-vanishing of L-function \Rightarrow control of Selmer group \Rightarrow finiteness of points;

one has

Non-vanishing of L-function \Rightarrow control of Selmer variety \Rightarrow finiteness of points.

But would like to *construct* ψ in some canonical fashion.

Motivation: Effective computation of points?

The goal is to find an effectively compute m(X, v) such that

$$\min\{d_{v}(x,y) \mid x \neq y \in \mathcal{X}(R) \subset \mathcal{X}(R_{v})\} > m(X,v).$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Motivation: Effective computation of points?

The goal is to find an effectively compute m(X, v) such that

$$\min\{d_{v}(x,y) \mid x \neq y \in \mathcal{X}(R) \subset \mathcal{X}(R_{v})\} > m(X,v).$$

Key point:

Computation of m(X, v) + section conjecture \Rightarrow computation of $\mathcal{X}(R)$.

Motivation: Effective computation of points?

The goal is to find an effectively compute m(X, v) such that

$$\min\{d_{\nu}(x,y) \mid x \neq y \in \mathcal{X}(R) \subset \mathcal{X}(R_{\nu})\} > m(X,\nu).$$

Key point:

Computation of m(X, v) + section conjecture \Rightarrow computation of $\mathcal{X}(R)$.

Remark: Section conjecture can also be used to effectively determine the existence of a point (A. Pal, M. Stoll).

◆□▶
◆□▶
●□▶
●□▶
●□▶
●□▶
●□▶
●□▶
●□▶

Hope that $Im(loc_v)$ might be computed using a sort of *non-abelian* Poitou-Tate duality.

Hope that $Im(loc_v)$ might be computed using a sort of *non-abelian Poitou-Tate duality.*

In the abelian case, we know that Poitou-Tate duality is the basic tool for computing the global image inside local cohomology:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Hope that $Im(loc_v)$ might be computed using a sort of *non-abelian Poitou-Tate duality.*

In the abelian case, we know that Poitou-Tate duality is the basic tool for computing the global image inside local cohomology:

Say $c \in H^1(G, V^*(1))$ has local component $c_w = 0$ for all $w \neq v$ and $c_v \neq 0$. Then $Im(H^1(G, V)) \subset H^1(G_v, V)$ lies in the hyperplane

 $(\cdot) \cup c_v = 0.$

Would like a non-abelian analogue.

Hope that $Im(loc_v)$ might be computed using a sort of *non-abelian Poitou-Tate duality.*

In the abelian case, we know that Poitou-Tate duality is the basic tool for computing the global image inside local cohomology:

Say $c \in H^1(G, V^*(1))$ has local component $c_w = 0$ for all $w \neq v$ and $c_v \neq 0$. Then $Im(H^1(G, V)) \subset H^1(G_v, V)$ lies in the hyperplane

 $(\cdot) \cup c_v = 0.$

Would like a non-abelian analogue.

Difficulty is that duality for Galois cohomology with coefficients in various non-abelian groups can be interpreted as a sort of *non-abelian class field theory.*

 E/\mathbb{Q} : elliptic curve with

 $\mathsf{rank}E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1,$

trivial Tamagawa numbers, and

 $|\mathrm{III}(E)[p^\infty]|<\infty$

for a prime p of good reduction.

 $X =: E \setminus \{0\}$ given as a minimal Weierstrass model:

$$y^2 + a_1 x y + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6 x$$

So

$$X(\mathbb{Z}) \subset E(\mathbb{Z}) = E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Let

$$\alpha = dx/(2y + a_1x + a_3), \ \beta = xdx/(2y + a_1x + a_3).$$

Get analytic functions on $X(\mathbb{Q}_p)$,

$$\log_{lpha}(z) = \int_{b}^{z} lpha; \quad \log_{eta}(z) = \int_{b}^{z} eta;$$
 $D_{2}(z) = \int_{b}^{z} lpha eta.$

Here, *b* is a tangential base-point at 0, and the integral is (iterated) *Coleman integration*.

Locally, the integrals are just anti-derivatives of the forms, while for the iteration,

$$dD_2 = \left(\int_b^2 \beta\right) \alpha.$$

Theorem

Suppose there is a point $y \in X(\mathbb{Z})$ of infinite order in $E(\mathbb{Q})$. Then the subset

 $X(\mathbb{Z}) \subset X(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

lies in the zero set of the analytic function

$$\psi(z) := D_2(z) - \frac{D_2(y)}{(\int_b^y \alpha)^2} (\int_b^z \alpha)^2.$$

Theorem

Suppose there is a point $y \in X(\mathbb{Z})$ of infinite order in $E(\mathbb{Q})$. Then the subset

 $X(\mathbb{Z}) \subset X(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

lies in the zero set of the analytic function

$$\psi(z) := D_2(z) - \frac{D_2(y)}{(\int_b^y \alpha)^2} (\int_b^z \alpha)^2.$$

A fragment of non-abelian duality and explicit reciprocity.

Function ψ is actually a composition



where ϕ is constructed using secondary cohomology products and has the property that

$$\phi(\mathsf{loc}_p(H^1_f(G, U_2))) = 0.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



《曰》 《圖》 《臣》 《臣》

 $U_2 \simeq V \times \mathbb{Q}_p(1)$

where $V = T_p(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, with group law

$$(X, a)(Y, b) = (X + Y, a + b + (1/2) < X, Y >).$$

A function

$$\mathsf{a}=(\mathsf{a}_1,\mathsf{a}_2)$$
 : $\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{p}}{
ightarrow} U_2$

is a cocycle if and only if

$$da_1 = 0; \quad da_2 = -(1/2)[a_1, a_1].$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

For $a = (a_1, a_2) \in H^1_f(G_p, U_2)$, we define

 $\phi(a_1,a_2) := [b,a_1] + \log \chi_p \cup (-2a_2) \in H^2(\mathcal{G}_p,\mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p,$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

For
$$a = (a_1, a_2) \in H^1_f(G_p, U_2)$$
, we define

$$\phi(\mathsf{a}_1,\mathsf{a}_2) := [b,\mathsf{a}_1] + \log \chi_p \cup (-2\mathsf{a}_2) \in H^2(\mathcal{G}_p,\mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

where

$$\log \chi_p : G_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is the logarithm of the *p*-adic cyclotomic character and

$$b: G \rightarrow V$$

is a solution to the equation

$$db = \log \chi_p \cup a_1.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The annihilation comes from the standard exact sequence

$$0{\rightarrow} H^2(G,\mathbb{Q}_p(1)){\rightarrow} \sum_{v} H^2(G_v,\mathbb{Q}_p(1)){\rightarrow} \mathbb{Q}_p{\rightarrow} 0.$$

That is, our assumptions imply that the class

 $[\pi_1(\bar{X};b,x)]_2$

for $x \in X(\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial at all places $l \neq p$. On the other hand

 $\phi(\mathsf{loc}_p([\pi_1(\bar{X}; b, x)]_2)) = \mathsf{loc}_p(\phi^{glob}([\pi_1(\bar{X}; b, x)]_2)).$

With respect to the coordinates

$$H^1_f(G_p, U_2) \simeq U_2^{DR}/F^0 \simeq \mathbb{A}^2 = \{(s, t)\}$$

the image

$$\mathsf{loc}_p(H^1_f(G, U_2)) \subset H^1_f(G_p, U_2)$$

is described by the equation

$$t - \frac{D_2(y)}{(\int_b^y \alpha)^2} s^2 = 0.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let

$$L = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_n$$

be graded Lie algebra over field k. The map $D: L \rightarrow L$ such that

 $D|L_n = n$

is a derivation, i.e., an element of $H^1(L, L)$. Can be viewed as an element of $H^2(L^* \rtimes L, k)$, that is, a central extension of $L^* \rtimes L$:

$$0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow L^* \rtimes L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Explicitly described as follows:

 $[(a, \alpha, X), (b, \beta, Y)] = (\alpha(D(Y)) - \beta(D(X)), ad_X(\beta) - ad_Y(\alpha), [X, Y]).$

When $L = L_1$ and D = I, then this gives a standard Heisenberg extension.

Explicitly described as follows:

 $[(a, \alpha, X), (b, \beta, Y)] = (\alpha(D(Y)) - \beta(D(X)), ad_X(\beta) - ad_Y(\alpha), [X, Y]).$

When $L = L_1$ and D = I, then this gives a standard Heisenberg extension.

When $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and we are given an action of G or G_v , can twist to

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L^*(1) \rtimes L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Also have a corresponding group extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow L^*(1) \rtimes U \rightarrow 0.$$

(L = Lie(U))

From this, we get a boundary map

$$H^1(G_v, L^*(1) \rtimes U) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G_v, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

This boundary map should form the basis of (unipotent) non-abelian duality.

From this, we get a boundary map

$$H^1(G_v, L^*(1) \rtimes U) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G_v, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

This boundary map should form the basis of (unipotent) non-abelian duality.

$$H^{1}(G_{\nu}, L^{*}(1)) \longrightarrow H^{1}(G_{\nu}, L^{*}(1) \rtimes U) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$H^{1}(G_{\nu}, U)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Non-abelian duality: difficulties

1. How to get functions on

 $H_f^1(G_v, U)$?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Non-abelian duality: difficulties

 $1. \ \mbox{How to get functions on}$

 $H^1_f(G_v, U)$?

2. When U is a unipotent fundamental group, L is not graded in way that's compatible with the Galois action.

This second difficulty is partially resolved by Hain's theory of weights completions.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

This second difficulty is partially resolved by Hain's theory of weights completions.

Let R be the Zariski closure of the image of

 $G_S \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p)).$

This second difficulty is partially resolved by Hain's theory of weights completions.

Let R be the Zariski closure of the image of

 $G_S \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p)).$

Then *R* contains the center \mathbb{G}_m of Aut $(H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p))$.

Consider the universal pro-algebraic extension

 $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_S \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$

equipped with a lift



such that T is pro-unipotent and the action of \mathbb{G}_m on $H_1(T)$ has negative weights.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - のへで

Then

$$H^1(G_S, U) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{G}_S, U).$$

Easy to see by comparing the splittings of the two rows in



Furthermore, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_S \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

splits to give $\tilde{R} \subset \mathcal{G}_S$ that maps isomorphically to R, and

$$\mathcal{G}_S \simeq T \rtimes \tilde{R}.$$

In particular, there is a lifted one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathcal{G}_S$, which gives a grading on all \mathcal{G}_S modules. (Actually, the \mathbb{G}_m -lifting determines \tilde{R} .)

ション ふゆ く は マ く ほ マ く し マ

Let N = LieT. The lifting $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathcal{G}_S$ determines a grading on

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N.$

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N.$

One can use this to construct, in turn, central extensions of

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N;$

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N.$

One can use this to construct, in turn, central extensions of

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N;$ $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes U \rtimes T;$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N.$

One can use this to construct, in turn, central extensions of

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N;$ $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes U \rtimes T;$

and

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes U \rtimes \mathcal{G}_S;$

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N.$

One can use this to construct, in turn, central extensions of

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes L \rtimes N;$ $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes U \rtimes T;$

and

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes U \rtimes \mathcal{G}_S;$

which can then be pulled back to

 $[N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes U \rtimes G_S.$

Proposition The \mathbb{G}_m lift determines a central extension

 $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [N^{*}(1) \times L^{*}(1)] \rtimes U \rtimes G_{S} \rightarrow 0$

giving rise to a boundary map

 $H^1(G_S, [N^*(1) \times L^*(1)] \rtimes U) \rightarrow H^2(G_S, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)).$

ション ふゆ く は マ く ほ マ く し マ

The central extension can be pulled back to each G_w for $w \in S$, to give boundary maps

$$\begin{array}{c} H^{1}(G_{w}, N^{*}(1) \times L^{*}(1)) \rightarrow H^{1}(G_{w}, [N^{*}(1) \times L^{*}(1)] \rtimes U) \xrightarrow{\delta_{w}} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ H^{1}(G_{w}, U) \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

1. Applying the constructions to the finite level quotients, we get maps

$$H^{1}(G_{w}, [N_{n}^{*}(1) \times L_{n}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{w,n}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$$

and

$$H^1(G_S, [N_n^*(1) \times L_n^*(1)] \rtimes U_n) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} H^2(G_S, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

1. Applying the constructions to the finite level quotients, we get maps

$$H^{1}(G_{w}, [N_{n}^{*}(1) \times L_{n}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{w,n}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$$

and

$$H^1(G_S, [N_n^*(1) \times L_n^*(1)] \rtimes U_n) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} H^2(G_S, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)).$$

2. These maps are compatible in the following sense: δ_n restricted to

$$H^{1}(G_{S}, [N_{n-1}^{*}(1) \times L_{n-1}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n})$$

is the composition

$$H^{1}(G_{S}, [N_{n-1}^{*}(1) \times L_{n-1}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n}) \rightarrow H^{1}(G_{S}, [N_{n-1}^{*}(1) \times L_{n-1}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n-1})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} H^2(G_S, \mathbb{Q}_p(1));$$

and the same for the local versions.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ ■ のQQ

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{1}(G_{w}, [N_{n-1}^{*}(1) \times L_{n-1}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n}) \hookrightarrow & H^{1}(G_{w}, [N_{n}^{*}(1) \times L_{n}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n}) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ H^{1}(G_{w}, [N_{n-1}^{*}(1) \times L_{n-1}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n-1}) \rightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{p} \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

3. These boundary maps are quite non-trivial. For example, considering the central subgroup

$$L_n^n = U_n^n := U^{n+1} \setminus U^n \subset U_n,$$

when the boundary map on

$$H^1(G_w, [N_n^*(1) \times L_n^*(1)] \rtimes U_n)$$

is restricted to

$$H^1(G_w, [N_n^*(1) \times L_n^*(1)] \times L_n^n),$$

3. These boundary maps are quite non-trivial. For example, considering the central subgroup

$$L_n^n = U_n^n := U^{n+1} \setminus U^n \subset U_n,$$

when the boundary map on

$$H^1(G_w, [N_n^*(1) \times L_n^*(1)] \rtimes U_n)$$

is restricted to

$$H^1(G_w, [N_n^*(1) \times L_n^*(1)] \times L_n^n),$$

then it factors through

$$H^1(G_w, N_n^*(1) \times (L_n^n)^*(1) \times L_n^n).$$

On the subspace

 $H^1(G_w, (L_n^n)^*(1) \times L_n^n) \subset H^1(G_w, N_n^*(1) \times (L_n^n)^*(1) \times L_n^n),$

the induced map is usual Tate duality multiplied by n.

On the subspace

 $H^1(G_w, (L_n^n)^*(1) \times L_n^n) \subset H^1(G_w, N_n^*(1) \times (L_n^n)^*(1) \times L_n^n),$

the induced map is usual Tate duality multiplied by n.



Non-abelian duality: a reciprocity law

Theorem
The image of

$$H^{1}(G_{S}, [N_{n}^{*}(1) \times L_{n}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n})$$

in
 $\prod_{w \in S} H^{1}(G_{w}, [N_{n}^{*}(1) \times L_{n}^{*}(1)] \rtimes U_{n})$
is annihilated by
 $\sum \delta_{w}.$

W

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ